A Brief History of Hispanic Periodicals in the United States

by Nicolás Kanellos

The Spanish introduced the first printing press into the Americas in 1533, just fourteen years after having landed in what is now Mexico. It is remarkable that this introduction took place only forty-seven years after Columbus’s first voyage to this hemisphere and just seventy-nine years after the invention of movable type. “It is surprising to find that in less than one hundred years such excellent printing was done in Mexico,” stated one noted historian of printing.1

The tradition of the book, literacy and printing flourished in New Spain early on in colonial days. By the mid-sixteenth century, seven printers were operating in Mexico City, issuing everything from contracts and religious books to public notices and literary works. Among the first books printed were catechisms, religious works, grammars of the indigenous languages, dictionaries and some technical and scientific volumes. It was in Mexico City that the first news sheets (hojas volantes) and the first newspapers in the Americas were published. As early as 1541, a news sheet was issued, reporting on the devastation caused by an earthquake in Guatemala.2

The first periodical was the Mercurio Volante, founded in 1693 by the famous mathematician, scientist and humanist of the University of Mexico, Carlos de Sigüenza y Góngora, more than a decade before the Boston News-Letter of 1704.3 On January 1, 1722, La Gaceta de México (The Mexico Gazette), the first true newspaper appeared; shortly thereafter, others appeared in Guatemala, Lima, Buenos Aires and elsewhere.4 Journalism in the Americas thus began in Mexico, when it was joined politically to the area from South Carolina south to the Florida peninsula and west to the California coast as part of the Spanish Empire.

The actual introduction of written culture into lands that would later
become part of the continental United States occurred early on as well, when in 1513, explorer Juan Ponce de León searched for the land of Bimini in Florida. Because Ponce de León recorded his travels in diaries, his voyage of exploration represents the first introduction of a written language into what later became the mainland United States. From that point on, the history of literacy, books and writing in what became the United States was developed by Spanish, mestizo and mulatto missionaries, soldiers and settlers. From then on, there are civil, military and ecclesiastical records in what eventually became the South and Southwest of the United States. Of course, this was followed by the importation of books, the translation of books to the indigenous languages, the penning of original historical and creative writing, later the use of the printing press and still later the publication of newspapers. But as Spanish settlement advanced in the Floridas and the northern frontier of New Spain (later the Southwest of the United States), printing and publishing were not allowed to take root as they did in central New Spain, perhaps because the population was too sparse to support a press and/or because mission and governmental authorities rigidly controlled the importation and circulation of printed matter in their efforts to indoctrinate the Native Americans while fending off competition for lands from the French and the British.

Not until the late eighteenth century was a Spanish government press in operation in Louisiana, about the same time that Spanish-language documents and books began to be printed in Philadelphia and New York. The first Spanish-language newspapers in the United States were published in 1808 and 1809 in New Orleans: El Misisipi and El Mensagero Luisianés, respectively. The first newspapers published in what may be considered the Southwest were La Gaceta de Texas (The Texas Gazette) and El
Mexicano, both published in Nacogdoches, Texas, but they were actually printed in Natchitoches, Louisiana, in 1813 (Gutiérrez, 37). These were followed by the first Spanish-language newspaper in Florida, El Telégrafo de las Floridas (1817); the first in the Northeast, El Habanero (1824); and numerous others in Louisiana, Texas and the Northeast (see the Chronological Index). However, the first presses were not introduced into California and New Mexico until 1834, during the period of Mexican national rule. Despite the late start in Arizona, California, Colorado and New Mexico, however, Spanish-language newspapers were in full bloom in the Southwest by the 1870s and continued to flourish in the Northeast. From that time to the present, the Spanish-language newspaper has been a mainstay in Hispanic communities throughout the United States, preserving and advancing Hispanic culture and maintaining its relationship with the larger Spanish-speaking world.

Throughout the last two centuries, Hispanic communities from coast to coast have supported newspapers of varying sizes and missions, from the eight-page weekly printed in Spanish or bilingually to the highly entrepreneurial large-city daily published completely in Spanish. The periodicals have run the gamut from religious bulletins to international trade and scientific journals, as both the domestic and the international Hispanic readership have always been important targets for United States business and intellectual interests.

Since the founding of El Misisipí in 1808, U. S. Spanish-language newspapers have had to serve functions hardly ever envisioned in Mexico City, Madrid or Havana. The Hispanic press has primarily informed the community about current affairs and politics and advertised local businesses and products. Additionally, however, most of the newspapers, if not functioning as bulwarks of immigrant culture, have protected the language, culture and rights of an ethnic minority within a larger culture that was in the best of times unconcerned with the Hispanic ethnic enclaves and in the worst of times openly hostile. As an immigrant press, news of the homeland and its relationship with the United States was of primary concern; as a minority press, the protection of civil rights and the monitoring of the community’s economic, educational and cultural development came to the fore. In both roles, it was always incumbent on the press to exemplify the best writing in the Spanish language, to uphold high cultural and moral values and to maintain and preserve Hispanic culture. This mission often extended to the protection and preservation of Catholicism within the larger cul-
tural environment of Protestantism. Quite often, too, Hispanic-owned newspapers took on the role of contestation, offering alternative views and reports challenging those published in the English-language press, especially as concerned their own communities and homelands.

While the few scholars who have researched and written on the Spanish-language press have pointed out the contestatory nature of the U. S. Hispanic press throughout its history, few have identified a third characteristic in its development: that of a press in exile. In fact, many of the newspapers founded during the last two centuries were established by political refugees who took advantage of the U. S. tradition of a free press to offer their compatriots (here and in their homelands) uncensored news and political commentary—even if their sheets had to be smuggled on and off ships and passed surreptitiously hand-to-hand back home. In many cases, the exile press was also engaged in political fund-raising, community organizing and revolutionary plots to overthrow the homeland regime. Often, the exile press became an immigrant and ethnic minority press as their communities became more settled in the United States and/or the return to the homeland was no longer feasible or of particular interest.

It is difficult to classify this or that newspaper as being exclusively an immigrant or exile or minority enterprise, however. Hispanic communities in the United States have been segmented among ethnic, nationality, class and religious lines almost from the beginning. And even the newspapers that sprang up in provincial New Mexico in the late nineteenth century were often divided by allegiances to particular political parties. While small weeklies could serve the specific interests of one subgroup or another, larger weeklies and the dailies often had to appeal to many varying and conflicting interests. A newspaper such as San Antonio’s La Prensa often simultaneously represented Mexican exile as well as Mexican-American interests in the period before the Great Depression. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, New York’s Las Novedades served the interests of all Spanish-speaking groups, including the Spanish, Cubans and Puerto Ricans—even while Cuba and Puerto Rico were waging wars of independence from Spain. In the bibliography that follows, the newspapers are self-described as anarchist, socialist, Democratic, Republican, union-affiliated, defending Mexican or Cuban or Spanish interests, promoting a pan-Hispanism, upholding Catholic or Baptist or Methodist or Presbyterian values, or as dedicated to the overthrow of fascism in Spain or dictatorships in specific American republics. They are as dead serious
as the Brothers Flores-Magón’s anarcho-syndicalist *Regeneración* (part of the conspiracy for revolution in Mexico) and as humorous and irreverent as P. Viola’s *El Fandango* and Daniel Venegas’ *El Malcriado*, 1920s satirical weeklies identified with the working classes in San Antonio and Los Angeles, respectively.

Beyond the news and advertising, the newspapers were community leadership institutions, often serving as forums for intellectuals, writers and politicians, and often spearheading political and social movements—not only in local communities but also among like-minded souls around the United States. Such was the case with Cuban and Puerto Rican revolutionary periodicals in New York, Philadelphia, Tampa, Key West, New Orleans and elsewhere, which made concerted and orchestrated efforts to further the independence movement against Spain.

The local Spanish-language newspaper assumed an importance parallel to that of the church and the mutualist society in providing leadership, solidifying the community, protecting it and furthering its cultural survival. Not always for commercial viability and financial profit, the newspapers often assumed roles associated with patriotism, mutualism, political organizing and religion. They sponsored patriotic and cultural celebrations, organized the community for social and political action (spearheading the founding of Spanish-language schools, community clinics, relief funds for victims of wars, floods and other natural disasters, and so forth). They battled segregation and discrimination not only through their editorials and news coverage, but through real-life organizing and the pursuit of civil rights through courts, consular offices and government agencies.

Finally, newspapers have always functioned as purveyors of education, culture and entertainment. During the nineteenth and the first half of the twentieth centuries, they were the primary publishers of creative literature in the Spanish language, including poetry, literary prose, serialized novels and even plays. The newspapers provided this fare as a function of cultural preservation and elevating the level of education of the community. Often work was drawn from local writers as well as reprinted from the works of the greatest writers of the Hispanic world, from the classical Cervantes to the modernist Rubén Darío. The editors, almost to a person, believed ardently in the power of literacy to uplift and improve the lot of Hispanics. They fought for education, schooling and knowledge and converted their newspapers into compendiums that offered the best examples of writing in the vernacular; the widest variety of information on sci-
entific, historical and cultural topics; analysis of social and political issues; an interminable stream of wisdom literature (epigrams, proverbs and exemplary anecdotes); and entertainment—not only literary fare but endless jokes, humorous anecdotes and miscellany used as filler.

The impact of this publishing movement throughout this century should not be underestimated. Considerable economic resources were concentrated in this effort, and it involved the cooperation of thousands of intellectuals, creative writers, and political and business figures. The press helped to shape the fundamental identities and ethos of U.S. Hispanic communities as they developed. The language, the values, the relationship of the community to the larger society and to the lands of origin, the sex roles, the education of children, the responsibilities of the citizen and/or the immigrant—all of these were prescribed and reinforced daily in the pages of Hispanic newspapers published from San Diego to New York, from the nineteenth century at least until World War II.

The Press In Exile

An exile press is one that utilizes the vantage point and the protection of foreign soil to issue messages unwelcome to authorities in the homeland. The United States, having established itself as the first political democracy in the western hemisphere, has served since its independence from the British Empire as a refuge for other expatriates. The important tenets in the U.S. Constitution guaranteeing freedom of speech and of the press ensured that editors who could afford paper and printing would see their work in print, ready to be distributed to whichever communities, domestic and foreign, they could reach. But the raison d’être of the exile press has always been influencing life and politics in the homeland—even if that goal is moved forward only by distributing publications to expatriate communities. These efforts—to provide information and opinion about the homeland, to change or solidify opinion about politics and policy in the patria, to assist in raising funds to overthrow the current regime—although mostly discussed within the confines of U.S. communities, nevertheless maintain a foreign point of reference. A purely immigrant or an ethnic press, on the other hand, is more oriented to the needs of immigrants and/or citizens in the United States: to assisting immigrants in adjusting to the new social environment here, understanding or affecting policy here, providing information on the homeland and/or securing and
furthering rights and responsibilities here.\textsuperscript{5}

To study the Hispanic exile press in the United States is to examine great moments in the political history of the Hispanic world: the Napoleonic intervention in Spain, the struggles of the Spanish-American colonies for independence, the French intervention in Mexico, the Spanish-American War, the Mexican Revolution, the Spanish Civil War, the Cuban Revolution, the recent civil wars in Central America and the numerous struggles in Latin America to wrest democracy from dictators and foreign interventions, including incursions by the United States. The very act of U. S. partisanship in the internal politics of the Latin American republics often drew the expatriate stream to these shores. All of these struggles contributed thousands of political refugees to the United States over time, not only because of the traditions of democracy and freedom of expression here, but also because through expansion and Hispanic immigration, the United States became home to large communities of Spanish-speakers. Thus, the refugees found societies where they could conduct business and eke out a livelihood while they hoped for and abetted change in the lands that would someday welcome them home.

The flip side of the coin of freedom in exile is the repression that existed in the homelands that forced intellectuals and writers out. The historical record is rife with prison terms served, tortures suffered and the names of writers, journalists, publishers and editors executed over the last two centuries in Spanish America. At home, many newspaper editors devised ingenious stratagems for hiding presses and hiding the identity of the writers while smuggling issues to readers in secret societies and the privacy of their homes. In Cuba, books and newspapers often stated on their title pages and mastheads that they were published in New Orleans, attempting to throw off the censor and the repressive Spanish authorities.\textsuperscript{6}

The first newspapers printed in exile were the bilingual \textit{La Gaceta de Texas} and \textit{El Mexicano},\textsuperscript{7} printed in 1813 in the safety of U. S. territory just across the border from New Spain in Natchitoches, Louisiana (just across the Sabine River from Nacogdoches, Texas). Actually written and typeset in Texas by its publishers William Shaler and José Alvarez de Toledo y Dubois, but printed in Louisiana, both papers were part of the independence movement set in motion by Miguel de Hidalgo y Costilla in central Mexico and taken up by José Bernardo Gutiérrez de Lara in Texas. The insurgency in Texas was violently quashed by Spanish royalist troops; we know of no other pro-Mexican independence newspapers published in Texas.
The Cuban and Puerto Rican Exile Press

The longest-lasting independence movement in the hemisphere was that of Spain’s Caribbean colonies: Cuba and Puerto Rico. One of Cuba’s first and most illustrious exiles was the philosopher-priest Félix Varela, who founded *El Habanero* in Philadelphia in 1824. Subtitled “papel político, científico y literario” (political, scientific and literary paper), *El Habanero* openly militated for Cuban independence. Varela was one of many intellectuals within the expatriate communities in Philadelphia and New York who for some twenty years had been translating the U. S. Constitution and the works of Paine and Jefferson and smuggling them into Latin America in books printed in Spanish by early American printers.

Varela, however, set the precedent for Cubans and Puerto Ricans of printing and publishing in exile and having their works circulating in their home islands. In fact, Varela’s books on philosophy and education (many of which were published abroad) were said to be the only “best sellers” in Cuba, and Varela himself the most popular author in Cuba in the first third of the nineteenth century—despite there being in effect a “conspiracy of silence,” in which his name could never even be brought up in public on the island (Fornet, 73–4).

That Varela would launch *El Habanero* in 1824 and other Cubans and Puerto Ricans would continue the exile press in New York’s *El Mensajero Semanal* and *El Mercurio de Nueva York* (both established in 1828) with scores of exile newspapers to follow in New Orleans, Tampa, Philadelphia and New York, is remarkable, given the scant tradition of newspaper publishing on these islands under rigid Spanish control. Licenses to publish had to be obtained directly from the Spanish crown, and materials were
subject to review by both state and religious authorities. In 1810, the Spanish cortes (legislative body) created the Junta Suprema de Censura (Supreme Censorship Commission), and in 1820 the cortes passed the Ley de Imprenta (Law of the Printing Press), which severely restricted printing and publishing. As revolutionary fervor rose and ebbed in Cuba and Puerto Rico, so too did censorship, repression and persecution of the press, with dissident intellectuals often suffering imprisonment, exile or death by garroting.

For the most part, the expatriate journalists and writers founded and wrote for Spanish-language or bilingual publications. Some of their politically oriented newspapers were bilingual because they aspired to influencing Anglo-American public opinion and U.S. government policy regarding Cuba and Puerto Rico. Very few exiled intellectuals found work in the strictly English-language press except as translators. One notable exception was Miguel Teurbe Tolón, who in the 1850s worked as an editor for Latin American affairs on the New York Herald. Teurbe Tolón had been an editor of Cuba’s La Guirnalda, where he also launched his literary career as a poet. In the United States, besides working for the Herald, he published poems and commentary in both Spanish- and English-language periodicals, and translated into Spanish Paine’s Common Sense and Emma Willard’s History of the United States. Montes-Huidobro believes Teurbe Tolón to be one of the most important pioneers of Hispanic journalism in the United States (Montes-Huidobro, 135). But it is not only as a journalist that Teurbe Tolón must be remembered. He is one of the founders of the literature of Hispanic exile, not only because of the exile theme in the many poems he published, but also because he was seen as a leader of the literary exile. His work figures most prominently in the first anthology of exile literature ever published in the United States, El laúd del desterrado (1856), issued a year after his death. Since the writings of Varela and Teurbe Tolón and their colleagues, exile literature has been a continuing current in Hispanic letters of the United States.

Cuba’s first newspaper, El Papel Periódico, was founded in Havana in 1790. The first book ever printed in Cuba had appeared a scant twenty-nine years earlier (Fornet, 12, 36). Puerto Rico’s first newspaper, La Gaceta de Puerto Rico, did not appear until 1806, the same year as the introduction of the printing press to the island. In the world of literature and journalism, the creative and publishing activity of Cubans and Puerto Ricans overseas often rivaled the productivity at home, and many of the leading
writers and intellectuals of both islands produced a substantial corpus in the freedom of exile rather than under repressive Spanish colonial rule. Some of the most important Cuban and Puerto Rican literary, journalistic and patriotic figures followed Varela’s example—writing, publishing and militating from Philadelphia, New York, Tampa, Key West and New Orleans until the outbreak of the Spanish-American War in 1898. All of them wrote for or published newspapers: José María Heredia, José Antonio Saco, Cirilo Villaverde, Francisco “Pachín” Marín, Lola Rodríguez de Tió and, most importantly, José Martí.

The extent of commitment by literary figures to the exile and revolutionary press can be gauged by the example of Cirilo Villaverde, a seminal founder of Cuban literature now remembered for his novel *Cecilia Valdés* (1839), which critic William Luis considers “the most important novel written in nineteenth-century Cuba and perhaps one of the most important works in Latin America during that period.”¹¹ Despite his growing celebrity in Cuba as a man of letters, Villaverde left the island for New York in 1849, after escaping from imprisonment for his political activities; he remained in the United States until his death in 1894, working as a revolutionary journalist—“a man of action,” as he put it, rather than a man engaging in the vanity of letters.¹² Villaverde devoted himself almost exclusively to the revolutionary cause by writing for various exile newspapers; for him the revolutionary battle was to be found in the struggle to influence public opinion. One can only guess what clandestine political activities he engaged in.

Beginning in 1852, Villaverde began working for New York’s *La Verdad* (The Truth, 1848-185-?), but before leaving Cuba he had already been sending dispatches and had helped to smuggle this banned newspaper into the country. In 1853, he and Manuel Antonio Marino began publishing their own bilingual, *El Independiente: Organ de la democracia cubana* (The Independent: Organ of Cuban Democracy), in New Orleans. Villaverde was an editor and also wrote anonymously for New York’s *La Voz de la América: Organo político de las repúblicas hispano-americanas y de las Antillas españolas* (The Voice of America: Political Organ of the Spanish American Republics and the Spanish Antilles, 1865–7), *La Ilustración Americana* (The American Enlightenment, 1866–70) and for Narciso Villaverde’s monthly *El Espejo* (1873–93?),¹³ among other papers. Villaverde’s political ideology was most reflected in the important filibustering organ *La Verdad*, which promoted U. S. annexation of Cuba. (Later
he supported independence for Cuba.) *La Verdad* was created by a junta of Cuban exiles called the Club de Habana (Havana Club), who raised $10,000 for its founding, and by U.S. expansionists such as John O'Sullivan and Moses Beach, editor of the New York *Sun*, at which facilities *La Verdad* was actually printed. The bilingual *La Verdad*’s mission was to lobby the U.S. public as well as Cubans for the annexation of Cuba, but the newspaper also supported Manifest Destiny and U.S. filibustering expeditions in Latin America in addition to Cuban annexation as part of the effort to create another slave state for the South. *La Verdad* called for the U.S. purchase of Cuba from Spain, and, in fact, in 1848 President James K. Polk did tender an offer of $100 million to Spain for the island.

Issues of race and slavery were central to the Cuban independence movement and were interrelated with the politics of race in the United States. One of the more interesting revolutionary newspapers was *El Mulato* (The Mulato, 1854–?), which was published in New York before the U.S. Civil War and had as its mission uniting the Cuban revolutionary movement with the movement to abolish slavery. Founded by Carlos de Colins, Lorenzo Alló and Juan Clemente Zenea, it sounded a contrary note to the Cuban annexationist movement and its papers. The reaction among the Creole elite leaders of the annexationist movement was bitter. Editorials attacked *El Mulato* and mass meetings were called to condemn the newspaper for promoting social unrest. 14

Proudly proclaiming the paper’s Afro-Cuban identity, *El Mulato* editor Carlos de Colins challenged the leadership of the revolution to consider Cuba’s Africans (he did not permit the euphemism “colored classes”) as worthy of freedom, just as their country was worthy of liberty. De Colins’ barbs in the April 17, 1854 issue were aimed directly at *La Verdad*: “La verdad, no es verdad si los verdaderos y lejítimos principios se confunden por el egoísmo y se contrarian por el temor: verdad por verdad y *en avant* y á los que no la conocen go ahead.” (The truth is not the truth if truthful and legitimate principles are muddled because of selfishness and are countered out of fear: truth for truth’s sake and *en avant*, and to those who do not know it *go ahead.*) In the April 25 issue, De Colins attacked *La Verdad*’s support of filibustering:

When *The Filibuster* let it be known that *La Verdad* was no longer a defender of our rights, it was perhaps based on the fact that it was being published by an enemy of our cause, that’s why we feel repugnance upon
seeing that one of the organs of the revolution is in the hands of a royalist, a satellite of despotism.\textsuperscript{15}

Another editorial, in the April 17 issue, expressed admiration for the liberties and stability existing in the United States. De Colins sounded a note frequently repeated by Hispanic editorialists from New York to Los Angeles: fear that U. S. expansionism—in this case forcibly freeing and then annexing Cuba—would result in cultural annihilation: “¿O esperan poseerla por sus propios esfuerzos para llevar á ella su idioma, usos, costumbres y especulaciones?” (Or do they [the United States] expect to possess her [Cuba] through their own force in order to extend to her their language, behavior, customs and business?)

But the greatest fear of the publishers of \textit{El Mulato} was that Cuba would be bought or otherwise annexed and that Cuban free blacks and mulattoes would be enslaved forever as in the southern states of the Union. The irony of \textit{El Mulato} enjoying the freedom of the press and the other freedoms for which the United States was famous was not lost on the editors, who were combating manumission:

In the land of the \textit{free}, liberty enslaves, torments, oppresses, punishes, wounds and burns some people like us . . . Oh, Humanity! Where have you gone? Could it be that in the land of Washington you have decided to look for a better home in regions where liberty is truly cultivated and where men are firm and there are legitimate guarantees? While the downtrodden suffers tremendous whip lashes, he looks in vain without finding a beneficent hand to detain the barbarous strokes, he then raises his arms and his eyes to the heavens exclaiming, “Is there no freedom, great God, on Earth! Those men who invoke her [liberty], offend her with lies and they injure with cruelty.”

The institutions that typify democracy are opposed by their own promoters . . . vulnerable to study, they are also stained by ambition and vile profit. The people with coin lack all generous instinct. (17 June 1854)\textsuperscript{16}

The early political perspective on race and culture evident in these editorials would rarely be duplicated in U. S. Hispanic journalism until the 1920s, in the writings of such journalists and \textit{cronistas} as New York’s Alberto O’Farrill and Jesús Colón, writing for \textit{Gráfico}.

Partially as a result of the ideas presented in \textit{El Mulato} and \textit{La Voz de
América (The Voice of America, 1865–67?), as well as in the Cuban political clubs in New York and Florida during the 1850s and 1860s, efforts were made to expand the revolution to include all sectors of Cuban society and to unite the separatist and abolitionist movements. A leading newspaper in this trend was La Voz de América, under Cuban editor Juan Manuel Macías and Puerto Rican editor José Bassora. Theirs was a growing trend to challenge the elites and democratize the revolution. They stated that La Voz de América has tried and succeeded in raising the spirit of the PEOPLE, and has finally ensured that the REVOLUTION no longer represents the egotistical aspirations of the aristocratic slaveholders [eslavócratas], but is an ostensible manifestation of the desires of the PEOPLE in general. [The revolution requires the incorporation of the] ignorant, the peasant, the cigar-maker, the freedman, the slave, the real PEOPLE. (30 September 1866) 17

La Voz de América editorially urged the inclusion of slaves not only in the revolutionary ranks but in the concept of Cuban nationality. It also actively cultivated a following among the tobacco workers. According to Poyo,

Few before the 1860s had seriously considered such a strategy, but many now believed that the mass of slaves could provide the numbers necessary to defeat the Spanish militarily. The North American Civil War had demonstrated that disruption did not lead inevitably to slave uprisings. Indeed, slaves and free people of color helped when given the opportunity. This was an important psychological breakthrough that opened the door for a political nationalism (as opposed to just a cultural nationalism) that many had feared to promote. (Poyo, 16)

Back on the island, the rebel cause had made significant advances. When the Republican government drafted its constitution in 1869, it declared all Cubans free. This landmark decision had repercussions throughout the U. S. expatriate colonies and was promoted by the official newspaper of the Republican government, La Revolución (The Revolution), published in New York from 1869 to 1876. La Revolución had begun publishing one year after the outbreak of the Ten Years’ War, the most significant armed rebellion of Cuban nationalists against Spain up to that time.
With the end of the American Civil War, annexation of Cuba as a slave state was moot. At the same time, Cuban immigration to the United States increased greatly as hostilities on the island intensified with the Ten Years’ War. The tobacco industry in Florida and New York expanded dramatically. The Cubans now immigrating were mostly tobacco workers, highly unionized and politicized; they became a financial and organizational base for the final phase of the Cuban revolution—and for the publication of important revolutionary and labor newspapers (see the section titled “The Immigrant Press,” below), such as Key West’s *El Yara* (1878–?), named after the battle where Cuban independence was proclaimed. Founded after the signing of the Pact of Zanjón concluded the Ten Years’ War, *El Yara* kept the independence movement alive. Both Cuban and Puerto Rican expatriates gradually intensified their efforts against Spain and became even more militant. Mirroring this militancy was New York’s *El Pueblo*, published in the mid-1870s, which proclaimed, “Republicanos radicales, proclamamos y exigimos del tirano el reconocimiento de nuestra República para que inmediatamente pueda sucederse la paz.” (Radical Republicans, we proclaim and exhort from the tyrant the recognition of our republic, so that peace will follow immediately [23 October 1875]). As long as peace was not achieved, *El Pueblo* regularly called for the U. S. Cuban community to donate money for war material:

> Our brothers who are fighting on the fields of Cuba remind us that it is very just that those of us who reside in foreign lands be indefatigable in developing funds to acquire war materials in sufficient amounts and to send them . . . The Cuban expatriate community is the richest that has been registered in history; because of the amounts of cash that it has, because of the immense value that its industry represents. The proverbial generosity and largesse of the Cubans cannot at all be doubted . . . (29 September 1875)\(^1\)

*El Pueblo* had a rival in New York: *La Revolución de Cuba*, also published in the mid-1870s, which went to great lengths to prove that it was more radical than *El Pueblo* and the other political sheets: “Es el periódico más radical, a pesar de que su Director es un hombre pobre sin otra riqueza que su trabajo y su patriotismo, sin otra aspiración que el cumplimiento de su deber patriótico” ([*El Pueblo*] is the most radical newspaper, despite its director [Rafael Lanza] being a poor man without any other riches than his work and his patriotism, without any other aspiration than
the achievement of his patriotic duty [29 January 1876]). As indicated by his protestation, Lanza was probably from the working class and demonstrated that perspective in his opposition to the Creole elites.

The period after the American Civil War was characterized by infighting in the Cuban separatist movement between Creole elites (supporting annexation) and workers and intellectuals (supporting complete independence and self-determination for the island). Race was still an important divisive factor that highlighted the hypocrisy of the elite pursuit of democracy. Such factionalism—whether caused by divisions of philosophy, race or class—mired support for the revolution from within the United States. But eventually a clear leader emerged who worked assiduously to bring all of the diverse factions together, including the expatriate Creoles and Afro-Cubans, the elite New York intellectuals and the unionized tobacco workers in Tampa and Key West. Most importantly, this man united their efforts with those of the revolutionary forces fighting on the island.

He was José Martí, the consummate man of arms and letters. Through tireless organizational efforts in New York, Tampa, Key West and New Orleans, through fund-raising and lobbying of the tobacco workers distrustful of the Creole elites, through penning and delivering eloquent political speeches and publishing a variety of essays in Spanish and English, Martí embodied Villaverde’s hoped-for “man of action” while at the same time becoming a pioneer of Spanish-American literary Modernism. Martí invested his freedom and his life in the cause, ultimately losing the latter on a Cuban battlefield in 1895. Before his death, however, Martí was a key fig-
ure in the revolutionary press movement, especially in New York where he was the founder of the important newspaper of the last phase of the revolution: *La Patria* (1892–19?).

Martí’s experience as a revolutionary journalist dated back to his youth in Cuba, where he had been imprisoned for ideas contained in an essay and in a play he had published in the newspaper *La Patria Libre*. He later was sent to study in Spain, where he obtained his law degree and published a political pamphlet, *El presidio político en Cuba* (Political Imprisonment in Cuba) (Trujillo, 107). In 1873, Martí moved to Mexico, where he edited *Revista Universal* (Universal Review); in 1877 he served as a professor in Guatemala and edited the official state newspaper there. In 1879, he returned to Cuba and was promptly exiled to Spain. From 1880 on, he began the first of his various residencies in New York. In Caracas in 1881, Martí founded and edited the *Revista Venezolana*, which only lasted for two numbers, and then he promptly returned to New York. In the grand metropolis, Martí maintained an active life as a writer, publishing books of poetry and numerous essays and speeches. The most curious of his publishing feats was the founding and editing of *La Edad de Oro* in 1889, a monthly magazine for children—he had earlier published a book of verse, *Ismaelillo* (1881?), written for his son.

In all his organizing and countering of annexationist impulses with demands for independence and self-determination, Martí warned of the imperialist tendencies of the United States. He did not live to see his fears become reality: the United States declared war on Spain, and, after signing the peace with Spain unilaterally, forced a constitution on the Republic of Cuba that depended on U. S. intervention, as called for in the Platt Amendment.
One of Martí’s greatest virtues was his ability to bring the various classes and factions together in the revolutionary cause; this virtue included extending open arms to Puerto Rican intellectuals to unite their efforts with those of the Cubans. The earliest participation of Puerto Ricans in the revolutionary journalistic efforts in the United States had been the founding of *La Voz de la América* in 1865 by José J. Bassora (with Cuban Juan Manuel Macía). From that point on, important Puerto Rican intellectuals and revolutionaries had often joined their Cuban brethren in New York in the late nineteenth century to plot the overthrow of Spanish colonialism. This exodus of Puerto Rican intellectuals was hastened in 1868 after the failed Lares Rebellion (Fitzpatrick, 304). Among those in New York were such important nationalist philosophers and creative writers as Eugenio María de Hostos, Ramón Emeterio Betances, Lola Rodríguez de Tió and Luis Muñoz Rivera—all leading figures in the independence movement, and all contributing to exile publications. Muñoz Rivera, founder of the successful *La Democracia* on the island, later founded *The Puerto Rican Herald* in Washington, D. C., an English-language newspaper aimed at influencing U. S. policy towards the newly acquired colony.

These intellectuals joined an expatriate community in supporting revolutionary clubs and book and newspaper publication. In clubs such as Las Dos Antillas (The Two Antilles), co-founded by the Afro-Puerto Rican bibliographer Arturo Alfonso Schomberg, they delivered eloquent speeches that would be printed in the newspapers circulated throughout the exile communities and smuggled into Puerto Rico. From her home in New York, an important convener of this group was the thrice-exiled Doña Lola Rodríguez de Tió, whose nationalistic verse not only appeared frequently in newspapers but also became enshrined as the national anthem for Puerto Rico.

In addition to these illustrious philosophers, essayists and poets, there were two craftsmen whose work was essential to the cause of revolutionary journalism: typesetters Francisco Gonzalo “Pachín” Marín and Sotero Figueroa. In 1891, Marín brought his revolutionary newspaper *El Postillón* to New York from Puerto Rico, where it had been suppressed by the Spanish authorities. His exile had taken him to Santo Domingo, Haiti, Curazao, Venezuela, Jamaica, Martinique and Colombia. He was expelled from Venezuela in 1890 for attacking its president, Anueza Palacio, briefly returned to Puerto Rico, and from there went on to New York. In addition to his newspaper, he published from his print shop books and broadsides.
for the Cuban and Puerto Rican expatriate communities. His shop became a meeting place for intellectuals, literary figures and political leaders. He also published two volumes of his original verse that are foundational for Puerto Rican letters: *Romances* and *En la arena*.25

Like Martí, Marín died in battle on Cuban soil, in 1897.

Out of his print shop Imprenta América, Sotero Figueroa not only produced revolutionary newspapers and other publications, he also served as president of the Club Borinquen. Sotero Figueroa printed the newspaper *El Porvenir* (The Future) for publisher/editor Enrique Trujillo and *Borinquen*, a bimonthly newspaper (issued by the Puerto Rican section of Cuban Revolutionary Party) founded in 1898 and edited by Robert H. Todd (Fitzpatrick, 304). More importantly, Figueroa worked closely with José Martí on his publishing projects and provided the printing for one of the most important organs of the revolutionary movement, New York’s *La Patria*, founded by Martí as the official organ of the Cuban Revolutionary Party in which Martí published essays and speeches (Fornet, 178). In addition, Figueroa’s Imprenta América probably prepared the books issued for *La Patria*’s publishing house, Ediciones de *La Patria*, as well as works for the book-publishing arm of *El Porvenir*, which issued, beginning in 1890, the monumental five-volume biographical dictionary *Album de “El Porvenir”*.26

### The Mexican Exile Press

While Cubans and Puerto Rican patriots had to endure passage by ship and customs authorities to enter the United States, the Mexican exile press was relatively easy to establish: Expatriate revolutionaries simply crossed a border and installed themselves in long-standing Mexican-origin communities of the Southwest. The relatively open border had served to protect
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numerous *personae non grata* on both sides of the dividing line for decades. The Mexican expatriate revolutionary press movement was begun around 1885, when the Porfirio Díaz regime in Mexico became so repressive that scores of publishers and editors went or were forced into exile. Publishers such as Adolfo Carrillo, who had opposed Díaz with his *El Correo del Lunes* (The Monday Mail), relocated all along the border, hoping to smuggle their papers back into Mexico. Carrillo settled in San Francisco, where he established *La República* (The Republic, 1885) and General Ignacio Martínez went into exile in Brownsville to launch *El Mundo* in 1885 and organize insurgent groups from there. An assassin’s bullet terminated Martínez’s activities in 1891. Paulino Martínez (no relation) established his *El Monitor Democrático* in San Antonio in 1888 and his *La Voz de Juárez* and *El Chinaco*, both in Laredo in 1889 and 1890, respectively.

By 1900, the most important Mexican revolutionary journalist and ideologue, Ricardo Flores Magón, launched his newspaper *Regeneración* (Regeneration) in Mexico City and was promptly suppressed. Flores Magón was jailed four times in Mexico for his radical journalism; following a sentence of eight months (in which the judge prohibited his reading and writing while in jail), Flores Magón went into U. S. exile; in fact, the Mexican government, backed by its supreme court, had prohibited the publication of any newspaper by Flores Magón (Argudín, 110). In 1904, he began publishing *Regeneración* in San Antonio, then in Saint Louis in 1905 and in Canada in 1906; in 1907, he founded *Revolución* in Los Angeles, and once again in 1908 revived *Regeneración* there. Throughout these years, Ricardo and his brothers (Enrique and Jesús) employed any and every subterfuge possible to smuggle the newspapers from the United States into Mexico, even stuffing them into cans or wrapping them in other newspapers sent to San Luis Potosí, where they were then distributed to sympathizers throughout the country (Argudín, 110).
Along with his brothers, Ricardo Flores Magón emerged as a leader of the movement to overthrow the Díaz regime, founding the Liberal Reformist Association in 1901. Flores Magón’s approach differed in that he wedded his ideas about revolution in Mexico to the struggle of working people in the United States, and this difference in part accounted for the newspaper’s popularity among Mexican and Mexican-American laborers engaged in unionizing efforts in the United States:

*Regeneración*’s view was that the Mexicans, in their struggle against political tyranny and exploitive capitalism, were leading the way for the liberation of the working-class Mexicans in the United States. Magón used *Regeneración* to publicize rallies and labor conferences in Los Angeles and elsewhere; the constant theme was the alliance of the Mexicans, Chicano and Anglo-American working class.31

Pursued by Díaz’s agents32 in San Antonio, Ricardo and Enrique moved to St. Louis, where they established the Partido Liberal Mexicano (Mexican Liberal Party), dedicated to proletarian social justice in its provision of an ideological base for the revolutionaries. They established a chain of chapters across the Southwest that spread their ideology, largely through meetings, fund-raising events, and the publication of newspapers, pamphlets and books. By the time he moved to Los Angeles in 1907 to publish *Revolución*, Flores Magón was openly embracing anarchism and losing many of his Mexican and Mexican-American followers, who rejected his extremism.33

Flores Magón and *Regeneración* were considered radical by the U. S. government, which during World War I was attempting to suppress radical politics within its borders. The weapon used by the U. S. government against the radical foreign language press was implementation by the post office of the Trading with the Enemy Act34 and the 1917 Espionage Act; the post office denied second-class mailing privileges to some radical newspapers on the grounds that the government would not function as “an agent in the circulation of printed matter which it regards as injurious to the people” and it otherwise refused its services to persons engaged in enemy propaganda.35 *Regeneración* was targeted, and Flores Magón indicted, on the basis of a manifesto to anarchists and laborers of the world published in the March 16, 1918 edition.36 The manifesto supposedly contained false statements, interfered with U. S. military operations, incited disloyalty and
mutiny, interfered with enlistment and recruiting, and violated the provisions of the Espionage Act; in addition, no English translation had been filed with the U. S. Post Office. In 1918, Ricardo Flores Magón was arrested by federal authorities for breaking the neutrality laws; he was found guilty and sentenced to twenty years in federal prison. He died in Leavenworth in 1922 of mysterious causes, some of his friends and correspondents alleging that he had been denied treatment for heart ailments and diabetes.

According to Griswold del Castillo, “Of all the border papers, *Regeneración* was undoubtedly the most influential in Mexico, at least in terms of affecting social change in Mexico. Many reforms suggested by Magón in the pages of the paper were later incorporated into the Mexican constitution of 1917” (43). Numerous Spanish-language periodicals in the Southwest echoed the ideas of Flores Magón and were affiliated with the Partido Liberal Mexicano (PLM), including *La Bandera Roja, El Demócrata, La Democracia, Humanidad, 1810, El Liberal, Punto Rojo* and *La Reforma Social*, all of which were located along the border from the Rio Grande Valley in South Texas to Douglas, Arizona. In addition to *Regeneración* and *Revolución* in Los Angeles, *Libertad y Trabajo* was another PLM newspaper.

Among the most interesting writers were those articulating labor and gender issues as part of the social change to be implemented with the triumph of the revolution. Notable among the early writers and editors associated with the PML and Flores Magón was schoolteacher Sara Estela Ramírez, who emigrated from Mexico in 1898 to teach in Mexican schools in Laredo, Texas. Her passionate and eloquent speeches and poetry, performed at meetings of laborers and community people, spread the ideas of labor organizing and revolutionary social reform in Mexico. Ramírez wrote for two important Mexican immigrant newspapers, *La Crónica* and *El
Demócrata Fronterizo. In 1901 she began editing and publishing her own newspaper La Corregidora (named after the heroine who furthered the Mexican independence movement from Spain almost a century earlier), which she printed in Mexico City, Laredo and San Antonio. In 1910, Ramírez founded a short-lived literary magazine, Aurora; she died that same year of a long-standing illness (Hernández Tovar, 29). During the pre-revolutionary years, Ramírez also wrote for Juana Gutiérrez de Mendoza’s newspaper Véspere: Justicia y Libertad (Dawn: Justice and Liberty), said to have had a weekly circulation of 8,000. Ramírez joined with Gutiérrez de Mendoza and other women revolutionaries in founding Regeneración y Concordia (Regeneration and Concordance), an organization to further “the betterment of conditions for Indians and the proletariat, elevation of the economic as well as moral and intellectual status of women, and unification of all revolutionary forces” (Hernández Tovar, 13). Ramírez was one of a cadre of women activists engaged in the revolutionary struggle, quite often utilizing the press to further their ideas.

According to Lomas, the following periodicals under the direction of women not only furthered the revolutionary cause but articulated gender issues: Teresa Villarreal’s El Obrero (The Worker, 1909), Isidra T. de Cárdenas’ La Voz de la Mujer (The Woman's Voice, 1907) and Blanca de Moncaleano’s Pluma Roja (Red Pen, 1913–1915). Gómez-Quiñones adds La Mujer Moderna (The Modern Woman) to the list; it was published by Teresa and Andrea Villarreal in San Antonio in affiliation with the feminist Club Liberal “Leona Vicario,” established for educational and PLM fund-raising purposes (Gómez-Quiñones, Sembradores, 36).

While each of these publications directly opposed the Díaz regime and were influenced by the Brothers Flores Magón and the PLM, only Pluma Roja placed the emancipation of women at the center of its agenda. Lomas (300) further states that the clear model of feminist militancy was the Guanajuato, Mexico, newspaper Véspere (Dawn), edited by Juana Belén Gutiérrez de Mendoza, in which Sara Estela Ramírez had previously collaborated. The language of both Véspere and the women’s newspapers north of the border has been characterized as viril (virile) and estilo en pantalones (wearing pants, i.e., manly), which can clearly be seen in this passionate expression of the revolutionary mission of La Voz de la Mujer: “Hoy el dilema es otro: tomar lo que se necesita, ¡libertad! Y ésta sólo se conquista con rebeldías. ¡Hay que ser rebeldes! Primero morir, antes que consentir que nuestros hijos lleven el estigma de la esclavitud. A nosotras,
madres y esposas, hermanas o hijas, toca encausar este dilema” (28 July 1907) [Today the dilemma is another: to take what is needed, liberty! Liberty can be conquered only through rebellion. It is up to us, mothers and wives, sisters and daughters, to confront this dilemma (Cited in and translated by Lomas, 302).] Lom as has shown that although La Voz de la Mujer exhorted women to become active in the public sphere, its purpose ultimately was to benefit the state and thus was limited within the constraints of nationalism. However, La Voz de la Mujer was innovative, consistently representing a collective and decisive voice for women in print, where they had not often appeared as leaders, intellectuals and revolutionaries. Not only was the title of the newspaper a collective expression, most of the editorials and commentaries were unsigned, and were simply written in the first person plural, *we*: “nosotras.”

*Pluma Roja* was founded in Los Angeles and edited by Blanca de Moncaleano, an anarchist from Colombia who had been drawn (with her husband, Juan Francisco Moncaleano, another revolutionary journalist) to Mexico to support Flores Magón’s cause. After the Moncaleanos were expelled from Mexico in 1912 by President Francisco Madero, Blanca founded her newspaper, which positioned women’s liberation as central to any social change. In Lom as’ words, “the need to recode the position of women in society was at the center of the struggle for social, political and economic freedom, and was an integral part of the ideal of anarchism. For *Pluma Roja*, unquestioned patriarchal authority, upheld by religion and the state, was the target of the red pen” (305). The anarchist program proposed by *Pluma Roja* called for full emancipation of women from three oppressors: the state, religion and capital. Editor Blanca de Moncaleano addressed both women and men to participate in the re-making of society,
and she was severely critical of any men in the revolutionary movement not conscious of their own suppression and enslavement of women (Lomas, 306): “Engolfados los hombres en su supuesta superioridad, fatuos por su ignorancia, han creído que sin la ayuda de la mujer, pueden llegar a la meta de la emancipación humana” (Pluma Roja, 27 June 1915: 1) [Lost in the supposition of their superiority, stupefied by their ignorance, men have believed that, without the assistance of women, they can reach the goal of human emancipation]. At this juncture in history, it is hard to assess the effect of such writing and these newspapers on Mexican women of the Southwest; in Mexico, however, the Revolution did serve as a catalyst for the women’s movement.

The Mexican exile press flourished into the 1930s, with weekly newspapers siding with one faction or another. By no means was this press as liberal as the exile press prior to the outbreak of the Revolution. What sprang up was an exile press founded largely by conservatives dislodged by the socialist revolution; they came to established Mexican-American communities, many with resources in hand, and became businesspeople and entrepreneurs. Some founded newspapers to serve the rapidly expanding community of economic refugees, and their newspapers eventually became the backbone of an immigrant rather than exile press, as their entrepreneurial spirit overtook their political commitment to change in the homeland. Others founded political papers as part of their commitment to restoring the peace and prosperity as they knew it in Mexico prior to the upheaval; some publishers were overt politicians and ideologues, as had been the revolutionaries who were their precursors in exile.

El Paso’s political newspapers were as divided as those throughout the Southwest: México Libre supported Victoriano Huerta, while La Patria and El Correo del Bravo were partisans of Venustiano Carranza (see Griswold del Castillo, 43). With the Cristero War (1926–1929), resulting from government persecution of the Church (arising from the anticlerical tenets of the 1917 Mexican constitution), a fresh batch of political refugees founded newspapers to attack the Mexican government and to serve the needs of the exiled religious community. During the build-up of conflict between church and state in Mexico, such periodicals as La Guadalupana: Revista Mensual Católica (The Guadalupan: Catholic Monthly Magazine, 1922) and El Renacimiento: Semanario Católico (The Renascence: Catholic Weekly, 1923) were founded in El Paso, and La Esperanza (Hope, 1924) in Los Angeles. The weekly El Amigo del Hogar
(Friend of the Home, 1925) was founded by the Círculo de Obreros Católicos San José in Indiana Harbor, Indiana, but its pages were not limited to issues of religious persecution and exile, extending to general news, literature and culture. It also defended the local community by such actions as leading a battle to desegregate local movie houses.\textsuperscript{47} In truth, the influence of the Cristero refugees was felt in many newspapers, not just in specialized publications; the already conservative counter-revolutionary papers naturally focused on religious persecution in Mexico and atrocities committed by the government.

**Other Exile Press Movements**

The next wave of Hispanic political refugees to reach U.S. shores came from across the Atlantic: those fleeing Spanish fascism. Hispanic communities across the United States embraced the refugees and sympathized with their cause; many Cuban, Mexican and Puerto Rican organizations had fund-raisers for the Republican cause during the Spanish Civil War. Expatriates were fast to establish their own exile press. Their efforts hit fertile soil in Depression-era communities that were already hotbeds for union and socialist organizing. Manhattan and Brooklyn were the centers of Hispanic anti-fascist fervor and contributed *España Libre* (Free Spain, 1939–1977), *España Nueva* (New Spain, 1923–1942), *España Republicana* (Republican Spain, 1931–1935), *Frente Popular* (Popular Front, 1937–1939) and *La Liberación* (The Liberation, 1946–1949). Many Hispanic labor and socialist organizations, in which Spanish immigrant workers were prominent, published newspapers supporting the Republican cause: the long-running anarchist paper *Cultura Proletaria* (Proletarian Culture, 1910–1959), *El Obrero* (The Worker, 1931-1932) and *Vida Obrera* (Worker Life, 1930-1932).\textsuperscript{48} The Hispanic labor press in Tampa, Chicago and the Southwest also felt solidarity with the Spanish expatriates, supporting the Republican cause in their pages and raising funds for refugees and victims of the Spanish Civil War.

Exiles and political refugees have continued to make up an important segment of Hispanic immigrants to the United States. With the Cuban Revolution, along with U.S. involvement during the Cold War in the civil wars of Central America and Chile, large-scale immigration of political refugees has continued to the present day. Beginning in 1959, a new wave of refugees from the Cuban Revolution established a widespread exile
press as well as a more informal network of hundreds of newsletters. Chileans, Salvadorans, Nicaraguans and other Latin American expatriates have all issued political newspapers and magazines in recent years. As the Hispanic population of the United States continues to grow (it is estimated to reach one-third of the total population by 2030), and as the economy of the United States becomes more internationally integrated through agreements such as the North American Free Trade Agreement, U.S. culture will likely become even more directly linked to the internal politics of Latin America. The culture of Hispanic exile will continue to be part of the overall culture of the United States into the foreseeable future; the United States will continue to be a base from which political refugees will use the press—and, today, electronic media such as Radio Martí—to express their opposition to governments in their homelands.

But more than that, Hispanic political refugees have always represented an important immigration trend in the development of Hispanic communities within the United States. Their knowledge and perspectives live on in Hispanic culture today; the refugees did not always return to the homeland. Many of them and their children intermarried here with other Hispanic natives and immigrants; many of them and their children eventually blended into the grand community that is recognizable today as a national ethnic minority. The children of the political refugees who founded Indiana Harbor’s *El Amigo del Hogar* in 1925 began publishing *The Latin Times* (1956–), a bilingual ethnic minority newspaper in the post-World War II period. The tobacco workers who so fervently supported the Cuban revolutionary movement through publications, such as *El Yara*, eventually became United States citizens and are the basis for today’s ethnic minority communities in Tampa and Key West, where they support such newspapers as the still trilingually published *La Gaceta*.

## The Immigrant Press

Scholars of the press have confused ethnicity with immigrant status when studying the press of Hispanic groups in the United States. For instance, Sally M. Miller, in her *The Ethnic Press in the United States: An Historical Analysis and Handbook*, makes it clear that for her the “ethnic” press was established and sustained by immigrants from the eighteenth century on, but flourished at the end of the nineteenth century, when the greatest number of immigrants arrived in the United States. In fact, this
identification of ethnicity with immigrant status does not function well at all for the Hispanic press, and may not function either for studying the press of African Americans, Native Americans and other groups who have been incorporated into the United States or whose second, third and fourth generations maintain a press. Many Hispanic newspapers, especially in the Southwest, were not related to immigration in the nineteenth century, but were created by and for long-standing native residents. Then, too, much of the periodical production of Hispanics since the nineteenth century has been created and sustained by second, third and fourth generations. Language, too, is an issue that is not as clear cut as Miller would have it. Many of the nineteenth-century periodicals of Hispanics were Spanish-English bilingual. There were even some that were Spanish-French bilingual and Spanish-Italian; and a notable Tampa newspaper, La Gaceta, has been trilingual (English-Spanish-Italian) since its founding in 1922. From World War II to the present, many Hispanic periodicals have appeared solely in English.

The Wynars, in their Encyclopedic Directory of Ethnic Newspapers and Periodicals in the United States, make no distinction between exile, immigrant, foreign language or ethnic-minority press, preferring to group all of these various sociological and linguistic designations together under the general rubric of “ethnic press,” and insisting that there is a qualitative difference between the “immigrant” and “ethnic” designations. Their definition is: “The American ethnic press may be defined as consisting of newspapers and periodicals published either in English, in non-English or bilingually, published by ethnic organizations or individuals in the United States, and specifically aimed at an ethnic readership. The contents of such publications are primarily designed to satisfy the needs and interests common to persons of a particular ethnic group or community” (15). I would apply the Wynar definition (below) of the function of the ethnic press, not to the exile press at all, but to Hispanic immigrant and ethnic-minority newspapers:

. . . the principal agent by which the identity, cohesiveness and structure of the ethnic community are preserved and perpetuated. It is by providing the sense of shared identity and common consciousness that the ethnic press serves as the cementing element within the community. The function of the press, as one of the major educational agents within the ethnic community, evolves from that of a primarily immigrant society to
that of an established native American ethnic community. While the com-
community still remains in its immigrant stage, the press primarily serves as
the major tool of adjustment. By printing American news, describing the
American way of life, and interpreting the conditions, customs, laws, and
mores of the new society, the immigrant press eases the process of adjust-
ment and consequently hastens the assimilative process. While the im-
migrant press acts as an agent of assimilation, at the same time it also func-
tions as a force that retards assimilation. This latter role, the slowing of the
assimilative process, results from the press's tendency to preserve the eth-
nic culture and identity by encouraging language retention, stimulating a
continued interest in the country of origin, and sustaining involvement in
ethnic community affairs within the host country. (18)

I believe it is best to differentiate between an immigrant press and an
ethnic or ethnic-minority press. As can be seen above, the Wynars make
them subcategories of the “ethnic” press: immigrant and native American
ethnic presses. Since the mid-nineteenth century, Hispanic immigrants
have created periodicals serving their enclaves in their native language,
maintaining a connection with the homeland while helping the immi-
grants to adjust to a new society and culture here. The Hispanic immigrant
press shares many of the distinctions that Park identified in 1922 in a study
on the immigrant press as a whole. 51 Included among these distinctions
were: (1) the predominant use of the language of the homeland, (2) serv-
ing a population united by that language, irrespective of national origin,
and (3) the need to interpret events from a particular racial or nationalist
point of view, and furthering nationalism (9–13). Park also noted that immi-
grants read more in the United States than in their lands of origin, for a
variety of reasons: The press there was not available or it was restricted,
“there is more going on or they need to know” and “news is a kind of
urgent information that men use in making adjustments to a new environ-
ment, in changing old habits, and in forming new opinions” (9). He further
states, “The very helplessness of the immigrant . . . is a measure of the nov-
elty of the American environment and the immigrant’s lack of adjustment
to it” (9). To summarize, then, the immigrant press serves a population in
transition from the land of origin to the United States by providing news
and interpretation to orient them and facilitate adjustment to the new
society, while maintaining the link with the old society. Underlying Park’s
distinctions and those of other students of immigration are the concepts
of the American Dream and the Melting Pot: that the immigrants came to
find a better life, implicitly a better culture, and that soon they or their
descendants would become Americans and there would no longer be a
need for this type of press. In fact, Park’s study was implemented as part
of a more generalized study on how to Americanize immigrants. For Park,
the immigrant press was a transitory phenomenon, one that would disap-
pear as the group became assimilated into the melting pot of U. S. society.
The attitude of not assimilating or melting, in fact, has characterized the
Hispanic immigrant press from the nineteenth century to the present. The
advice in 1880 of Corpus Christi’s El Horizonte (The Horizon, 1879–80) to
its Mexican and Spanish readership was typical of many of the Mexican
immigrant papers: in essence, Do not become citizens of the United States
because there is so much prejudice and persecution here that you will
never enjoy the benefits of that citizenship, while you will lose those ben-
efits in your homeland:

Mexicans, known as such or having renounced the title, are always
treated unjustly and negatively by judges, citizens, the powerful, and in
general all of the children of this nation.

Therefore, if no improvement will be achieved, and we are all con-
vinced of that, why should we renounce the title of children of the
Republic of Mexico . . . we shall always be foreigners in the United States
and they will always consider us as such?52

Although Park’s analysis is somewhat dated, it resulted from a closer,
more ethnically broader and generally more thorough study than most of
subsequent studies. I agree with many of Park’s observations on the func-
tions of the immigrant press, but I would add that the defense of the com-
munity was also an important function of the press. Hispanic newspapers
were especially sensitive to racism and abuse of immigrant rights. Almost
all of the Hispanic immigrant newspapers announced their service in pro-
tection of the community in mastheads and/or in editorials, and some of
them followed up on this commitment in leading campaigns to desegre-
gate schools, movie houses and other facilities or to construct their alter-
native institutions for the Hispanic community’s use. Contrary to Park’s
prognosis for the ethnic identity of immigrants, the history of Hispanic
groups in the United States has shown an unmeltable ethnicity.
Immigration from Spanish-speaking countries has been almost a steady
flow from the founding of the United States to the present, and there
seems no end to the phenomenon in the foreseeable future.
grant newspapers of individual Hispanic groups do, however, seem to give way over time to newspapers serving more than one Hispanic nationality; and the children of this readership may consume English-language or bilingual periodicals that serve ethnic-minority interests rather than immigrant ones. Thus, today, while many immigrants may read New York's *El Diario-La Prensa* or Los Angeles' *La Opinión*, subsequent generations of Hispanics may subscribe to a variety of English-language Hispanic magazines, such as *Hispanic* or *Latina*.

The immigrant press is not to be confused with the native Hispanic press, to be addressed in the next section. The native Hispanic press developed first in the Southwest in the mid-nineteenth century and later in most Hispanic communities, and has served a readership predominantly of U.S. citizens. This press was cognizant of the racial, ethnic and/or minority status of its readers within U.S. society and culture. The ethnic-minority press may make use of Spanish or English; it may include immigrants in its readership and among its interests; it may cover news and commentary of various “homelands,” such as Cuba, Mexico, Puerto Rico or Spain; but its fundamental reason for existence and its point of reference is its audience’s life and conditions in the United States. Unlike the immigrant press, it does not have one foot in the homeland.

**Important Immigrant Newspapers**

What follows immediately is a brief survey of newspapers serving newly arrived communities of Hispanic immigrants. Of course, the diverse and often conflicting missions of Hispanic newspapers were (and are) not always clear-cut. In fact, Hispanic newspapers, especially large dailies such as San Antonio’s *La Prensa*, Los Angeles’ *La Opinión*, New York’s *La Prensa* and El Diario de Nueva York served diverse publics of exiles, immigrants and U.S. minority citizens. But their largest readership was—and, for those that still exist, continues to be—Spanish-speaking immigrants. Furthermore, while a newspaper may have been founded to serve an immigrant or exile group, as the community evolved, so too may have the newspaper from an immigrant journal into an ethnic-minority newspaper. *El Amigo del Hogar* was founded in Indiana Harbor, Indiana, in 1925 by religious and political refugees, but soon was taking on many of the responsibilities of a typical immigrant newspaper and even fought civil rights battles with local businesses and authorities. It ceased publication
during the Depression and was reincarnated in 1956 by the same family of printer-publishers, the Figueroas, as *The Latin Times*. Since its establishment, the weekly has been a predominantly English-language newspaper designed to provide an alternative news source, relevant to the concerns of the Mexican-American and the growing Puerto Rican communities of East Chicago. *The Latin Times* has always served as a watchdog over local politics and as a defender of the civil rights of Hispanics.

With these distinctions in mind, then, the following are some of the more important immigrant newspapers.

While *El Mercurio de Nueva York* (1829–1830) and *El Mensagero Semanal de Nueva York* (1828–1831) may have served immigrant populations and functioned somewhat as described above, it was not until much later, when larger Hispanic immigrant communities began to form, that more characteristic immigrant newspapers were founded. Among these, I would include San Francisco’s *Sud Americano* (1855), *El Eco del Pacífico* (1856), *La Voz de Méjico* (1862) and *El Nuevo Mundo* (1864), which served a burgeoning community of immigrants from northern Mexico and from throughout the Hispanic world, as far away as Chile, all drawn to the Bay Area during the Gold Rush and collateral industrial and commercial development. From the 1850s through the 1870s, San Francisco supported the largest, longest-running and most financially successful Spanish-language newspapers in the United States.

In fact, San Francisco was able to support two daily Spanish-language newspapers during this period: *El Eco del Pacífico* and *El Tecolote* (1875–1879). And the ownership and editorship of many of these papers was made up of immigrants from Spain, Chile, Colombia and Mexico. The largest of these was *El Eco del Pacífico*, which had grown out of a Spanish-language page of the French-language newspaper *L’Echo du Pacifique* and
had become independent in 1856 under the editorship of José Marcos Mugarrieta (Goff, 64). San Francisco’s Spanish-language newspapers covered news of the homeland, which varied from coverage of Spain and Chile to Central America and Mexico, and generally assisted the immigrants in adjusting to the new environment. Very closely reported on was the French Intervention in Mexico, with various of the newspapers supporting fund raising events for the war effort and aid for widows and orphans, in addition to working with the local Junta Patriótica Mexicana, even printing in toto the long speeches made at the Junta’s meetings. The newspapers reported on discrimination and persecution of Hispanic miners and generally saw the defense of the Hispanic colonia to be a priority, denouncing abuse of Hispanic immigrants and natives. Hispanic readers in the Southwest were acutely aware of racial issues in the United States, and sided with the North during the Civil War, which also was extensively covered in the newspapers.

While San Francisco’s Hispanic population was the state’s largest in the nineteenth century, it was Los Angeles that received the largest number of Mexican immigrants with the massive exodus of refugees from the Revolution of 1910. It was thus Los Angeles in the twentieth century that, along with San Antonio and New York, supported some of the most important Spanish-language daily newspapers, periodicals that began as immigrant newspapers. Between 1910 and 1924, some half million Mexican immigrants settled in the United States; Los Angeles and San Antonio were their settlements of choice. Into these two cities an entrepreneurial class of refugees came with cultural and financial capital sufficient to establish businesses of all types to serve the rapidly growing Mexican enclaves; they constructed everything from tortilla factories to Hispanic theaters and movie houses, and through their cultural leadership in mutual aid societies, the churches, theaters and newspapers, they were able to reinforce an nationalistic ideology that ensured the solidarity and insularity of their community, or market, if you will. In addition to being home to important existing Mexican communities, Los Angeles and San Antonio were chosen by the new economic and political refugees because both cities were undergoing rapid industrialization and modernization, and work and opportunities were available. Los Angeles and San Antonio were also good bases for recruitment of agricultural and railroad workers. The flood of Mexican workers into both cities spurred the founding of numerous Spanish-language newspapers from 1911 until the Depression; each of
these two cities supported more Spanish-language newspapers during this period than any other city in the United States.

*El Heraldo de México*, founded in Los Angeles in 1915 by owner Juan de Heras and publisher Cesar F. Marburg, has been called a “people’s newspaper”55 because of its focus on and importance to the Mexican immigrant worker in Los Angeles. It often proclaimed its working-class identity, as well as its promotion of Mexican nationalism; through its publishing house it issued the first novel narrated from the perspective of a “Chicano,” i.e., a Mexican-working class immigrant: Daniel Venegas’ *Las aventuras de Don Chipote o Cuando los pericos mamen*.56 The most popular Mexican newspaper at this time, its circulation extended beyond 4,000 (Chacón, 50). As Chacón has stated,

El Heraldo was the common man’s newspaper, a mass press for the growing Spanish-reading population that had recently trekked across from Mexico. *El Heraldo* claimed that it was the “Defender of the Mexicans in the United States” and expressed appreciation to the Chicano working class, who were its chief subscribers. The focus of the newspaper was local, national and international in scope. However, news coverage was oriented to events in Mexico and the United States, particularly in California, that were of interest to Chicanos. Other news pertaining to U. S. developments, unless it directly affected the lives of the Spanish speaking of the Southwest, was minimal (50).

Like many other Hispanic immigrant newspapers, *El Heraldo de México* devoted the largest proportion of its coverage to news of the homeland, followed by news directly affecting the immigrants in the United States, followed by news and advertisements that would be of interest to working-class immigrants. Chacón found that among the social roles played by *El Heraldo de México*, the most important was the defense of the Mexican immigrant, by publishing editorials and devoting considerable space to combating discrimination and the exploitation of immigrant labor; it particularly brought attention to the role played by labor contractors and American employers in mistreating the immigrant workers (62). *El Heraldo de México* even went a step further in 1919 by attempting to organize Mexican laborers into an association, the Liga Protectiva Mexicana de California, to protect their rights and further their interests (62).

*El Heraldo de México* was in no way an exception in considering the defense of its community to be one of its most important missions. In fact,
both the immigrant and the ethnic-minority press shared this mission and proudly announced it often on mastheads, in editorials and in prospectuses. The defense of the Hispanic community within the larger society, perceived as alien and hostile, was a mission shared by such newspapers throughout the Southwest, the Midwest, Florida and the Northeast. This prospectus for New York’s *Gráfico* (32 July 1937), safeguarding the rights of Spanish speakers in Harlem, echoed the same sentiments of prospectuses and editorials in Los Angeles, San Antonio, Laredo and Tampa:

> We want to make this weekly publication an efficient instrument dedicated to the defense of the Spanish speaking population of Harlem and a vehicle for mutual understanding and comprehension between the two main racial groups living in this section.

> We do not expect financial compensation. The cooperation given to GRAFI CO will not be used for individual aggrandizement. Ours is amateur and disinterested journalism.

> We feel the immediate necessity of taking up important issues pertaining to our common defense . . .

This defense of the Mexican immigrant community was primary even in a Midwestern Spanish-language newspaper like *El Cosmopolita* (The Cosmopolitan, 1914–1919), despite its being owned by Jack Danciger, an Anglo-American businessman intent on selling alcoholic beverages and other products imported from Mexico to the Mexican colony in Kansas City.57 While Danciger was principally interested in protecting and furthering his business interests on both sides of the border, even to the extent of forging extensive relations with the Constitutionalist government of Venustiano Carranza, the priorities of his Mexican editors lay in providing the vast array of information that the Spanish-speaking immigrants needed to survive in Kansas City—such as housing and employment information—as well as defending them from discrimination and exploitation.

The newspaper protested against segregation, racial prejudice, police harassment and brutality, injustice in the judicial system and mistreatment in the workplace. Unlike other commercial newspapers, such as San Antonio’s *La Prensa*, Kansas City’s *El Cosmopolita* consistently supported the Mexican Revolution and railed against United States interventionism, although the paper also supported Woodrow Wilson, who had been responsible for the sending U. S. troops into Mexico (Smith, 80–2). All of these stances may have reflected Danciger’s own political alliances and
business interests—there is even some suggestion that the Carranza administration may have partially funded *El Cosmopolita* (Smith, 74)—rather than the Kansas City Mexican colony’s being any more liberal than San Antonio’s, for example. But, as Kansas City was a railroad center, as well as a labor market for the Midwest, its Mexican immigrant community probably consisted of a higher percentage of laborers and did not attract as many political refugees and Mexican bourgeoisie as did El Paso, Los Angeles and San Antonio. Consequently, Danciger’s political stances may have been reflected by the Mexican working class in the city. *El Cosmopolita* tried to maintain a balance between protecting the rights and interests of its community and urging the community to better itself, especially through education (Smith, 77); and while the newspaper did promote Mexican and Hispanic culture, and pride in these, it does not appear that the editors and writers were as fervent in promoting these as their counterparts in the newspapers of the Southwest.

For the Mexican immigrant communities in the Southwest, defense of the civil and human rights also extended to protecting Mexican immigrants from the influence of Anglo-American culture and Protestantism. The publishers, editorialists and columnists were almost unanimous in developing and promoting the idea of a “México de afuera”—a Mexican colony existing outside of Mexico, in which it was the duty of the individual to maintain the Spanish language, keep the Catholic faith and insulate their children from what community leaders perceived as the low moral standards practiced by Anglo-Americans.

In the canon of “México de afuera,” the highest niches in the pantheon, in fact, were reserved for preserving the Spanish language and preserving the Mexican culture and Catholicism. Mexican Catholicism in the United States was further reinforced when the Cristero War produced a flood of refugees, including the Church hierarchy, into the U.S. Southwest. Basic to the belief system of this culture in “exile” was the return to Mexico when the hostilities of the Revolution were over and the chaos had subsided to a point that order was reestablished in its pre-Revolutionary form. Mexican national culture was to be preserved while in exile in the midst of iniquitous Anglo Protestants, whose culture was aggressively degrading even while discriminating against Hispanics. The ideology was most expressed and disseminated by cultural elites, many of whom were the political and religious refugees from the Revolution. They represented the most conservative segment of Mexican society; in the United States, their
cultural and business entrepreneurship exerted leadership in all phases of life in the *colonia* and solidified a conservative substratum for Mexican-American culture for decades to come. And these educated political refugees often played a key role in publishing. The “México de afuera” ideology was markedly nationalistic and militated to preserve Mexican identity in the United States. In a philosophical, cultural and biological sense, the ideology ensured the preservation of the group in an environment where Hispanic women were in short supply and seen as subject to pursuit by Anglo-American males, where the English language and more liberal or progressive Anglo-American customs and values were overwhelming and where discrimination and abuse against Mexicans occurred.

Park noted that the immigrant press of all groups tends to be highly nationalistic:

The nationalistic tendencies of the immigrants find their natural expression and strongest stimulus in the national societies, the Church, and the foreign-language press—the institutions most closely connected with the preservation of the racial languages. In these, the immigrant feels the home ties more strongly; they keep him in touch with the political struggle at home and even give him opportunities to take part in it. Both consciously and unconsciously they might be expected to center the immigrants interests and activities in Europe and so keep him apart from American life. (50–1)

Park further makes clear that, as seen through their press of late nineteenth- and early twentieth-centuries, immigrants did not come to the United States to assimilate its culture:

But foreign-language institutions and agencies, the Church and the press and the nationalist societies, have sought not merely to protect against assimilation those immigrants who were here temporarily, but to protect among those who would remain permanently in the United States the traditions and language of the home country. At least, some of the leaders among the immigrant peoples have thought of the United States as a region to be colonized by Europeans, where each language group would maintain its own language and culture, using English as a *lingua franca* and means of communication among the different nationalities. (60)

Inherent in the ideology of “México de afuera” as it was expressed by many cultural elites was an upper-class and bourgeois mentality that iron-
ically tended to resent association with the Mexican immigrant working class. To them, the poor *braceros* and former *peons* were an uneducated mass whose ignorant habits only gave Anglo-Americans the wrong impression of Mexican and Hispanic culture. To such self-exiled elites, many Mexican Americans and other Hispanics long residing within the United States were little better than Anglos themselves, having abandoned their language and many cultural traits in exchange for the almighty dollar. It was, therefore, important that *la gente de bien*, this educated and refined class, grasp the leadership of the community, down to the grass roots, if need be, in the holy crusade of preserving Hispanic identity in the face of the Anglo onslaught.

Among the most powerful of the political, business and intellectual figures in the Mexican immigrant community was Ignacio E. Lozano, founder and operator of the two most powerful and well distributed daily newspapers: San Antonio’s *La Prensa*, founded in 1913, and Los Angeles’ *La Opinión*, founded in 1926 and still publishing today. Lozano, from a successful business family in northern Mexico, relocated to San Antonio in 1908 with his mother and sister in search of business opportunities; there he opened a bookstore and gradually learned the newspaper business through on-the-job experiences while working first for San Antonio’s *El Noticiero* and later for *El Imparcial de Texas* (di Stefano, 99–103). With the business training and experience that he received in Mexico, Lozano was able to contribute professionalism and business acumen to Hispanic journalism in the United States, resulting in his successfully publishing two of the longest-running Spanish-language daily papers. His sound journalistic policies and emphasis on professionalism were reflected in his hiring of well-trained journalists, starting at the top with his appointment of Teodoro Torres, the “Father of Mexican Journalism,” to edit *La Prensa*.

The ideas of Torres and Lozano reached thousands not only in San Antonio but throughout the Southwest, Midwest and northern Mexico through a vast distribution system that included newsstand sales, home delivery and mail. *La Prensa* also set up a network of correspondents in the United States who were able to report on current events and cultural activities of Mexican communities as far away as Chicago, Detroit and New York. When around 1920 Obregón’s presidency effected more liberal stances toward the expatriate community, *La Prensa* began to circulate freely in northern Mexico, gaining a large readership from Piedras Negras west to Ciudad Juárez; Lozano was even able to travel to Mexico City and
meet with the president himself. Unlike the publishers of many other Hispanic immigrant newspapers, Lozano also set about serving the long-standing Mexican-American population in San Antonio and the Southwest. In his business and marketing acumen, he sought to reach broader segments and all classes, in part by not being overtly political or partisan of any political faction in Mexico and by recognizing the importance of the Mexicans who had long resided in the United States. He and his staff sought to bring Mexican Americans within the “México de afuera” ideology. Nemesio García Naranjo summarized the importance of Lozano’s vision regarding both the Mexican Americans and “México de afuera” in the founding and running of a newspaper in what García Naranjo viewed as the culturally impoverished environment of San Antonio:

Unable to find direction in a directionless environment, Ignacio E. Lozano made the indisputably correct decision of basing his work on the Mexicans that had resided for many years outside of the national territory. They were humble and barely educated people, but in spite of having existed far from Mexican soil, had preserved intact the traditions and customs of our ancestors. Without going into detailed analysis, they felt that there was something that does not sink in a shipwreck, that is not shaken by earthquakes nor burned in fires, and that immutable and eternal something is the soul of the Fatherland, which is always there to uplift the fallen, forgive the sinful, console the children who because they are absent cannot take refuge in their mother’s lap.

That’s why, while I appealed to expatriates, Lozano united with that simple crowd he liked to call the “México de afuera” which had nothing to do with our political and social convulsions. Because he united with a permanent public, Lozano had given La Prensa a solid base that, at that time, was unmovable.

Bruce-Novoa has commented that Mexican Americans themselves felt very Mexican and that La Prensa gave them an opportunity to see themselves as still part of that nationality:

I would venture to suggest that a good percentage of the native population of central and south Texas, even some who had never been in Mexico, wanted to be Mexican and considered themselves Mexican, perhaps because the Anglo Americans kept telling them they were exactly that. For these people Lozano provided a vehicle through which they could play out their illusion in a way previous Spanish-language newspa-
pers had not made possible and that English-language publications could never do.60

Bruce-Novoa has also offered an alternative reading of the “México de afuera” ideology, suggesting that the Mexican expatriates began to see themselves—and perhaps the Mexican Americans—as more authentically “Mexican” than those people who had remained in the country during and after the Revolution:

La Prensa, molded and controlled by men who were continually living the trauma of exile, reflected the disenchantment, especially in the first two decades of its existence. It was not until the mid-1930s that a general amnesty was declared for exiles by the president of Mexico Lázaro Cárdenas; so until then many of the editors and writers who had fled were not welcomed back in Mexico. And when exiles cannot return, they dedicate themselves to justifying their existence in a dual manner: they manipulate the image and significance of their residence outside their country by discrediting what the homeland has become; and two, they set about proving that they are the authentic bearers of the true tradition of the homeland and even of the ideals of the attempted revolution. Thus, they must declare the revolution a failure, at least temporarily, because only they have remained faithful to the true patriotic ideals. Eventually this exercise in self-justification leads to the claim that the homeland has actually moved with the exiles, that they have managed to bring it with them in some reduced form, and that if the opportunity should arise, they can take it back to replant it in the original garden of Eden. This explains how the Lozano group dared call themselves “El México de Afuera,” a term coined by one of the editors. (153)

In fact, many of the expatriates and their families never moved back to Mexico, and their children became United States citizens and the newspaper continued to serve them and their children until the early 1960s. La Prensa was able to evolve with the community into ethnic minority status and provide ideological and political analysis for the post-war Mexican American civil rights movement. According to Rubén Munguía, a printer, publisher and writer himself associated with the newspaper,

La Prensa, a conservative paper, can well lay claim to having awakened, even if this was not its goal, the liberal thinking of men such as Alonso Perales, Florencio Flores, M. C. González, Mauro Machado, Ben Garza from
Corpus Christi, Santiago Tafolla, Eleuterio Escobar, Jacob Rodríguez, Charles Albidress, Johnny Solís, Raúl A. Cortez, Joe Olivares, Rubén Lozano, and thousands of García’s, López’, Martínez’, etc. who no longer sought to return to the old country. They finally realized that they belonged here, and they organized vibrant, aggressive organizations such as the Knights of America, the Sons of America and LULAC to ensure for the new citizen, the Mexican American, his right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

The results of their labor were slow coming but in the 1950s great changes took place and the children of La Prensa’s early readers began to flex their muscles . . .

Unfortunately, La Prensa did not survive long enough to see the Chicano Movement of the 1960s, the civil rights movement that promoted a cultural nationalism of its own. La Prensa suffered a slow death beginning in 1957, when it reverted to a weekly and then was sold repeatedly to various interests until it shut down forever in 1963. Unlike Los Angeles, where La Opinion still thrives today, San Antonio did not continue to attract a steady or large enough stream of immigrants to sustain the newspaper as the children of the immigrants became English-dominant. Munguía has eloquently characterized La Prensa’s demise:

As more of our Mexican group became fluent in English and became qualified to enter into the mainstream, participate in our politics, compete efficiently in the markets and shops, less became our dependency on La Prensa which, like an old grandmother, was tolerated, pampered and loved. As her influence became weaker and weaker, she reluctantly lost her hold on our people and gave way to progress and passed on to the world of bittersweet memories. (135)

But in her day La Prensa was indeed influential. Lozano and many of his prominent writers and editorialists became leaders of Mexican/Mexican-American communities in the United States. Businessmen such as Lozano captured an isolated and specialized market. They shaped and cultivated their market for cultural products and print media as efficiently as others sold material goods and Mexican foods and delivered specialized services to the community of immigrants. The Mexican community truly benefitted in that the entrepreneurs and businessmen did provide needed goods, information and services that were often
denied by the larger society through official and open segregation. And, of course, writers, artists and intellectuals provided both high and popular culture and entertainment in a language not offered by Anglo-American society: Spanish-language books and periodicals, silent films with Spanish-dialog frames and Spanish-language drama and vaudeville, among other entertainment and popular art forms.

The **Cronistas**

Many talented writers from Mexico, Spain and Latin America earned their living as reporters, columnists and critics in the editorial offices of *La Prensa, La Opinión* and *El Heraldo de México*. Included among these were Miguel Arce, Adalberto Elías González, Esteban Escalante, Gabriel Navarro and Daniel Venegas. They and many others used the newspapers as a stable source of employment and as a base from which they launched their books or wrote plays and revues for the theater flourishing in Los Angeles and San Antonio. Editorialists such as Nemesio García Naranjo and other political exiles also used the newspapers as a base from which to pursue their political agendas through organizing, speech-making and agitation. García Naranjo, who Lozano recruited for *La Prensa* in 1920 after his *Revista Mexicana* had failed, provided *La Prensa* with that all-important link to the Patria through his intelligent and consistent editorials, both signed and unsigned, that helped to form opinion on the political evolution in Mexico; García Naranjo also married Lozano’s sister and became a very strong contributor to the family business, including the publishing house. Various newspaper companies, in fact, established publishing houses—as did both Lozano papers and *El Heraldo de México*—and they marketed the books of authors on their staffs as well as those of many others. The Casa Editorial Lozano advertised its books in the family’s two newspapers to be sold via direct mail and in the Lozano bookstore in San Antonio; *El Heraldo de México* also operated a bookstore, in Los Angeles. In addition to the publishing houses owned by the large dailies, in the same cities and in smaller population centers there were many other newspapers publishing books.

The largest and most productive publishing houses resided in San Antonio. Leading the list was the Casa Editorial Lozano, owned by Ignacio E. Lozano, publisher of *La Opinión* and *La Prensa*. Issuing and distributing hundreds of titles per year, it was the largest publishing establishment
owned by an Hispanic in the United States. Another was the Viola Novelty Company, owned by P. Viola and associated with his two satirical weekly newspapers, *El Vacílón* (The Joker) and *El Fandango* (The Fandango), which were active from 1916 through at least 1927. The Whitt Company, run by descendants of an English officer who had remained in Mexico after his tour of duty during the French Intervention, still exists today, but only as a printing establishment. Another was the Librería Española, which today only survives as a bookstore. These houses produced everything from religious books to political propaganda, from how-to books (such as Ignacio E. Lozano’s *El Secretario Perfecto* [The Perfect Secretary]) to novels and poetry. Many of the novels produced by these houses were part of the genre known as “novels of the Mexican Revolution”; the stories were set within the context of the Revolution in Mexico, often before its outbreak and subsequent chaos, and often commented on historical events and personalities, especially from a conservative or reactionary perspective. As mentioned in the above section on the exile press, much of the counter-revolution was directed from the U. S. Southwest.63

While novels were an important expression of the ideology of exile and nationalism, another genre was more traditionally identified with Hispanic newspapers and became essential in forming and reinforcing community attitudes. It was the *crónica*, or chronicle, a short, weekly column that humorously and satirically commented on current topics and social habits in the local community. Rife with local color and inspired by oral lore of the immigrants, it owed its origins to Joseph Addison and Richard Steele in England and arrived in Spain via France. The leading *costumbristas* (chroniclers of customs) in Spain were Ramón de Mesonero Romanos and José Mariano de Larra; *costumbristas* and *cronistas* existed in Mexico since the writings of Joaquín Fernández de Lizardi.64 In Mexico, the *crónica* was cultivated extensively and evolved further65 in helping to define and develop Mexican identity over the course of the nineteenth century:

From the beginning of the nineteenth century to almost our time, the role of the *crónica* was that of verifying or consecrating change and social habits and describing daily life, elevating it to the level of the idiosyncratic (without which Mexicans would be, for example, Paraguayans). In the transition from a colonial mentality to one of independence . . . a small collective, unsure of its accomplishments, unsure of its nationalism, saw in
the *crónica* the shining (ideal) mirror of its transformations and fixations.

To write is to populate. Over a long period of time, the inexhaustive details provided by the *cronistas* served a central purpose: to contribute to the forging of nationhood, describing it and, as much as possible, moralizing for it. The writers of the nineteenth century wrote *crónicas* to document and, what is more important, promote a life style, one that repeated the customs of the authentic civic rituals. The *cronistas* are powerful nationalists because they desire independence and the greatness of the country as a whole . . . or because they wished for an identity that would help them, individualize them, liberate them and eliminate the anxiety and their greatest fear: being the privileged witnesses of things of no importance, of narrating the process of formation of this society which no one was observing. It is necessary to strengthen the Nation, investing pride in her and describing her local and regional pride, representing in literature the most ostensibly “Mexican” ways of living and emphasizing their disdain for imitation of the French and nostalgia for the Hispanic.66

In the Southwest, it came to serve purposes never imagined in Mexico or Spain. From Los Angeles to San Antonio and even up to Chicago, Mexican moralists assumed pseudonyms (as was the tradition in the *crónica*) and, from this masked perspective, commented satirically in the first person as witnesses to the customs and behavior of the colony whose very existence was seen as threatened by the dominant Anglo-Saxon culture. The *cronistas* were very influenced by popular jokes, folk anecdotes and vernacular speech, and in general their columns mirrored the surrounding social environment. It was the *cronista*’s job to fan the flames of nationalism and to enforce the ideology of “México de afuera.” He had to battle the influence of Anglo-Saxon immorality and Protestantism and to protect against the erosion of the Spanish language and Mexican culture with equally religious fervor. But this was always done not through direct preaching but through sly humor and a burlesque of fictional characters in the community who represented general ignorance or admiringly adopted Anglo ways as superior to those of Hispanics.

Using such pseudonyms as El Malcriado (The Brat—Daniel Venegas), Kaskabel (Rattlesnake—Benjamín Padilla),67 Loreley (María Luisa Garza), Az.T.K. (The Aztec)68 and Chicote (The Whip), the *cronistas* tried to whip their community into conformity, poking fun at common folks who mixed Spanish and English (contaminating the purity of Cervantes’s beautiful lan-
language) and were overly impressed with Yankee ingenuity and technology. These writers portrayed the two ways of life as being in direct conflict, down to the food consumed, the clothes worn and the furniture placed in the home. The worst transgressors in adopting these ways were labeled *agringados* and *renegados*, that is, gringoized and renegades (originally, the term *renegade* referred to those who denied Christ). And Mexican Americans, who were seen as traitors beyond hope, were similarly branded in addition to being called *pocho*, the derogatory term referring to an individual of Mexican origin but no longer Mexican.

Among the cultural elites who disseminated the ideology of “México de afuera” was one political refugee who became immensely influential through publishing a newspaper and writing a widely syndicated column. Julio G. Arce was a newspaper publisher from Guadalajara who took up exile in San Francisco, vowing never to return to Mexico owing to his disillusionment with the Revolution. Born in 1870 to an eminent physician in Guadalajara, Arce dedicated himself to journalism by founding a newspaper when he was only fourteen: *El Hijo del Progreso* (The Child of Progress). After the failure of this venture and while studying for a degree in Pharmacy (no doubt following his father’s wishes), Arce returned to journalism and founded the very successful newspaper *El Amigo del Pueblo* (The People’s Friend), in which he assumed the pseudonym of “Krichoff” to pen *crónicas*. After this venture and becoming a practicing pharmacist, Arce continued to write for a number of newspapers, including Mazatlán’s *El Correo de la Tarde* (The Evening Courier), in which he first used “Jorge Ulica,” the pen name that would become famous throughout the Mexican immigrant community of the U. S. Southwest.
It was in Culiacán, Sinaloa, that Arce developed a professional career in journalism, became a professor of Spanish, co-founded his first magazine and was appointed and elected to various political offices. Arce later credited all of the political positions and even his university chair to his pro-government journalistic stances.\(^7\) In Culiacán in 1901, Arce also founded the city’s first daily newspaper printed on the first mechanical press in the area, *Mefistófeles* (Mephistopheles), the name he would give to his newspaper in San Francisco. When the Maderist revolution arrived in Sinaloa, Arce used his latest newspaper, *El Díario del Pacífico* (The Pacific Daily), to attack it. In 1911, he had to pack up his family and abandon his home and belongings to escape to Guadalajara in fear of violent reprisals as revolutionary forces arrived in triumph. In Guadalajara, he started a new newspaper, *El Díario del Occidente* (The Western Daily), and attempted to protect the free press from persecution by the revolutionaries. In 1915, Arce was imprisoned for two months by the Carranza army and, when liberated by fellow journalists, he and his family took the next boat into exile in San Francisco (Rodríguez, 14).

In San Francisco, Arce first worked as a laborer for the American Can Company, but soon became associated with *La Crónica* (The Chronicle), an immigrant newspaper published by Spaniard J. C. Castro; the newspaper shortly thereafter was bought by Mexican and Guatemalan business people who appointed Arce director. When the newspaper was once again sold after Arce had professionalized it and made it a financial success, Arce left it and founded his own *Mefistófeles*. To partially underwrite the cost of publication of his new periodical, Arce requested funds from the government of President Venustiano Carranza, supposedly to offset the propaganda put out by enemies of the Revolution writing in other U.S. Spanish-language newspapers; in fact, it seems that *Mefistófeles* did, indeed receive funding of $50 per month (later upped to $60 monthly) from Carranza.\(^7\) Two years later, he ended this paper and returned to *La Crónica*, which was now named *Hispano América*; in 1919, he bought the newspaper outright, and as its sole publisher and owner, transformed it into the most important Hispanic newspaper in the Bay Area (Rodríguez, 16). Like *La Prensa’*s Igancio E. Lozano, Arce took pride in the independent, non-political nature of his newspaper and his service to the immigrant/expatriate community: “sin ligas ni compromisos con nadie, juzgando imparcialm ente personalidades y sucesos, desarrollando el programa que forma la base y el principio en que descansan nuestras actividades periodásticas: por la Patria
y por la Raza”73 (without ties or indebtedness to anyone, impartially judging personalities and events, while developing the program that is the basis and principle on which our journalism rests: for our Homeland and for our People). In this, Arce celebrated the spirit of immigrant journalism. Indeed, his newspaper delivered news of the homeland while informing the immigrant community about news and the culture of the host country; he sought to ease the separation from the old and the adjustment to the new. The newspaper continued publishing until 1934, eight years after Arce’s death.

As editor and publisher of *Hispano América*, Arce took up the pseudonym of “Jorge Ulica” once again and launched a weekly column that eventually would become the most syndicated crónica in the Southwest because of its ability to reflect the life in the Mexican immigrant community of the urban Southwest: “Crónicas Diabólicas” (Diabolical Chronicles). As was the convention in such local-color columns, his pseudonymous alter ego would report weekly on his own adventures and observations in the local community. Through this simple artifice, he satirized humorously the errant customs that were becoming all too common in the colonia, such as Mexicans remembering their heritage only during the celebration of Mexican Independence Day, or Mexicans calling themselves Spaniards to assume greater social prestige and avoid the barbs of discrimination. By and large, Ulica assumed the elite perch of satirist observing the human comedy as a self-elected conscience for the Mexican immigrant community. As a purveyor of the ideology of “México de afuera,” like many other elites, he revealed his upper class and bourgeois resentment of the working-class Mexican immigrants who, on the one hand, were fascinated by Yankee technology, know-how and economic power, and on the other hand were poor, ignorant representatives of Mexican national culture, all of which he clearly and forcefully illustrated in his crónicas. Ulica’s particular talents lay in caricature, in emulating the colloquialisms and popular culture of the working-class immigrant while satirizing the culture conflict and misunderstanding encountered by the greenhorn immigrants from the provinces in Mexico. And while his message was rarely subtle, his language and imagery were so richly reflective of common immigrant humor and folk anecdote that they are worthy of study as literature, a literature that arises directly out of the immigrant experience and its folklore.

By far, Ulica’s favorite and probably most popular target was the poor Mexican woman who had emigrated from the interior provinces, such as
the imaginary “Palos Bonchis” in his story “Por No Hablar English” (Because of Not Speaking English)—in other words, the sticks, as in “Bunch of Sticks.” The poor, uneducated female consistently bore the brunt of Ulica’s attempts to stem the tide of acculturation and support the survival of the Hispanic family and its culture in an alien environment.74 In his story “Inacia y Mengildo” (rural-sounding proper names which are truncated in common rural dialect), Ulica warned Mexican men not to bring their wives to the United States, for:

If married men don’t want to become less happy . . . they should not come with their companions to the United States. Because things are going very poorly here, as the masculine gender is losing in giant steps its “sacred prerogatives and inalienable rights”... it sickens my soul to see unfortunate husbands subjected to a dog’s life, to a dog’s future and a tragic end.75

After this introduction lightly satirizing the U. S. Bill of Rights, Ulica goes on to narrate the apocryphal tale of a Mexican immigrant woman who defenestrated her husband and was acquitted by the courts. Ulica has her testifying in court, in the most provincial and uneducated Spanish, that she was frustrated because her husband was “encevelizado” (uncivilized) and “impropio” (an Anglicism meaning improper). According to her testimony, Mengilda went to all lengths to dress and eat stylishly, according to U. S. customs, but her husband resisted tooth and nail. He committed such sins as taking his shoes off on arriving home and going barefoot, and refusing to get his hair cut in “ese rape aristocrático que se usa por acá” (that very aristocratic shaved style that is used here). For food, he would consume only “cosas inominiusas” (“ignominious things”—mispronounced as a sign of her using big words ineffectually to put on airs). Included in the “iniminius” were such “low-class” Mexican foods as chicharrones, chorizos, sopes, tostadas, frijoles, menudo y pozole. It was just impossible to get him to eat clam chowder, bacon, liver and onions, beef stew or hot dogs—supposedly high-class American fare.

It turns out that Inacio came home one Saturday with his fingernails so unkempt (he was a working stiff, after all) that his wife insisted on hiring a girl to give him a manicure. When he locked himself in his room and refused to cooperate, Mengilda became enraged and tossed him out the window and then threw a monkey wrench at him, splitting his skull. The poor man expired on the street below. After an eloquent defense by her lawyer, who insists that Mengilda is just a poor foreigner struggling to bet-
ter herself and become cultured in the United States, Ulica shows Mengilda being exonerated by unanimous jury decision.

After this, narrator Ulica breaks in to emphasize to the reader that this is just one of a legion of incidents that happen every day in the United States, and that as soon as pretty compatriot women arrive, they find out that they are the bosses here, and their husbands must remain shy of heart, short on words and with still hands (meaning that they cannot beat their wives anymore). That is why, Ulica concludes, it is common to see the husband carrying the baby in public, along with packages from the store and grocery bags, ambling along—sad, meditative, crestfallen, depressed—as if fearing possible sentencing to San Quentin or execution for rebelling against his wife.

It seems that no matter where Ulica turns, he encounters the deflated remains of once proud and independent Mexican men. A general of the Mexican Revolution, now a waiter in a third-rate restaurant, bemoans his fate to Ulica:

> In this country women do as they damn well please. My wife, who used to be so obedient, so faithful and such a little mouse in Ojinaga, has become “fireworks” here. She does not heed me, she locks herself up with male friends to play bridge and who knows what else, and when I call her on it, she curses me out. Back home, I could knock her teeth out for less, but here, if you do that, they hang you in San Quentin.76

In “Arriba las Faldas” (Up with the Skirts), after affirming that women wear the pants in this country, Ulica attests that, contrary to what happens in Mexico, after dinner here, it is the wife who tells the husband, “Hijito, voy al cine; lava los platos, acuesta los niños y dale un limón al W.C. Después, si tú quieres, te acuestas”. (Baby, I’m going to the movies; so wash the plates, put the children to sleep and clean the bathroom. After that, you can go to bed, if you want to” (146). In “Como Hacer Surprise Parties” (How to Give a Surprise Party), Doña Lola Flores is another uneducated denizen of the *colonia* who is enamored of everything American. She, too, attempts to adopt all of the customs here and rid herself of the trappings of the homeland. Doña Lola Flores and her daughters go to the extreme of changing their names: she from Dolores Flores to Pains Flowers; her daughters Esperanza and Eva to Hope and Ivy; she changes her husband’s from Ambrosio to Hungrious Flowers; even their dog Violeta has been re-baptized “Vay-o-let.”
One of the customs most attractive to Ms. Pains Flowers is that of the surprise party, and so she plots with her daughters to throw herself one on Pain’s saint’s day; they prepare even for the exact minute when everyone should surprise her yelling, “Olé, Hurrah, Hello!” So the mother, daughters and their Anglo boyfriends spend the whole day decorating, making sandwiches and punch, when finally the ignorant oaf Hungrious shows up full of moonshine. However, he is lucid enough to inform her that there is no reason to party, because it is not her saint’s day. When she replies that it is Viernes de Dolores—Saint Dolores’ Friday—Hungrious reminds her that she is Mrs. Pains Flowers now, not Dolores—and there are no saints’ days on U.S. calendars! The episode ends with Pains dragging Hungrious into the bathroom to give him a sound beating.

If these women are anxious to shuck off their Hispanic culture, their loyalty to the mother tongue is even more suspect, as Ulica ably demonstrates in the letters he receives at the newspaper from the likes of Mrs. Pellejón, who has changed her name to “Skinnyhon.” The letter is replete with Anglicisms, malapropisms, regionalisms, poor grammar, misspellings, etc. (see p. 154). And when it comes to the entry of women into the workplace, more specifically the office domain of men, Ulica outdoes himself. In “La Estenógrafa,” he is not only scandalized but titillated by the Mexican-American flapper whom he employs as a stenographer. Ulica relates that he had the misfortune of employing Miss Pink, a comely young lady who not only has Anglicized her last name (Rosa), but insists that she is “Spanish.” Despite her having graduated from “grammar school,” “high school” and “Spanish class,” Miss Pink makes horribly embarrassing typing errors. However, the main problem is that even after Miss Pink has found out that Ulica is married, she compromises his modesty. She removes her hose in front of him and changes out of her street shoes, leaving hose and shoes in back of his chair. She tells him that she hopes he is not like her other bosses, who liked to pick up the stockings and smell and kiss them. Eventually, Ulica becomes so intrigued that he does just that—one of the few examples of self-deprecating humor to be found in Arce’s crónicas. When Ulica confronts the steno about her typing errors, she quits, complaining that he is the worst boss she has ever had, because the married Ulica never invited her to a show or to dinner. And that tells you exactly what Ulica and his cohorts thought about the morality of women in the workplace.

It is in another of Ulica’s crónicas, “Repatriación Gratuita” (Free
Repatriation), that it becomes evident that one of the main motives for these efforts to control and isolate Mexican/Hispanic women is the fear of exogamy. In this outrageous story, Ulica creates Mrs. Blackberry, a Mexican woman who has just married an Anglo after divorcing her Mexican husband because he refused to wash his face with gasoline in order to whiten it. “Lo dejé por prieto, por viejo y porque no tenía olor en los dientes como los ‘americanos finos.’” (I left him because he was dark, old and his teeth didn’t smell nice like those refined Americans.) In this anecdote, greater freedom for women, higher aspirations through association with the “white race” and American materialism all come together to entice the vain and ambitious Mexican woman to abandon both her ethnic culture and her husband.

While Ulica was without a doubt expressing a bourgeois sensibility in censuring Mexican women for adopting supposed Anglo-American customs and especially identifying the flapper as the most representative figure in this acculturation, his point of view was by no means exclusive to his social class. Another immigrant journalist and creative writer, who identified himself as a working-class Mexican immigrant, Daniel Venegas, expressed similar views in his satirical weekly newspaper *El Malcriado* (The Brat), and in his picaresque novel of immigration *Las aventuras de Don Chipote o cuando los pericos mamen* (The Adventures of Don Chipote, or When Parakeets Suckle Their Young). In Venegas’ humor-filled novel, he displays little sympathy for women, depicting them almost exclusively as prostitutes, gold-digging flappers and vaudeville actresses of low morality. The one exception is Don Chipote’s wife, who typically represents home and hearth and the nuclear family; she has no name of her own, other than Doña Chipota, an extension of her husband’s identity. Doña Chipota serves to restore order; she brings the novel to its resolution by rescuing her errant husband who has been beguiled by the incarnation of Gringo corruption of Mexican femininity: the flapper. The message of the novel, by the way, is that Mexicans should not be deceived by the glitter of the United States, for Mexicans will never become rich in the United States as long as parakeets do not suckle their young; Mexicans serve only as beasts of burden in the States and as lambs to be fleeced by both corrupt institutions and individuals.

It is even more ironic that Venegas, who so identified with the working-class immigrant, would not make common cause with working-class women. This is amply seen in *El Malcriado*, which he single-handedly
wrote, illustrated and typeset. In the April 17, 1927, edition, Venegas drew a caricature of a poor waitress with her toe protruding from her huarache and satirized such waitresses’ delivering food orders up and down Main Street of Los Angeles in dirty, broken-down shoes, smelling up the sidewalk to the extent of overcoming the fragrance of the food on their trays. On the front page of the same issue, Venegas drew a scene of two flirtatious Mexican flappers getting their hair bobbed in a men’s barber shop under the headline of “¡Cómo Gozan los Barberos Rapando las Guapetonas! Se Pasan los Días Enteros Papachando a las Pelonas.” (The Barberos Love to Cut the Hair of these Beauties! They Spend the Entire Day Caressing Flappers.) Beneath the cartoon, Venegas placed the following satirical verse:

To get their hair done two flappers
going to the barber Don Simón
That night both chickees were going out
to party and have a good time.

“Please finish me in a hurry,”
said Julieta while her neck was shaved,
“And then Enriqueta’s turn will come,
and I’ll give you both a kiss.”

The barber then worked so fast
that he did Juliet before a minute passed,
but he wasn’t given what was promised to him
not even after finishing with Enriqueta.77

Mexican immigrants were not the only Latinos to agonize over the dangers of assimilation, cultural annihilation and exogamy. Hispanic immigrant newspapers in New York—catering to a diverse community of Cubans, Puerto Ricans, Dominicans, Spanish and others—also utilized the crónicas in a similar fashion. But the ideas expressed in these crónicas and their host newspapers did not coalesce into as strong an ideology as the “México de afuera”; in fact, Gráfico, like many other Hispanic papers of New York, promoted a pan-Hispanism that united the Hispanics in the metropolis with all of Latin America. Nationalism could not develop as strongly in an environment of such diverse Hispanic ethnicity. According to the mission statement in its first issue, one of Gráfico’s main objectives was to bring
the diverse Hispanic immigrants together as brothers:

The constant growth of the Spanish and Spanish American colony has led us to publish this weekly that comes to participate in the defense of all those who make up the grand Hispanic family. We shall make an effort to further than greatest advancement and well being of us, who far from our beloved homelands, must join together on foreign soil under only one banner: that of brotherhood.78

But standing on their Hispanic cultural background, the predominantly male journalists and cronistas quite often did attempt to influence the community in tightening the reins on Hispanic women. New York’s Gráfico (Illustrated, 1926–1931), published by a consortium of tobacco workers, writers and theatrical artists, was first edited by Alberto O’Farrill, an Afro-Cuban actor and playwright very popular for depicting the stereotyped Cuban farce role of Negrito (Blackie) in blackface. In addition to editing Gráfico, he served as its chief cartoonist and also as a frequent cronista who signed his column as “Ofa,” the name of the first-person mulatto narrator whose main preoccupation is finding work and keeping life and limb together. Almost every issue during the first year of publication of Gráfico displayed an O’Farrill cartoon that satirized American flappers. But more than satire and censure, the cartoons make apparent the sexual attraction that Latino men felt for these women of supposedly looser morals than Latin women. Almost all are displayed with flesh peeking out of lingerie or from under their short dresses. At least two of the cartoons have purposefully ambiguous legends with titillating double entendres. In one (3 July 1927), a flapper is reclined in an unlady-
like position on an overstuffed chair, holding a basket of flowers in her lap; the legend reads, “Lector ¿No te da el olor?” (Reader, doesn’t the smell hit you?) In another (27 March 1927), a flapper is raising the skirt of her dress while a man with a cigarette lighter is reclining at her feet and in front of a parked car. The legend reads, “Buscando el Fallo” (Looking for the Problem). But the man’s wandering eyes clearly reveal an intentional titillation.

O’Farrill published a series of signed and unsigned columns in Gráfico. It was in the “Pegas Suaves” (Easy Jobs) crónicas that he signed “Ofa” where he developed the running story of the mulatto immigrant trying to survive in the big city. In the unsigned ones, O’Farrill poked fun at local customs, which more often than not dealt with the relationship of viejos verdes (dirty old men), machos and flappers. On the page above the unsigned ones, he usually placed a cartoon illustration of the crónica below. Again, flappers were a frequent preoccupation in these whimsical pieces. In “El Misterio de Washinbay” (Mystery on Washington, 5 June 1927), O’Farrill depicts three American flappers who abandon their customary Broadway cabarets in an attempt to attract publicity and rich husbands by establishing a three-woman colony of abstinence and deprivation in a rural location. In “El Emboque” (The Maw, 8 May 1927), O’Farrill goes at length to describe how Latin men position themselves strategically at the street-level entrance to the subway, to ogle the flappers as they descend to their trains: “contemplando las líneas curvas que más derecho entran por su vista” (observing the curves that went straight into their view). The sight of two flappers, who the narrator compares to merchant ships, is so enticing that even the Gráfico photographer who supposedly provided the above illustration, could not steady his hands, he was so filled with jealousy of the two oglers. O’Farrill states that
the custom has become so popular that its has become a true plague and closes the column with a warning that the police have on occasion used their blackjacks on oglers.

A much more serious note on the subject of Hispanic women adapting the American flapper dress and personality was sounded by Jesús Colón, one of the most important Hispanic columnists and intellectuals in the New York Hispanic community for more than fifty years. Colón also began his career as a cronista in Gráfico and other Spanish-language newspapers in the area. Over the years, he would write for Puerto Rico’s labor union newspaper Justicia (Justice) in the 1920s, and later New York’s Gráfico (1926–1931), Pueblos Hispanos (1943–1944, Hispanic Peoples), Liberación (1946–1949, Liberation), The Daily Worker (1924–1958), among various others. A cigar worker who was an autodidact and one of the most politicized members of the community of cultural workers and union organizers, Colón made the transition to writing in English and in the mid-1950s became the first Puerto Rican columnist for The Daily Worker, the newspaper published by the Communist Party of America.79

Colón was a progressive thinker and even penned feminist essays long before such thinking became politically correct. However, upon assuming the convention of cronista and taking on the moralistic persona of his pseudonym “Miquis Tiquis,”80 which he used in Gráfico in 1927 and 1928, Colón joined his colleagues in attacking Hispanic women for assuming flapper customs:

Reader, if you would like to see the caricature of a flapper, you only have to look at a Latina who aspires to be one. The Yanqui flapper always makes sure that her ensemble of exaggerations looks chic, as they say in German (sic). They also possess that divine jewel of finely imitated frigidity. That disdainful arching of their eyes that upon crossing their legs almost from . . . to . . . it seems not important to them that they are being watched. Seem ing frigidity, that’s the phrase. That Latin would-be flapper likes to be looked at, and to attract attention paints her face into a mask. Two poorly placed splashes of rouge on the cheeks and four really noticeable piles of lipstick on the lips. They criticize new fads; then they adopt them, to the extreme of exaggeration.81

Colón’s further preoccupation with the flapper is also seen in the following poem which he published in Gráfico:

---
56
---
The Flapper

Like a chole girl who would be a New Yorker, the “flapper” agitates the air with her affectatious struts. Her dress, a futurist version of the latest style, is a thousandfold suggestive with its divine silk.

That men should look her over as she walks is her supreme desire. If someone should mention marriage, her answer is a loud laugh that cuts the most sublime illusion. Assassinating laugh!

Expert queen of the latest dangerous dance jump, make-up streaked, superficial, fickle girl, like a liberated slave entering a new life.

In contrast, they make me remember my grandmother, who as she sewed told me of flying giants, in a voice as shaky as a lost prayer.82

In summary, the graphic and written records of community moralists and satirists not only amply illustrate the nationalistic attitudes promoted by these immigrant newspapers,83 but also how those attitudes pressed readers to conform to old gender roles and resist the social change that the new American host culture was making imminent. The pressure placed on women in this conflict of cultural roles and mores was probably greater than what was ever felt in the homeland, given the greater competition for Latinas perceived to exist here because of their scarcity in the immigrant community and because of the perceptibly greater freedoms that women enjoyed in the United States. While the Roaring Twenties saw the liberalization of women’s roles and their entrance into the workplace, it was also the period of massive immigration of very conservative segments of the Mexican population. Their first reaction was not to liberalize but to resist the liberal influence by tightening men’s control over women.

Hispanic male writers on the East Coast, while not as severe as the Mexican writers in the Southwest, also censured women for Americanizing and, perhaps less moralistically, allowed themselves to be titillated, and openly displayed this behavior in their cartoons and columns. Of course, in both groups, the Mexicans in the Southwest and the Latinos in the Northeast,
Hispanic women were seen as the center of the family and the key to survival of the group, the culture and the language. However, it was men doing the seeing, and they controlled the media: publishing houses, newspapers, theaters, etc. It was these very men who saw themselves as the self-appointed conscience of the community in the crónicas that were so popular in the immigrant communities.

In 1913, José Campubrí founded La Prensa in New York City to serve the community of mostly Spanish and Cuban immigrants in and around Manhattan’s 14th Street; little did he know then that La Prensa would become the nation’s longest-running Spanish-language daily newspaper (in 1962 it merged with El Diario de Nueva York). One of the main reasons for its longevity is that La Prensa was able to adapt to the new Spanish-speaking nationalities that immigrated to the city, especially the Puerto Ricans who migrated from their island en masse during and after World War II and came to form the largest Hispanic group in the city. La Prensa at first featured a daily column, “Informaciones de Puerto Rico,” but over the years Puerto Rican interest and staff grew steadily until becoming the dominant ethnic interest in the paper; by the 1980s, one million Puerto Ricans were residing in New York.

In 1948, El Diario de Nueva York was founded by Dominican immigrant Porfirio Domenici, specifically appealing to the Puerto Rican community and giving La Prensa competition for this growing readership. In 1958, La Prensa hired its first Puerto Rican editor, Francisco Cardona; he had served as a press secretary for Governor Luis Muñoz Marín of Puerto Rico. Cardona reshaped the paper, converting it to a tabloid and involving it more in the Puerto Rican community of the city (Fitzpatrick, 306–7). In the 1950s, the new owner, Fortune Pope, a prominent Italian businessman and publisher of the daily Il Progreso, founded an important Spanish-lan-
guage radio station, WHOM-FM and AM, and hired from El Diario de Nueva York the popular Puerto Rican newspaperman José Lumén Román, who under the auspices of La Prensa had founded the Spanish American News Agency to provide news to the press about the Hispanic community; he also conducted many investigative campaigns for La Prensa (Fitzpatrick, 307).

Then, too, during the 1950s and into the 1960s, La Prensa had on its staff the grand dame of Puerto Rican journalism, Luisa Quintero, who in her column took up all the causes of the Puerto Rican community and covered its issues, culture and religious life with loving concern. At one point, Quintero played a crucial role in rallying the Puerto Rican community to its first victory at the state level: pressuring Governor Nelson Rockefeller to commute the death sentence to life imprisonment of Salvador (The Capeman) Agrón, who otherwise would have been the youngest person to ever be executed in the electric chair. “For a generation Luisa Quintero’s influence was outstanding. No one has emerged to take her place” (Fitzpatrick, 309).

Using the slogan of “Champion of the Puerto Ricans,” El Diario de Nueva York was targeted at the Puerto Rican community from the first, despite its having been founded by a Dominican with considerable Venezuelan backing. Publisher Domenici hired as its first editor Vicente Gegel Polanco, a well known journalist and political figure from Puerto Rico; in 1952, he replaced Gegel with José Dávila Ricci, a journalist associated with Governor Luis Múñoz Marín (Fitzpatrick, 307). In 1954, Dávila was replaced by Stanley Ross, a news correspondent with years of experience in Latin America. Over the years, El Diario de Nueva York conducted many campaigns and programs on behalf of the Puerto Rican community. It published an exposé of the abuse and inhuman conditions in Puerto Rican migrant farm labor camps on the east coast of the United States, as well as an exposé of labor racketeering and phantom labor unions that were bleeding funds from poor Puerto Ricans. El Diario opened and operated a center to receive and follow up on grievances brought by Hispanics about housing conditions, employment, union activities, consumer fraud and other issues. The paper often published these grievances in the newspapers as a means of applying pressure to resolve the problems. Young lawyers began gathering at El Diario’s grievance center and rendering free assistance to the poor. Community leaders claim that both programs inspired the city government to open a consumer
fraud division and legal aid centers (Fitzpatrick, 308).

Like San Antonio’s La Prensa and Los Angeles’ La Opinión, both El Diario de Nueva York and La Prensa were fundamentally business enterprises, rendering services to the Hispanic community, tailoring the news and commentary to the major Hispanic groups residing in the city. As such, they did not get directly involved in politics, but echoed the general mood of their community. Both newspapers favored Governor Luis Muñoz Marín and the commonwealth status of the island; they opposed Fidel Castro and Dominican dictator Rafael Trujillo. They operated as typical immigrant newspapers even while a large number of readers, the Puerto Ricans, were citizens of the United States.

In 1962, O. Roy Chalk, owner of El Diario de Nueva York, purchased La Prensa and merged the two journals. From the 1970s to the present, the Hispanic ethnic balance in the city and metropolitan area has shifted repeatedly, with the immigration of Cuban refugees, then Central Americans, and always a steady flow of Dominicans, who today form the largest Hispanic group in the city. Following its well tested formulas, El Diario–La Prensa has repeatedly adjusted its focus to embrace the new groups and reflect their concerns and interests.

In 1981, the Gannett newspaper corporation bought El Diario–La Prensa; in 1989, it was sold to El Diario Associates, Inc., a corporation founded by Peter Davidson, a former Morgan Stanley specialist in the newspaper industry. In 1990, the Times Mirror Corporation purchased a fifty percent interest in La Opinión (San Antonio’s La Prensa had ceased to exist in 1963). In 1976, the Miami Herald founded El Miami Herald; in 1987 this Spanish-language daily was transformed into the new and improved El Nuevo Herald. Both the Spanish- and the English-language dailies are owned by the Knight-Ridder newspaper chain. Thus today, the three major Hispanic dailies are owned and controlled by American (non-Hispanic) multimedia corporations; how this has affected their relation to Hispanic immigrants has not as yet been assessed. There are, however, other smaller dailies which in varying degrees remain independent.

The Labor Press

Both immigrant and native Hispanic workers have engaged in the founding and building of unions throughout their history as industrial and farm workers in the United States. Since the nineteenth century, Hispanic
workers have been embraced on a large scale by industry in the United States—at times, as a means of undermining labor organizing here. This fact makes their labor press mostly a phenomenon of immigrant life. There are notable exceptions to this tendency, such as California’s *El Malcriado* (1964–1975), a farm-worker newspaper founded by the United Farm Workers under César Chávez; the UFW was made up mainly of Mexican-American workers. But historically, the Hispanic labor unions and their periodicals were created by and for Latinos working in specific industries—often ones associated with their native cultures or old-country backgrounds: cigar rolling, agricultural work, ranching, copper mining and fruit harvesting. In more contemporary times, Hispanics have been leaders in organizing other trades and industries, such the steel mills, needle trades, hospitals and manufacturing.  

One of the first, largest and most significant industries to rely almost exclusively on Hispanic labor was the cigar manufacturing industry based in Key West, Tampa, New York and San Antonio, among other locations. In 1886, the first transfer of a whole industry from Latin America to the United States began when Spanish and Cuban entrepreneurs acquired Florida swampland near Tampa and built a cigar-producing town, Ybor City. In 1880, the population of Tampa itself was only 721; a decade later the combined population of Tampa and Ybor City was 5,500, and that number tripled by 1900. The first of the entrepreneurs to establish their cigar factories, Vicente Martínez Ybor and Ignacio Haya, hoped to attract a docile workforce (unlike the labor union activists in Cuba), avoid U. S. import tariffs and get closer to their markets in the United States. Also, the Cuban wars for independence were raging and continually disrupting business. Martínez Ybor, Spanish by birth, had immigrated to Cuba when he was fourteen; after working in the cigar trade in Key West and New York, he settled on Tampa and built the world’s largest cigar factory there. The industry in Ybor City grew to ten factories by 1895 and became the principal cigar-producing area in the United States, at a time when cigar-smoking was at a peak. By 1900, there were about 150 cigar factories in West Tampa and Ybor City, producing more than 111 million cigars annually.  

Not only were the cigar company owners wrong about escaping the labor unrest endemic to the industry in Cuba, the greater freedom of expression afforded on U. S. soil allowed the cigar workers to organize more openly and to publish their periodicals more extensively. In 1899, the
cigar workers organized their first large strike in Ybor City. They struck again in 1901, 1910, 1920 and 1931 (Mormino, 40–5). The cigar workers ultimately formed the strongest unions of any Hispanic workers in the history of the United States.

Workers in the cigar crafts in Cuba and Puerto Rico had traditionally been more politicized because of the high level of informal education obtained through the institution of the lector: a person selected and paid by the workers to read to them throughout their laborious and boring work day. The lectores would read extensively from world literature, as well as from national authors and, of course, newspapers and magazines. The importance of the lector institution was summarized by Fornet:

The proletariat encountered in the reading—or “the desire to hear reading,” as an editorialist for El Siglo (The Century) put it—the most democratic and efficient means of acculturation that existed at the time. Oral transmission, effected in their own work place during working hours, was the ideal mechanism for satisfying the intellectual needs of a class that had emerged wanting books, but not having the resources, the time and in many cases the schooling to read them. The Reading was the first attempt at extending books to the masses for solely educational and recreational reasons. Among the privileged classes, the book had always been a sumptuous object and, ultimately, an instrument of domination or lucre; the proletariat converted it into an instrument of self-education, using it only to advance itself ideologically and culturally.87 (185-6)

During the nineteenth century in Cuba, the institution was repeatedly repressed, as cigar workers became part of the vanguard for Cuban independence from Spain. In Key West and Tampa, the cigar workers publicized the independence movement in their publications and theatrical performances, and the cigar workers became the most important sector in raising funds for the revolutionary movement. It was in fact in these communities that Cuban nationalism became much advanced, that the revolutionary ideology escaped the workshop and permeated all social activities in the clubs, theaters and mutualist societies. It became the basis for an alliance of the manual workers with the cultural workers (intellectuals such as José Martí); and these intellectuals, writers and journalist began to write especially for this intellectually and politically aware society of artisans (Fornet, 192). After the Spanish-American War, many cigar workers in New York, Tampa and Key West became socialists and anarchists, and were also sup-
porters of the Puerto Rican nationalist movement, pushing for Puerto Rico’s independence from the United States.

The roots of the Cuban-American labor press are to be found in Cuba in this tobacco workers’ tradition. *La Aurora*, the first workers’ newspaper in Cuba, was founded by tobacco worker-poet Saturnino Martínez in 1865, and was closely identified with the *lector* tradition; in addition to publishing news of interests to workers, the newspaper pioneered schools for workers, encouraged workers to use libraries and vigilantly protected the *lector* tradition (Fornet, 138-40). Following in this tradition, the Cuban tobacco workers in Florida established the first labor newspaper, *La Federación* (The Federation, 1899-?), as the official organ of the union of tobacco workers in Tampa. Before that, their interest in organizing and in anarchism had been addressed by their local newspapers, *El Esclavo* (The Slave, 1894) and *La Voz del Esclavo* (The Voice of the Slave, 1900). Other important union newspapers from the Tampa area were *Boletín Obrero* (Worker Bulletin, 1903-?), *El Federal* (The Federal, 1902-03), *La Defensa* (The Defense, 1916-?), *El Internacional* (The International, 1904-?) and *Vocero de la Unión de Tabaqueros* (Voice of the Tobacco Workers’ Unions, 1941-?).

In New York, Hispanic laborers, mostly Spanish and Cuban cigar workers, built a labor and radical press on the base of a revolutionary press that already existed there (see the “Press in Exile,” above). At the end of the nineteenth century, New York received a large influx of Spanish working-class immigrants, just as it did other southern Europeans; they joined their fellow Spanish-speakers in Harlem, on 14th Street and in Brooklyn, and participated in raising working-class consciousness through such newspapers as *El Despertar* (The Awakening, 1891-1912), *Cultura Proletaria* (Proletarian Culture, 1910-59) and *Brazo y Cerebro* (Brawn and Brains, 1912), which were primarily anarchist periodicals with articles written by some of the most noted anarchists of the day, including Federico Urales and Anselmo Lorenzo (Chabrán, 157). *Cultura Proletaria* became the longest-lasting anarchist periodical published in Spanish in the United States. Edited by the noted Spanish anarchist author Pedro Esteves and published by Spanish workers, over the years the paper passed into the hands of Cubans and Puerto Ricans as the composition of the workforce changed. According to Chabrán, Puerto Ricans early on established their own labor and radical press in such organs as *La Misera* (The Miserable One, 1901), *Unión Obrera* (Worker Union, 1902) and, much later, *Vida Obrera* (Worker Life, 1930-32).
Cultural Magazines

While union and workers’ periodicals served the organizational and cultural interests of a highly politicized segment of the immigrant working-class and intellectual population, Hispanic elites felt the need to reproduce the cultural refinement that was a product of their education and breeding in the homeland. Whether to remain connected to the cultural accomplishments of the greater international Hispanic community or to fill a void that existed in exile, whether political or intellectual, a number of high-quality periodicals were established in the Northeast and Southwest. Some of them, such as the New York monthlies *El Ateneo: Repertorio Ilustrado de Arte, Ciencia y Literatura* (The Athenaeum: Illustrated Repertoire of Arts, Science and Literature, 1874-77) and *El Americano* (The American, 1892-?), retained the newspaper format, but published primarily literature and commentary, as well as illustrations. Others looked much like the mainstream cultural magazines being published at the turn of the century, such as *Harper’s Magazine* and *Cosmopolitan*. What was most distinctive about them was that they placed the Hispanic immigrant community of the United States on the international cultural map, for they drew their selections from essayists, fiction writers and poets from Spain and Spanish America as well as from the United States. Pan-Hispanism and hemispheric integration, in fact, formed the basis of *El Ateneo’s* and *El Americano’s* ideological stance. And they both had a circulation overseas as well as in the United States. *El Ateneo*—which claimed a circulation and agents in Mexico, Central and South America, the West Indies, California, New Mexico and cities within the United States—made a special appeal to American manufacturers to advertise within its pages as a way to reach the Spanish-speaking world; it also promised to supply information for advertisers on the markets in Spanish America, according to its own advertisement published in English in the January, 1877 edition of this Spanish-language magazine. While these magazines were celebrating the art and culture of the Americas, *Amás Américas: Revista de Educación, Bibliografía y Agricultura* (Both Americas: Educational, Bibliographic and Agricultural Magazine, 1867-68), a short-lived quarterly published in New York, set a task for itself of informing the people and institutions in Central and South America of the educational, scientific and agricultural advances in the United States so that they would be emulated in the Spanish American countries.
El Americano’s masthead read “periódico mensual de literatura, comercio, artes, ciencia, noticias y anuncios” (monthly periodical of literature, commerce, arts, science, news and announcements); however, its guiding principal was hemispheric integration, that is, the identification and promotion of the culture and identity of the Americas, that “¡Todos somos americanos!” (We are all Americans!):

In favor of the unification of the Americas, whose children, without distinction of origin nor religion, should not recognize nor sustain any other doctrine than that of free men, that of solid unity, indivisible, like an Egyptian monolith, a giant shield extending its protection over all of the reaches of the Americas, from the Boreal regions of Cape Barrow to the latitudes of Cape Horn. (June, 1893)89

El Americano was for the Monroe Doctrine, but very much in favor of immigration from the rest of the world to further the progress in all fields to make of the Americas the most prosperous and enlightened continents in the world:

We maintain the principle of the Monroe Doctrine, that the Americas belong to their children, and to those who with the sincere honor of the citizen who aspires to dignify his moral existence by living in the free communities of the American world, comes from other parts of the planet and applies his shoulders to the wheel of progress that unifies and makes nations great; who comes to make common cause with all of the ambitions, with all of the noble efforts of the masses, in the development of all of the activities of life in the broad spheres of the sciences, arts, industries and commerce, whose motors, running on the heat of manifold ideas stimulated by glory, grow into titanic-sized enterprises to the surprise of the Old World. (June, 1893)90

In opposition to Europe, the Old World, El Americano believed in democracy and the American Dream: the freedoms and opportunities available in the New World would allow men from all over the planet to prosper:

The Americas, triumphant, gives the example for the world to follow, opening its doors to those who are buried in the degradation of vassalage, and offering the generous protection of its institutions that makes equal all men of good faith. (June, 1893)91
In referring to South America, a November 1, 1893, editorial exclaimed:

Ah, how much we cherish that sweet hope of the fusion of the nations of this continent, because they, while preserving their autonomy, will be unified in industry, commerce, riches, production, consumption and in the glory of having arrived at the goal of prosperity by applying their exclusive spirit and their own conviction. 92

To involve its readership in its cause, *El Americano* sponsored essay contests, offering a gold medal for the best article written on developing the friendship and commerce between Latin America and the United States (August 1892). Published by American businessman Lincoln Valentine, owner of the Valentine Brothers Produce Exchange, who invested his money in the cause of hemispheric integration, *El Americano* afforded the services of an outstanding editor, Cuban poet Enrique Nattes and some of the leading writers from throughout the hemisphere. The magazine aspired to publish in the heart of the United States the greatest Latin American voices at the precise moment when the United States was forging its leadership of the world in science, technology and freedom, explained its inaugural issue in June, 1892. It was Valentine who chose for the newspaper as well as his own life the theme of “Todos somos americanos.” And in its first number, *El Americano*’s prospectus promised that this magazine, unlike others, would always have enough financial resources to continue its mission:

We soulfully commit ourselves to the enterprise, after having in place the necessary resources so that in a short while we can avoid being forced to search for support in pursuing the ideal that we cherish, which is sustaining here a cultured, illustrated, patriotic periodical that will be the genuine expression of the ideas, sentiments, aspirations, advances and abilities of our American race. (June, 1892) 93

And, indeed, those financial resources did not derive from produce alone, for Valentine Brothers was invested in diverse businesses in Latin America, including the construction of the inter-oceanic railroad in Honduras (*El Americano*, September, 1892).

From a similarly internationalist perspective, the most important illustrated Hispanic magazine, *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York* (The Illustrated Revue, 1882-?) aspired to be an Hispanic Harper’s.
In this genre it has succeeded admirably in creating, in Spanish, the genuine type of magazine: it is no more nor less our own *Harper's Magazine*. Its illustrations complete and perfect the readings, that, thanks to them, acquire artistic representation, —and they are so fine and well executed that by themselves and independently from the themes they illustrate constitute additional works of art.  

Publisher Elías de Losada explained on the front page of the January 1893 issue that the magazine was meant to be a complement to daily newspapers; it would select the most important world events for commentary. Yet it was evident from the outset that *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York* would give clear preference to the publication of literature from some of the most renowned living writers of the Hispanic world. This was made additionally clear in the same issue as the editor spoke of upcoming projects:

> We shall dedicate special attention to literary matters, that so much seduce our people of vivid imagination and passionate temperament, and therefore we shall offer short novels, which can fit in one issue, ingenious and pleasant stories, original or translated, but by force written by the most distinguished writers; literary criticism, that should contribute to the formation of good taste; poetic compositions by the most renowned lyric poets of Spain and Spanish America, and in general all that will tend to make this section a specialty markedly favored by all of our subscribers.  

Among the best-known writers who sent works to be published in *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York*, including works for exclusive publication, were the international leader of Modernism Rubén Darío and many of his followers, such as Mexicans Salvador Díaz Mirón and Manuel Gutiérrez Nájera; among the Spaniards were Gaspar Núñez de Arce, Benito Pérez Galdós, Juan Valera and Emilia Pardo Bazán. To attract such distinguished collaborators, editor-owner Elías de Losada had to spend handsomely and, consequently, had to charge subscribers high enough prices to sustain the operation—three dollars in U.S. currency—placing the magazine beyond the reach of the Hispanic working class. (Of course, the magazine was targeted to the middle and upper classes of educated Hispanics.) Literally all of the countries of Spanish America were represented, as were expatriate writers residing in New York, such as Cubans Antonio and Francisco Sellén, José María Heredia and José Martí. And the dissemina-
tion of the magazine was equally international, as it was distributed by a network of agents throughout the Caribbean, Central and South America and even as far east as the Philippines. (Chamberlin and Shulman, 5)

According to Chamberlin and Shulman, *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York* saw itself as thoroughly modern and progressive and identified highly with American technology, industrial and scientific advancement:

Service in the cause of civilization and progress constituted its ideological base. The editors’ Spencerian positivistic philosophy—evolution towards progress achieved in social systems characterized by order and stability—led them to eulogize North American life in which progress, order, liberty, and technical advancement stood in such marked contrast to Latin America’s. (8)

Part of this progressive attitude was seen as the magazine’s special appeal to women, not only covering their issues but including subjects that were seen as feminine: fashions and sentimental prose and poetry. In particular, the magazine posited an intellectual and thinking life for women, where stereotype and conventional thought posited none at all for Hispanic American women:

More than once we have read with indignation in foreign newspapers that the women of our America live separated from intellectual life, that they vegetate in ignorance and are consumed by sterile mysticism. We shall oppose those observations with practical truth, and it will be shown that Hispanic American women know how to present themselves to the world, in order to take their place with dignity and pride among the legions who live in thought and spirit and enlighten humanity with their knowledge.98

Despite this apparently progressive attitude, there were few women who contributed stories, poems or essays to the magazine; the most represented was Peruvian Amalia Puga, who had twenty-three items published from 1890 to 1892. Among these was her essay “La Literatura en la Mujer” (The Literature in Women), which was her inaugural speech upon being elected to the Lima Ateneo, a society of intellectuals and writers, much like an academy; it was published in the third issue of 1892. Puga’s contributions to the magazine were among the most successful, for they gave rise to a steady flow of correspondence and to writers from Ecuador, Peru and
elsewhere sending in poems in her honor. More than women writing themselves, however, there were numerous essays written by men about women’s issues or in honor of specific women writers and intellectuals. It seems, on the whole, that there was more a recognition of women as a market than as creators and thinkers to be included in the pages of the magazine. The most renowned female contributor to the magazine was Spaniard Emilia Pardo Bazán, who had four items published between 1891 and 1892; but notably absent were many other women intellectuals of the Americas, some of whom, such as Lola Rodríguez de Tió, were publishing in New York’s *El Americano*.

Like so many of the newspapers and other cultural magazines, *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York* was a promoter and defender of what it identified as Hispanic values. Despite its generally positive stance on American civilization and the wonders of its science and technology and the stability of its government, the magazine called for pan-Hispanic unity in resisting U.S. expansionism during a time characterized by American filibustering and interventionism: “En presencia de la [raza] anglosajona, que por superioridad industrial nos menosprecia, y por cálculo nos espía en cada una de nuestras lamentables caídas, españoles de España y América deben aparecer unidos, siquiera sea en terreno literario, mantener sus tradiciones, perseguir sus propios ideales . . .” (In the presence of the Anglo-Saxon [people], who because of their industrial superiority disparage us, and who purposefully spy on each of our lamentable failures, we Spanish of Spain and the Americas must unify, even if it is only on literary terrain, we must maintain our traditions, pursue our own ideals . . . [December, 1891]) Thus, despite its elitism, *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York* had to protect Hispanic language, culture and values from a perceived onslaught of American imperialism; on an international, pan-Hispanic scale, this too was reminiscent of the nationalism of immigrant newspapers and the preoccupations of both workers and elites.

New York thus launched and supported a number of fine illustrated magazines at the end of the century. Most were the product of American and Latin American business ties and depended on a network of elite Hispanic business people and intellectuals. This was nowhere more obvious than when in 1892 the New York Press Club invited editor Enrique Nattes to establish an Hispanic American branch of the club—precisely at the time when the club was entering a fund-raising campaign to construct a new building—and to enlist the services of their wives in putting on a
fund-raising fair. Elected to the leadership of the Hispanic section were the Mexican consul, Dr. Juan N. Navarro, to the presidency; Nicanor Bolet Peraza, director of Las Tres Américas, as first vice president; Cuban printer-publisher Nestor Ponce de León as treasurer; publisher Lincoln Valentine as secretary; and to the executive board (vocales) Enrique Trujillo, director of El Porvenir, and Fernando Valentine, editor of Medical News.

Over the years in New York, pan-Hispanism and the need to promote Hispanic culture led to the founding of numerous cultural magazines, many of which clearly catered to the educated tastes of the Hispanic middle class and bourgeoisie, and especially to women engaged in cultural and philanthropic endeavors. One notable example, Artes y Letras, was founded in 1933 by Josefina (Pepina) Silva de Cintrón in association with the Grupo Cultural Cervantes (Cervantes Cultural Group), constituted mainly of Puerto Rican amateur actors and writers. Silva de Cintrón was a feminist and cultural advocate who was a member of the Unión de Mujeres Americanas (American Women’s Union). Under her directorship, Artes y Letras succeeded as a monthly cultural magazine with an international readership for a number of years. Its distribution extended to Central and South America, the Caribbean and even the Canary Islands. In its pages were poems, essays and short stories of the leading figures of contemporary literature of Puerto Rico: Isabel Cuchí Coll, Luis Palés Matos, Ferdinand Cestero, Carmen Alicia Cadilla, Martha Lomar, Concha Meléndez, Carmelina Vizacarrondo and Enrique Laguerre. The number of women published was notable and furthered the mission of editors, Pedro Caballero and Pedro Juan Labarthe, to reach a feminine readership. Caballero was a well-traveled high school teacher and novelist who placed Puerto Rican letters within the framework of the best of Latin American literature by including collaborations from such distinguished writers as Chilean Nobel laureate Gabriela Mistral, Cuban Jorge Mañach, Peruvian José Santos Chocano and Argentine Alfonso Dori. Labarthe, a novelist, stressed pan-Hispanism in his works as well as in Artes y Letras.

One of the finest magazines in the Southwest was La Revista Mexicana (The Mexican Review), which catered directly to political and religious refugees of the Mexican Revolution. Founded in San Antonio in 1915 by exiled columnist and intellectual Nemesio García Naranjo, La Revista Mexicana lasted until 1920; its financial base eroded as many exiles returned to their homeland when the new, more tolerant, govern-
ment began to welcome them back. Despite a failed attempt to sell stocks in the operation, García Naranjo was ultimately forced to sell his press and equipment in 1920 and make the transition to writing for La Prensa (García Naranjo, 199-301). La Revista Mexicana had ascended in popularity and subscription base through 1917 by offering a varied fare of excellent cultural commentary and literature, along with García Naranjo’s hard-hitting editorials on the Mexican government and on U. S. policy towards Mexico. Never a political periodical, La Revista Mexicana sought to offer Mexican expatriates the type of intellectual and artistic stimulation the educated class had enjoyed in Mexico before the Revolution. Nevertheless, García Naranjo’s editorial commentary did attract attention from the American authorities, and he was harassed, spied upon and finally accused of breaking the neutrality laws (much like Ricardo Flores Magón, mentioned earlier). García Naranjo was tried, found guilty and forced to pay a $500 fine by the Federal Court in Laredo (García Naranjo, 353-67). As evidence to force García Naranjo to plead guilty (which he ultimately did), the prosecutor ordered the translation of all of the editorials García Naranjo had published criticizing President Woodrow Wilson, General John Pershing and U. S. Secretary of State Bryan (García Naranjo, 366). Ironically, this trial led to the rehabilitation of his intellectual leadership in Mexico. His writings for La Prensa were soon in demand for syndication by newspapers throughout Mexico; this was the beginning of his road back to the homeland as an eloquent orator and writer.

As García Naranjo states in his memoirs (159), the weekly La Revista Mexicana served to console the Mexican expatriates and offer them hope; but more than that, it was in itself an example and symbol that all had not been destroyed, that “aún hay patria” (there is still a homeland), even if only within the magazine’s pages. The news and editorial commentary, which covered politics and culture in both countries, was entirely written by García Naranjo and Ricardo Gómez Robelo. Articles and other matter were provided by a staff that included humorist-poet Guillermo Aguirre y Fierro and caricaturist Mariano Martínez Vizuet. All of these worked for modest wages. Nothing was paid to the volunteer accountant Arnulfo Botello and the regular columnists and creative writers: cronista Alfonso G. Anaya (alias Tiberio), Manuel Múñquiz Blanco, famed Mexican journalist Teodoro Torres, Celedonio Junco de la Vega, Emilio Rabasa, Victoriano Salado Alvarez, Pepe Rebollar, Francisco Sentíes and Federico García y Alba, among others (García Naranjo, 224).
In addition, *La Revista Mexicana* published *crónicas*, other columns and commentary from contributors as far away as Havana, South America and New York, as well as from throughout interior Mexico. With these distinguished offerings, García Naranjo took pride in claiming that never before had a periodical in Texas published such quality writing (García Naranjo, 225). While in this sense *La Revista Mexicana* brought an international framework to its offerings, its vision was never as cosmopolitan nor as pan-Hispanic as the magazines and newspapers of New York. Nowhere in the periodicals of the Southwest was that pan-Hispanic vision represented, perhaps because the communities in the Southwest at that point were not as ethnically heterogeneous, perhaps because the communities in the Southwest were not as tied to the rest of Spanish America and Spain through trade and commerce as was the port city of New York.

While clearly the central concern of *La Revista Mexicana* was to serve the Mexican expatriate community by printing and interpreting news of the homeland and of the U. S. that affected it, the magazine also sought to preserve an elite sense of culture and good taste. It accomplished this in large part by publishing the best writing in Spanish that could be afforded outside the homeland. *La Revista Mexicana* also projected an enlightened, patriotic attitude about Mexican Americans, and included them in its spiritual community—even if not among the writers. This was evident in the stances taken by the magazine against racism and discrimination, voiced in editorials protesting Texas Ranger abuses against Mexican Americans, as well as through referring to the historical record of how the lands of Texas Mexicans had been stolen by Anglo-Americans (García Naranjo, 209). According to García Naranjo, Mexican Americans were tenacious patriots, worthy of being considered Mexicans in the truest sense—an idea reminiscent of Ignacio E. Lozano’s interpretation of “México de afuera”:

The Fatherland lives. The United States should remember that more than two-thirds of a century ago the treaties of Guadalupe were signed, and nevertheless, the Mexicans who live in Texas and New Mexico, Arizona and California have not become Americanized. Our people are persistent and, amidst their conflicts and divisions, they have preserved intact their identity and character. And such a country made up of a people which does not mix so easily with other peoples, which does not blend with the other races, which preserves its traditions and perpetuates its legends,
which, in one word, continues to increase the marvelous force of its
genius, cannot be dominated through occupation by two or three military
bases, not even through the total assimilation of its territory.

Mexico, therefore, will not lose its national identity, even should it be
defeated in war. Our vitality, like Poland's and Ireland's, like Armenia's and
Belgium's, is even out of reach of total disaster.¹⁰¹

Thus, unlike the criticism of the cronistas who attacked the Mexican
American's adoption of gringo customs and language, García Naranjo
extended his vision of Mexican nationality and his ideology of “México de
afuera” to the native Mexican-origin population of the Southwest: The very
existence of this identifiable population within the United States was a tes-
tament to the endurance and persistence of Mexican culture. If Mexican
Americans could preserve their identity—not even having the benefits of
education and acculturation in the homeland—then so, too, could the
immigrants.

Trade, Scientific and Technological Journals

The commercial and trade relationship between the United States and
Latin America has been an important motive for communications in both
directions since the beginnings of Spanish-language periodical publication
in the United States. Over the course of nearly two centuries, various
industries, scientific groups and trade associations have published and
exported journals to readers in Latin America, not only keeping them
abreast of the latest findings in science and technology but also cultivating
them as a market for products and ideas. This process has also been sec-
onded by the publication of books in Spanish with similar motive for
export.

In serving as a center for the dissemination of ideas and products to
Latin America, the United States has always been abetted and promoted by
Hispanics residing within this country; over time, many of these ventures
seem to have been supported and maintained by both non-Hispanic and
Hispanic immigrant businesspersons. Forerunners of the trade journals
were various of the commercial newspapers published in New Orleans in
the early nineteenth century, such as El Telégrafo (The Telegraph), found-
ed in 1825 by the Spanish-Cuban Manuel Ariza and the French-Dominican
Francois Delaup; in its pages there was a heavy representation of com-
mercial news, price quotations, maritime notices and advertisements, and its distribution included subscribers in Cuba, Mexico, St. Augustine and Pensacola, in addition to Louisiana.¹⁰²

The first Spanish-language or bilingual technical journal seems to have been published in the other important port for Latin American trade: New York. It was there that in 1845 *Scientific American* began publishing an export edition in Spanish, which lasted until 1908. This was followed in 1862 by the trade journal *Boletín de Noticias y Precios Corrientes* (The Bulletin of News and Current Prices), issued by Charles B. Richardson & Co. every sailing day of the steamer for Havana and Panama, for distribution in Cuba, Mexico, Panama and South America; the year of its founding it had a name change to *El Continental* (The Continental) and continued for an as yet undetermined period. Other specialized trade journals began publishing in the 1870s, such as New York’s *El Boletín Comercial* (The Commercial Bulletin, 1871–?) of the Inter-American Commission on Tropical Tuna; the quarterly *Circular del Joyero* (Jeweler’s Circular, 1874–?) issued by D. H. Hopkinson; the monthly *Revista Agrícola Industrial* (Agricultural Industrial Review, 1877–1880?); and *El Comercio* (Commerce, 1870s), a monthly journal dedicated to advancing commerce, manufacturing and finance in the United States and Spanish America. On this solid base was built a tradition that extends to Spanish and bilingual trade and technical publishing today.¹⁰³

One of the most important developments in trade, technical and professional journalism was the founding and centering of inter-American cooperation in the United States. Since the late nineteenth century, Washington, D. C. has been the most important city for the meeting of the official representatives of the governments of the Americas, and since then, this type of publishing has characterized that capital city. From 1893 to 1911, the Bureau of the American Republics in Washington, D. C. published its *Bulletin* in English with portions and/or sections in Spanish, Portuguese and French. Beginning in 1911, the foreign language sections grew into separate editions; the Spanish-language edition was now entitled *Boletín de la Unión Panamericana*, to reflect the founding of the Pan American Union (PAU). With the metamorphosis of the PAU into the Organization for American States in 1948, the OAS began publishing a plethora of Spanish-language professional and technical journals relating to everything from demography to sanitation, in addition to the widely popular *Americas* magazine, which it has published since 1949.
Various scholars have concluded that the ethnic press in the United States functions in a dynamic manner to define and redefine the new and evolving objectives which they hold in common with their respective ethnic communities:

It is by identifying these common concerns that the ethnic press preserves the cohesiveness and identity of the community as it undergoes various changes within its internal structure. Since most members of this ethnic community are no longer viewed as immigrants, the new concerns are centered not on adjustment problems, but rather on the need to preserve the ethnic identity and community within the larger social order and to establish a socioeconomic and political power base that will assure the community’s existence. A few examples of such new interests are the protesting of discriminatory practices within various social institutions; the election of political figures who are sensitive and responsive to the specific ethnic needs; the improvement of the socioeconomic status of the ethnic community; preservation of the ethnic heritage and language within a constantly changing society, etc.

By educating the ethnic readership in these common concerns, the press assures the continuation of the ethnic society. Thus, while on the one hand it promotes the full and equal participation of the ethnic community within the larger order, at the same time it encourages retention of the distinctiveness that differentiates the community from the dominant society. In general, the existence of this ethnic press is assured as long as its readers’ needs remain unfulfilled within the framework of the existing social institutions and as long as their concerns are not voiced in the pages of the dominant press media. (Wynar, 18–19)

This analysis by the Wynars still very much relies on the premise that the ethnic press evolves from an immigrant press. I accept their analysis of the function of the press, but I must add that there is a substantial and qualitative difference between building on a base of European or even Asian immigration and developing out of the experience of colonialism and racial oppression. Hispanics were subjected to more than a century of “racialization" through such doctrines as Manifest Destiny and the Spanish Black Legend (the propaganda campaign that Spaniards were too cruel and backward to rightly govern the Americas); they were subse-
quently conquered and/or incorporated into the United States through territorial purchase and then treated as colonial subjects, as were the Mexicans of the Southwest, the Hispanics in Florida and Louisiana, the Panamanians in the Canal Zone and in Panama itself, and the Puerto Ricans in the Caribbean. In many ways Cubans and Dominicans also developed as if under United States colonial rule during the twentieth century. The subsequent migration and immigration of these peoples to the United States was directly related to the administration of their homelands by the United States. Their immigration and subsequent cultural perspective on life in the United States have been substantially different from that of the “classical” immigrant groups. And the Hispanic native or ethnic minority perspective has specifically manifested itself in the political realm and the attitude towards civil and political rights.

Many of the Hispanic newspapers which developed in the Southwest after the Mexican War ended in 1848 laid the basis for the development of Hispanics throughout the United States seeing themselves as an ethnic minority. While the origins of their journalistic endeavors date well before the all-important signing of the peace treaty between the United States and Mexico, it was the immediate conversion to colonial status of the Mexican population in the newly acquired territories of California, New Mexico, and Texas that made of their journalistic efforts a sounding board for their rights first as colonials and later as “racialized” citizens of the United States.

While the printing press was not introduced to California and New Mexico until 1834, the society there, as in Texas, was sufficiently literate to sustain a wide range of printing and publishing once the press had been allowed. Newspaper publication in the Southwest of what became the United States originated, it will be recalled, in 1813 with the publication of Texas’ *La Gaceta de Texas* and *El Mexicano*, papers published to support Mexico’s independence movement. In 1834 and 1835, almost contemporary with the introduction of the press to California and New Mexico, Spanish-language newspapers began to appear in these northern provinces of Mexico: Santa Fe’s *El Crepúsculo de la Libertad* (The Dawn of Liberty, 1834) and *El Crepúsculo* (Dawn, 1835-?). (There is some indication that a bilingual English-Spanish newspaper may have been published in San Antonio, Texas, during the 1820s, but no extant copies have been found.) Prior to the Mexican War, these newspapers were published in New Mexico: *La Verdad* (The Truth, 1844–45) and its successor, *El Payo de Nuevo México* (The New Mexico Countryman, 1845).
Beginning with the American presence during the outbreak of the Mexican War in 1846, various newspapers began publishing in English and bilingually in English and Spanish in California and New Mexico; numerous English-language newspapers had been publishing in Texas for Anglo-Texan communities since just before the proclamation of the Texas Republic in 1836, with newspapers in Stephen F. Austin’s colonies dating back to as early as 1824 (Wallace, 74). From California to Texas the norm among many of these first Anglo-owned newspapers was to publish in English and Spanish. In New Mexico, publishing only in Spanish or bilingually was a necessity for the Anglo owners of the newspapers because the vast majority of the inhabitants of the territory were Spanish speakers. In California, newspapers received a subsidy from the state as well as from some cities for printing laws in Spanish, as the state constitution required laws to be issued in both languages. One can envision how this initial motivation developed into a profitable enterprise once the Spanish-language market was identified and cultivated. Indeed, the Spanish-language section of Los Angeles’ Star grew into La Estrella de Los Angeles and then a separate newspaper: El Clamor Público (The Public Clamor, 1855–59). From San Francisco’s The Californian (1846–48), the first Anglo-American newspaper in Alta California, to New Mexico’s Santa Fe Republican (1847–?), to Brownsville’s La Bandera (184?) and to The Corpus Christi Star (1848–?), the Anglo-established press was a bilingual institution. In this introduction of the Anglo-American press into the newly acquired territories, what ruled was translation of the English-language news into Spanish, but, according to Stratton, only about twelve percent of the journalists employed by these newspapers were Hispanics. Gutiérrez has seen this imbalance and the predomination of Anglo ownership and administration of the press as typical of the colonial condition of Hispanics in the Southwest:

The conquering group establishes media for the conquered group, but then controls the media by restricting employment opportunities, establishing a dual labor market, controlling the context of the news, and delivering even that news a week later to members of the conquered group. A more concise description of neo or internal colonial control of the press could not be more clear. (Gutiérrez, 39)

Even Spanish-language newspapers that were published independently by Hispanics were often dependent on the Anglo business community and
the economic and political power structures for their existence. Many of the Hispanic publishers, drawn from the elite classes, were able to survive in business by working within the system, not attacking it in the name of ethnic or civil rights. In fact, many Spanish-language newspapers maintained links to their English-language counterparts and to the Anglo establishment. Los Angeles’ *La Crónica* (The Chronicle, 1872–92), in fact, advertised itself as the city’s “official” newspaper, principally because it held a city printing contract. Still other Spanish-language newspapers from California to Texas were affiliated to the political parties and published only around election time to support party platforms and candidates in the Hispanic communities. All of this leads Gutiérrez to conclude that “the lines of dependency, coupled with the content of the newspapers, would seem to indicate that attempts were made to harness the Spanish-language press and utilize it as an instrument of social control” (41).

In any case, the Anglo-American migration from the East did bring advanced technology and equipment to the region. This resulted in printing presses coming into Hispanic hands as never before, and more and more Spanish-language newspapers were subsequently founded to serve the native Hispanic population of the Southwest. And when the railroad reached the territories, dramatic changes occurred as a consequence of greater access to machinery and technology as well as the better means of distribution. The last third of the century, thus, saw an explosion of independent Spanish-language publishing by Hispanics.

**New Mexico**

Because it drew comparably fewer Anglo settlers and entrepreneurs than California and Texas and because of its proportionally greater Hispanic population—only in New Mexico did Hispanics maintain a demographic superiority in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries—New Mexico was the territory that first developed a widespread independent native Hispanic press. Not only did more Hispanics live there, but they lived in a more compact area and with comparably less competition and violence from Anglo newcomers.

The *Nuevomexicanos* were able to hold onto more lands, property and institutions than did the Hispanics of California and Texas. Control of their own newspapers became essential in the development of *Nuevomexicano* identity and self-determination in the face of adjusting to
a new culture during the territorial period.

_Nuevomexicanos_ were living under a double-edged sword in this period. On the one hand, they wanted to control their own destiny and preserve their own language and culture (while enjoying the benefits and rights of the advanced civilization that the United States had to offer through statehood). On the other hand, the _Nuevomexicanos_ immediately became aware of the dangers of Anglo-American cultural, economic and political encroachment. According to Meléndez, many of the intellectual leaders, especially newspaper publishers, believed that the native population would advance, learn to protect itself and merit statehood through education; they saw the newspapers as key to the education and advancement of the natives as well as to the protection of their civil and property rights. ¹¹¹ _Nuevomexicanos_ felt the urgency of empowering themselves in the new system—and/or retaining some of the power they had under Mexico—while Washington was delaying statehood for more than fifty years, in expectation, most historians agree, of Anglos achieving a numerical and voting superiority in the territory. ¹¹²

In the decade following the arrival of the railroad in 1879, native Hispanic journalism increased dramatically in the New Mexico territory, and, according to Meléndez (26), a true flowering of _Nuevomexicano_ periodicals followed in the 1890s, when some thirty-five Spanish-language newspapers were being published. The result was that English-language and bilingual newspapers were left to serve a mostly English-speaking elite, while Spanish-language papers served the majority of the inhabitants. By 1900, every settlement along the Rio Grande corridor had Spanish-language newspapers, and the activity extended into southern Colorado and to El Paso, Texas. The most populous cities supported the greatest activity: Las Cruces, Albuquerque, Santa Fe and Las Vegas (Meléndez, 28). From 1879 to 1912 (the year New Mexico was admitted as a state of the Union), more than ninety Spanish-language newspapers were published in New Mexico (Meléndez, 29). By 1891, native Hispanic journalism had become so widespread and intense that a newspaper association was founded, La Prensa Asociada Hispano-American, to set up a network of correspondents, to share resources and to facilitate reprinting items from each member newspaper in a type of informal syndication. Thus, in a few short decades, a corps of the native inhabitants of what had been a backwater province under Mexico had been transformed into intellectuals and activists by utilizing the published word through print and transportation.
technology; they took the lead in ushering their community into the twentieth century and statehood.

How and why did this occur? Meléndez posits the political exigency of preserving their language, culture and civil rights: “The ‘communications circuit’ used by local journalists functioned, on the one hand, as counterhegemonic discourse that subverted assaults on Mexicano culture, and on the other, as a way to channel the power of literacy to change society” (30). The new technology that Nuevomexicanos adopted did not represent fundamental cultural change; rather it empowered cultural expression that was long-held and deeply rooted. As Meyer puts it, “The Spanish-language press, as a bridge between tradition and modernity and as an advocate of its people in Hispanic New Mexico, served as a counter discourse contesting the Anglo myth of the frontier and claiming a space for otherness in American society. In its pages one finds the multivocal reality of neomexicano cultural identity that resists monolithic definition.”

Just as important as the technology and communications introduced into New Mexico was the empowering effect of formal institutions of education in the territory. Meléndez (45) and others credit the Catholic Church for establishing schools within the territory, not only at the primary levels, but more importantly at the college level to train the Hispanic leadership in New Mexico: Many of the Hispanic newspaper owners and editors were, in fact, trained in parochial schools and had graduated from one of the three Catholic colleges: St. Michael’s College (Colegio de San Miguel), in Santa Fe, and Lorreto Academy and the Jesuit College of Las Vegas. Among the pioneers of Catholic education in the territory was Reverend Donato M. Gasparrì, an Italian Jesuit who headed the New Mexico-Colorado Mission; he founded the Catholic press in New Mexico and was the first editor of the all-important and long-lasting La Revista Católica (The Catholic Magazine, 1875–1962), which he issued from the Jesuit College that he founded in Las Vegas. According to Meléndez:

The most durable journalistic achievement of the Jesuits at Las Vegas was the establishment of the Jesuit newspaper La Revista Católica. La Revista offered Nuevomexicano youth and the Mexicano community of Las Vegas and the rest of New Mexico unheard possibilities for voicing positions on secular and religious issues. For the first time, journalism became a realistic aspiration for the region’s youth. (53)

Meléndez goes on to specify that, in addition to their high-quality educa-
tion in New Mexico and the “States” and their access to print technology, the young professionals entering journalism in the 1880s and 1890s also carried with them “a sense of mission and urgency fostered by the social, racial and political contentions of their age”:

Educated in the classics, inspired in the power of the press, seasoned in the copy room, they were driven by the imperative to raise their voices in opposition to suppression of their culture and language, and as they did so, this generation began to assert its civic, cultural, and human rights as never before. They also began to realize and sense that cultural political ascendancy was not only desirable, but achievable as well. The ascendancy they struggled to promote and propagate argued for the creation of institutions and vehicles of cultural empowerment heretofore unseen in New Mexico. (58)

In his book, Meléndez amply documents how the Nuevomexicano journalists set about constructing what they saw as a “national” culture for themselves, which consisted of using and preserving the Spanish language, formulating their own version of history and their own literature, all of which would ensure their self-confident and proud entrance as a state of the Union. From within the group of newspaper publishers and editors, in fact, sprung a cohesive and identifiable corps of native creative writers, historians and publishers who were elaborating a native and indigenous intellectual tradition which is the basis of much of the intellectual and literary work of Mexican Americans today. In addition, the young journalists quite often went on to become leaders in New Mexico trade, commerce, education and politics—a legacy still felt today. The development of the New Mexican Hispanic press, thus, followed a very different pattern from that of New York’s Hispanic press, which received publishers, writers and journalists trained abroad and who saw themselves as exiles or immigrants.

The cultural nationalism of the native New Mexican journalists arose from the necessity to defend their community from the cultural, economic and political “outsiders.” Their newspapers were to provide “la defensa de nuestro pueblo y nuestro país” (the defense of our people and our homeland) and the newspaper was the armament for that defense, according to editor Manuel C. de Baca (El Sol de Mayo, 31 March 1892); or “buscar preferentemente el mejoramiento y adelanto del pueblo hispano-american” (preferably seek the improvement and progress of the Hispanic
American people), according to *El Nuevo Mundo* (The New World, 8 May 1897). And, in keeping with their community leadership, their defense of cultural and civil rights was often issued in front-page editorials that made it clear that *Nuevomexicanos* had to assume a posture of defense to survive, and that part and parcel of the defense was the furthering of education and cultural solidarity. Typical of these editorials were the many printed by Enrique H. Salazar, founding editor of *La Voz del Pueblo* (The Voice of the People, 1889–1924) and later *El Independiente* (The Independent, 1894–?), in which he blamed the social decline of *Nuevomexicanos* on Anglo-American domination and racism. Salazar clearly envisioned a battle of cultures and rights:

> Our periodical . . . will continue its watch to protect the interests, honor and advancement of all of the segments of our great territory. The well-being of the people of New Mexico and principally of the native population will be at every instance the powerful motive that will impel with great vigor our efforts in the publication of our weekly. We are the foot soldiers of the community, guarding its rights.¹¹⁴

On the Hispanic newspapers as a vehicle for furthering the education of *Nuevomexicanos,* *El Nuevo Mundo* (5 June 1897) argued that the papers defend their rights, and try to educate them and always, always at the risk

---

*El Nuevo Mundo (Albuquerque)  La Voz del Pueblo*
of personal danger are promoting justice and progress for the masses. . . .

Take, therefore, all of the Spanish newspapers and their children will be able to learn more than in voluminous textbooks. . . . The people should convince themselves that the newspaper is the best medium for public education; learn in them to defend their rights and not give in to any foreign element.  

In keeping with the inter-cultural conflict of the times, the battle was not just rhetorical, as vigilante and grass-roots militancy sprang up to counter Anglo-American encroachment. Some newspapers even came out in favor of some of the militant resistance movements despite their acts of violence and their operation outside of the law. Such was the case when editor Félix Martínez took over the helm of *La Voz del Pueblo*. Martínez openly supported the two most widespread populist movements, the vigilante Gorras Blancas (White Caps) and the populist Partido del Pueblo Unido (United People’s Party), which opposed Anglo encroachment on the natives’ lands, bias in the legal system and a dual pay system for Anglos and Mexicans. Martínez had to pay a high cost for his stance: he was forced to leave Las Vegas and relocate to El Paso, Texas, where he published the *El Paso Daily News* and founded the *El Paso Times–Herald* (Meléndez, 83).

To combat the American myth of civilizing the West, i.e., subduing the barbarous and racially inferior Indians and Mexicans, the *Nuevomexicano* journalists began elaborating a myth of their own, that of the glorious introduction of European civilization and its institutions by the Spanish during the colonial period. Prior achievement legitimized their claims to land as well as to the protection and preservation of their language and culture:

The ‘glorious’ deeds of the Spanish colonial enterprise… provided the *periodiquero* generation with a powerful master narrative to counter Anglo-American pretensions to primacy in the region. Essentialist in this regard, the emphasis on the colonial narratives overshadowed the complexities of social and class formation in New Mexico tied to its mestizo, genízaro, and Indian past. As it was, nineteenth century historicism attributed great history to the actions of great men, and following this line of reasoning, the monumental stature of the colonial epic was proof that Anglo-American achievement paled in comparison to that of “los bizarros conquistadores” (the gallant conquistadors) of a bygone age. (Meléndez, 108–9)
But in their sally to battle the Anglo myth with their own, the Nuevomexicanos devalued their own mixed racial history, their mestizo and Indian past, as well as the contributions of the indigenous peoples of the Southwest. It was not enough to praise the accomplishments of the Aztecs and Mayas; there was no recognition of the Pueblos, Comanches, Apaches, etc., some of whose blood also ran in the hispanos’ veins. But they distanced themselves from their mixed-blood heritage and their historical, even present, association with Indians. Nowhere in Mexico either at that time was Comanche or Apache blood recognized as part of the making of the Mexican identity. And New Mexicans were not alone in the construction of this myth of pure Spanish origin; the Californios themselves had also constructed a fantasy heritage highlighting their Spanish roots and their supposedly once pastoral existence.

In elevating the accomplishments of their forebears, the Nuevomexicanos also attacked East-Coast writers of history and their followers in the English-language territorial press:

To the dissatisfaction of all the people we frequently see articles slanderous of the Neo-Mexicans in the eastern newspapers, denouncing us as a race without honor, virtue or manners . . . The people surely want to know who the authors of such infamous calumny and libel are. We shall say it. They are that class of persons who are without honor, scruples or conscience, and some of them live among us and generally show us their teeth with a superficial smile on their lips . . . 117

In fact, La Voz del Pueblo made the combating of the slanderous eastern press a specific part of its mission of promoting the admission of New Mexico as a state of the Union:

Our principal task will exert as much as possible our humble efforts in acquiring admission of New Mexico as a sovereign state of the American Union. Our pen will always, and on every occasion, without any fear, be ready to combat any calumny hurled at the good name and honor of the people of New Mexico. On account of our request for justice from the sovereign United States Congress in pursuing our admission to the confederation of states, we have been denigrated by most of the eastern press: without reason, without cause and without need. (2 February 1889) 118
The *Nuevomexicano* editorialists were able to turn the tables on Anglo-American settlers and businessmen by claiming their own higher breeding and Catholic religion over the alleged low morality, vicious opportunism and hypocrisy of Protestant interlopers and adventurers. In the construction of their history, the editors included historical and biographical materials regularly, even in weekly columns, covering the full gamut of Hispanic history, from the exploration and colonization of Mexico, including what became the U.S. Southwest, to the life histories of important historical figures such as Miguel de Hidalgo y Costilla, Simón Bolívar and José San Martín. And the *Nuevomexicanos* went beyond the greats of the epic of Spanish American independence to document, through biographies in their newspapers, the contributions of their forebears and even contemporaries in New Mexico and the Southwest.

*Neo-Mexicano* biographical profiles emerge in the print discourse of *Neo-Mexicano* newspapers as an extremely important field of representation that registers nativo civic participation in the affairs of their society. These texts, which at their core are self-reflective, celebrate in unabashed and laudatory terms the lives of those whom the community selects as worthy of emulation; thus, one result is the authentication of positive self-representation in the face of hegemonic effacement. (Meléndez, 113)

Likewise, the interest that the *Nuevomexicano* editors registered in literature was not confined to past glories. In publishing thousands of poems, short stories and other literary pieces, not only did they provide living examples of fine writing in the Spanish language—and thus assist in the maintenance of language and culture—but they also gave evidence that *Nuevomexicano* culture was not the unrefined and uneducated morass that the Eastern press made it out to be. Literary representation became the best and most elevated means of self-representation and creation of self-esteem in the face of Anglo disparagement, and so the editors fostered the creation of a “national” literature for the *Nuevomexicanos*. In so doing, texts from the entire Hispanic world were reprinted in the New Mexico newspapers as inspiration and models for the local community. And the incipient canon was not limited to the written word. The editors collected and printed thousands of items of oral lore, from proverbs to songs and folk tales. More than that, the editors respected the work of local bards, composers and even illiterate poets and included them within their literary
canon. In this oral tradition lay the bedrock of Nuevomexicano heritage: the knowledge and educational practices that had allowed the citizenry to take on an identity as a society when it made up a lonely outpost on the frontier of three imperial powers: Spain, Mexico and the United States.

One institution stands out in its furthering of the literary goals of the Nuevomexicanos: the Revista Ilustrada (Illustrated Review), which Camilo Padilla founded in El Paso, Texas, in 1907 and continued to publish in Santa Fe, New Mexico, from 1917 to 1931—specifically for the dissemination of Mexican-American literature and art. Revista Ilustrada was ahead of its time in identifying and furthering an Hispanic ethnic minority culture in the United States. Unlike New York’s Revista Ilustrada, which envisioned an international, pan-Hispanic readership, New Mexico’s situated itself squarely in the home (“magazine del hogar”—magazine for the home), although taking efforts to connect the culture of New Mexico and the Southwest to that of Mexico and the greater Hispanic world. In addition to publishing poetry, stories and history, often graphically illustrated, the magazine offered space to Nuevomexicano intellectuals to ponder the fate of their culture. Among the collaborators were such notables as Nuevomexicano historian Benjamin M. Read, poet and novelist Eusebio Chacón and linguist and professor Aurelio M. Espinosa. Padilla also included the works of some of the outstanding Spanish American literary figures of the time and advertised books of European and Latin American literature in Spanish that could be bought directly from the magazine, including works by Cervantes, Dumas, Fernández de Lizardi, Hugo, Jorge Isaacs and Verne; also appearing on the lists were works of regional and folk literature. After 1925, Padilla’s cultural work went far beyond the pages of the magazine to the founding and administration of El Centro de Cultura in Santa Fe, a center for cultural, literary and social events, but foremost a place for native art and culture practice. Another activity brought Padilla’s nativist concerns directly into the political realm: He was one of the organizers of a third party, El Club Político Independiente (The Independent Political Club), to represent the concerns of the native Nuevomexicanos (Meléndez, 198).

As Meléndez asserts, the promotion of literature and history by these editors and writers demonstrates that as early as the late nineteenth century Nuevomexicanos saw themselves as a national minority of the United States. This idea was furthered by the region-wide Hispanic-American Press Association, by the exchanges with newspapers in Texas and
California, by the awareness of region-wide dispossession and proletarization of the Mexican-origin population. They had recognized the value of their own local history, folklore and literature and had elevated it to print. They simply needed to preserve an identity within the bounds of a pervasive Anglo-American national culture.

Meléndez (199) states that Camilo Padilla’s death in 1933 brings to a close this most dynamic period in New Mexico Hispanic publishing; statehood achieved, the forces of cultural homogenization in the United States and the demographic ascendancy of the Anglo-American population made it increasingly difficult to sustain Spanish-language publishing in New Mexico (by the time of statehood, Anglos had achieved numerical parity):

By the 1930s the social authority to determine what was suitable and appropriate learning for both native and nonnative peoples in New Mexico . . . had passed into the hands of a growing community of recently emigrated Anglo-American educators, authors, historians, ethnographers, editors, and a sundry group of cultural do-gooders, who, for all their love of Southwestern subjects, remained tied to the print culture of the eastern United States, that is to say, they operated as agents of a “circuit of communications” that privileged Euro-American observations and ideas over those of regional and ethnic communities. This change in the social validation of learning meant that English would become the prerequisite for the publication of anything resembling “high literacy.” (201)

The Public Education Law of 1894 made English the language of instruction in public schools, and after statehood, public education further accelerated the displacement of Spanish as a public language. The Depression likewise took its toll on an industry that was competing with larger periodical entities for shrinking advertising dollars as well as subscription support. In 1958, El Nuevo Mexicano, the last remaining of the Spanish-language newspapers founded in the 1890s, ceased publication.

California

With the influx of Anglo-Americans occasioned by the Gold Rush and statehood in 1850, the native Hispanic population of California was quickly converted to minority status. Post-Civil War migration further increased the arrival of Anglos, as did the building of the railroads, the breaking up of the Californio ranches and the conversion of the economy
to capitalism. Californios and Hispanicized Indians were displaced from farms and ranches and were assimilated into the new economy as laborers on the railroads, in mines and in the fields.

Almost as soon as newspaper ownership came into the hands of the native Hispanic population of California, an ethnic minority consciousness began to develop. When Francisco P. Ramírez took the Spanish section from the Los Angeles Star and founded a separate newspaper, El Clamor Público (The Public Clamor, 1855-59), he created a landmark in awareness that Hispanics in California were being treated as a race apart from the Euro-Americans. Even the wealthy Californios who had collaborated in the Yankee takeover saw their wealth and power diminish under statehood. In addition to covering California and U. S. news, El Clamor Público also maintained contact with the Hispanic world outside California and attempted to present an image of refinement and education that demonstrated the high level of civilization achieved throughout Hispanism; this, in part, was a defensive reaction to the negative propaganda of Manifest Destiny.

*El Clamor Público* depended on a subsidy from the city of Los Angeles and had strong ties to the Anglo-American business community in the city; in addition, it was aligned with the Republican Party. Ramírez and his paper were also staunch supporters of learning English; not only was it important for business, but also for protecting Californios’ rights. These pro-business, pro-English and pro-Republican Party stances did not conflict with editor Francisco P. Ramírez’s assuming an editorial stance in defense of the native population: “Hemos puesto nuestro mayor conato en servir como órgano del sentimiento general de la raza española para manifestar las injurias atroces de que han sido victimas en este pais en donde
nacieron y en el cual ahora se ven en un estado inferior la mas infeliz de sus perseguidores” (14 June 1856: It has been our intent to serve as an organ for the general perspective of the Spanish race as a means of manifesting the atrocious injuries of which they have been victims in this country where they were born and in which they now live in a state inferior to the poorest of their persecutors).

Only seventeen years old when he took the helm of *El Clamor Público*, Ramírez was from the outset a partisan of Mexicans’ learning the English language, of California statehood and of the United States Constitution; however, his indignation grew as the civil and property rights of Californios were not protected by the Constitution that he loved so much. He became a consistent and assiduous critic, attempting to inspire Hispanics to unite in their own defense and to spur the authorities to protect the Hispanic residents of California. In his August 28, 1855, editorial “Inquisición” (Inquisition), Ramírez decried the vigilantism of the Americans who had come to displace the native population and the penchant of some for lynching Mexicans:

The authorities of a country should care for the security of its citizens, and it is incumbent upon them to judge and punish the criminal; but the infuriated mob has no right to take the life of a man without finding out if he has committed the crime of which he is accused. . . . Since 1849, there has existed an animosity between Mexicans and Americans, so foreign to a magnanimous and free people to such an extent that these [Americans] have wished with all their heart that all of the Mexicans had just one neck so that it could be cut off all at once. They [the Mexicans] have suffered many injustices, and they have especially been mistreated and abused with impunity in the mines. If a Mexican has the misfortune to place a suit in a court of this state, he is sure to lose it. It is impossible to negate this assertion because we know this has befallen many unfortunates in spite of the efforts they have made to obtain their rights and impartial justice.122

By reprinting news and editorials from around the state, Ramírez was instrumental in building a consciousness that injustice and oppression was not an isolated and local phenomenon. Ramírez emphasized the role of the Spanish-language press in building this consciousness. In his editorial “El Periodismo en California” (Journalism in California), Ramírez reprinted D. J. Jofre’s editorial from San Francisco’s *El Eco del Pacífico* (The Pacific
Echo, 1856–?) which emphasized the role of the press in protecting *la raza* in California:

Nowhere is the need for a Spanish-language newspaper more evident than in the state of California, . . . as Americans and as individuals of the noble Spanish race to which we belong, we believed it our duty . . . to raise our powerful voice with the armaments of reason, in order to denounce before the supreme court of public opinion the abuses and injury that have been and continue to be with frequency inflicted upon the individuals of our race; we believed it our duty to construct a permanent shield in the service of our Spanish countries as an alert against all of the illegal advances in the past and present towards absorbing them, outrageously taking them by surprise to extermination and death, and annihilating the nationalities of the invaded peoples. . . . All of the individuals of the diverse Spanish nationalities in California, in honor of our race, should protect it [the Spanish-language press] . . .

What is especially notable about this stance—which was presumably held by Ramirez as well, for he states that Jofre’s editorial “contiene mucha verdad y sano juicio” (has much truth and sane judgment)—is its placing of the oppression of Hispanic peoples in California within the larger context of U. S. territorial expansion in the hemisphere.

It was thanks to *El Clamor Público* on April 26, 1856, that the eloquent speeches made by leader Pablo de la Guerra before the state legislature on behalf the Californios’ land grants were shared with the Spanish-speaking public:

[The Californios] are the conquered who lay prostrate before the conqueror and ask for his protection in the enjoyment of the little which their fortune has left them. They are the ones who had been sold like sheep—those who were abandoned and sold by Mexico. They do not understand the language which is now spoken in their own country. They have no voice in this Senate, except such as I am now weakly speaking on their behalf . . . I have seen old men of sixty and seventy years of age weeping like children because they have been cast out of their ancestral home. They have been humiliated and insulted. They have been refused the privilege of taking water from their own wells. They have been refused the privilege of cutting their own firewood. And yet those individuals who have committed these abuses have come here looking for protection, and surprisingly the Senate sympathizes with them. You
Senators do not listen to the complaints of the Spanish citizens. You do not sufficiently appreciate their land titles and the just right to their possessions.124

Ramírez became more bitter as time progressed, at times calling democracy a “lynchocracy” and advising Hispanics to abandon California; in 1859, he took his own advice and closed El Clamor Público down. Ramírez emigrated to Ures, Sonora, Mexico, where he directed the official state newspaper, La Estrella de Occidente (The Western Star), and served as director of printing for the state. However, he returned to California in 1862 (Gutiérrez, 41). In January of 1865, Ramírez became the director of San Francisco’s El Nuevo Mundo, where he adjusted his vision to that of a promoter of pan-Hispanism for its mostly immigrant readership (El Nuevo Mundo, 6 January 1865). In 1872, Ramírez became part of the staff of Los Angeles’ La Crónica (The Chronicle, 1872–92), but was forced to resign. On August 14, 1880, Santa Barbara’s La Gaceta (The Gazette, 1879–81) reported that he had been working in Los Angeles as a lawyer for some eight years and was considered one of the best writers in California; it also supported him in his current run for the state legislature on the Republican ticket, even though the paper was certain that he would be defeated. In 1881, Ramírez fled Los Angeles after being charged with fraud, and returned to Sonora (La Gaceta, 26 March 1881). He lived out his years in Baja California, it is presumed (Gómez-Quiñones, 218).

The editorials of Francisco P. Ramírez certainly form a basis for the development of an Hispanic ethnic minority consciousness in the United States; his influence in disseminating that point of view in the native population cannot be underestimated: “The very force of occupation brought the first notions of Mexican American nationalism and resistance in the nineteenth century—predating the Chicano Movement by about one hundred years. It was Francisco P. Ramírez, through his Los Angeles Spanish weekly El Clamor Público, who proposed the term La Raza to denote Mexican Californians.” 125

Historians have also seen him as a pioneer in the struggle for civil rights of Mexican Americans and Hispanics in the United States:

*El Clamor Público* was a public defender speaking out against unfair administration, the manipulation of juries, corrupt practices, and prejudiced application of the law. It also sought to inform and instruct the
Mexican people on civics as well as the basics of statute and emigration law. . . . Ramírez loudly and frequently stated that though life had been poorer, matters were a lot better off before 1848, and he used a phrase that would be heard again, this land is our land. (Gómez-Quiñones, 218)

In summary, Ramírez seems to have been the first Mexican-American journalist of the West and Southwest to consistently use the press to establish a nativist perspective and to pursue civil rights for his people.

In many ways, Los Angeles’ La Crónica, on which Ramírez worked for a while, became a successor to El Clamor Público. The major investor in La Crónica was Antonio Coronel, a major figure among Californios, a business and political leader who had served in the militia, and an administrator of missions and a judge during the Mexican period. During the American period, he was elected a councilman, a county assessor and even mayor; when he founded La Crónica in 1872, he had just finished a four-year term as state treasurer (Gómez-Quiñones, 233–4).

Coronel was a devout believer in democracy and majority rule; however, he was more involved in the struggle to stem the tide of dispossession of Californio land and culture. His activism toward preservation of the Spanish language was formalized in 1856, when he unsuccessfully petitioned the Los Angeles school board for bilingual education. He continued to insist on the utility of the Spanish language in the public sphere, based on its importance in business, commerce and public service. His support of La Crónica must be seen as part of his commitment to the language and culture of California-native Hispanics. La Crónica, like Coronel himself and the majority of Mexican Americans in the Southwest at this time, was Republican in orientation.

As former citizens of Mexico, where slavery had been abolished since 1821, many Hispanics sympathized with the Union and the Republican Party during the Civil War; in addition, many of them were of mixed Indo-Afro-Hispanic heritage. Their “race” was continuously under attack; even Antonio Coronel had been the subject of racial slurs from Democratic opponents in the mayoral race of 1856. Throughout its issues, La Crónica not only defended Mexican Americans against racism but waged a battle for cultural preservation. And preserving the Spanish language, again, was at the heart of preserving the culture. In its February 24, 1877 issue, for instance, La Crónica bemoaned the trend of Mexican Americans losing their ability to speak Spanish and specifically called upon the Spanish-lan-
anguage press to take on the defense of Spanish as part of its community mission. *La Crónica*, as holder of the concession for printing public notices in Spanish for the city government, had a certain stake in this regard.

In the three decades after statehood was established, however, *El Clamor Público*, *La Crónica* and most of the other Spanish-language newspapers of California insisted on integration into the American education and political system and promoted learning the English language for survival. In doing so, they created a firm basis for the development of not only of an ethnic-minority identity but also *biculturation* (Treviño, 23–24), that is, a bicultural way of life for Mexican Americans—precisely what many Hispanics advocate today in the United States.

In California as elsewhere in the Southwest, the mass of economic and political refugees fleeing the Mexican Revolution overwhelmed the native populations. The large immigrant daily newspapers, such as *El Heraldo de México*, *La Prensa* and *La Opinión*, focused most of their attention on the expatriate communities, even while intending to accommodate Hispanic native issues and culture, as was Ignacio E. Lozano’s desire. As a result, nativist interest became incorporated or subsumed in the immigrant press, hindering the development of a separate Hispanic nativist press, especially in the big cities. Nevertheless, as the community matured and made the transition toward a Mexican-American or U.S. Hispanic culture, those same immigrant newspapers also became more oriented to their communities as being more than just immigrants who were temporary residents. By the time of World War II, more Hispanic periodicals were published in English rather than Spanish or bilingually, and a new second generation saw itself as a citizenry—a view reflected in their pages. These new publications and this new consciousness existed side-by-side with immigrant and exile publications.

In California, one such periodical was *The Mexican Voice* (1938–44), a publication of a YMCA-initiated youth program, the Mexican American Movement (MAM). As Arturo Rosales explains,

They were a new generation who had either been born in the U.S. or had been very young upon arriving from Mexico. Increasingly, more young people graduated from high school, giving them greater expectations in the larger society than those held by their parents. They could not identify with the symbolism perpetuated in the previous decades by immigrant leaders. Instead, they leaned more towards Americanization. (Rosales, 99)
Rosales sees the MAM as the quintessential Mexican youth organization in that it promoted citizenry, upward mobility through education and active participation in civil and cultural activities on the larger national scene, i.e., outside the barrio (99). If Mexicans were poor and represented mostly the laboring classes, it was because they lacked educational achievement, something that could be remedied in this land of opportunity and access. Through *The Mexican Voice*, editors and writers promoted these values and attempted to counter stereotypes of their people, even while at times expressing negative beliefs themselves about Mexican and Hispanic lack of ambition. While the youth group publishing *The Mexican Voice* was hesitant to acknowledge racism as a factor hindering success, it did promote pride in the pre-Colombian background and in Mexican *mestizaje*. The often-reiterated purpose of the magazine was to promote “Pride in Our Race”; this it accomplished by publishing brief biographies of high-achieving Mexican Americans in southern California. In an article titled “Are We Proud of Being Mexican?” published in 1938 (the individual issues then were not dated or numbered), Manuel de la Raza (probably a pseudonym for editor Félix Gutiérrez, Jr.) wrote of the advantages of *mestizaje*, bilingualism and a U. S. upbringing:

The Mexican Youth in the United States is, indeed, a very fortunate person. Why? Where else in one country do you have two cultures and civilizations of the highest that have been developed and come together to form into one? Mexican Youth comes from a background of the highest type Aztec and Spanish cultures, and now is living in a country whose standard of life is one of the highest and where there are the best opportunities for success. Take the best of our background, and the best of the present one we are now living under, and we will have something that cannot be equaled culturally . . . . When this rich background has been tempered with the fires of the Anglo-Saxon understanding and enlightenment, you will have something that is the envy of all.

On Americanization and entitlement, Manuel de la Raza claimed a heritage in the Southwest, a typical nativist perspective:

Still our paper sticks for Americanism. If you desire to remain here, if your future is here, you must become a citizen, an American; you can’t be a “man without a country.” Be proud of your background nevertheless. Our people settled this country, California, New Mexico, Arizona and Texas —
maybe not our people directly by family ties, but still our people. What has the borderline between Mexico and the United States to classify these people as different?

Numerous *Mexican Voice* editorials and articles were written by women, in which they announced that they stood equally with men. In one, “A Challenge to the American Girl of Mexican Parentage,” Dora Ibáñez echoed aspirations for girls similar to those of Manuel de la Raza for boys: education and achievement. While respecting home-making and motherhood, Ibáñez also exhorted her readers to become professional women:

> My little college aspirant, will you please look far into the future, and once a professional woman, won’t you honor your profession or career by your intelligence, alertness, thoroughness, tact and understanding of mankind so that it will be said of you: “Her success is an immediate result of the blend of her rich Aztec culture and the best this country has given her.”

(Christmas, 1938)

Another MAM leader, Consuelo Espinosa, in “The Constitution and the Fourteenth Amendment,” drew parallels between the war the U.S. was fighting to preserve democracy and the lack of democracy here because of discrimination and segregation:

> I am not afraid to say that some parents teach their children not to talk or play with a Negro or a Mexican. This is un-Christian and un-American. We say that we have to teach the youth of Germany the way of Democracy. Let me tell you, Americans, we still have a great job ahead of us, especially against the same racial prejudice.

These ideas were not far from those expressed by the Mexican-American civil rights organizations such as LULAC (League of United Latin American Citizens) and their publications, nor from those expressed during the Chicano Movement of the 1960s. In fact, these and other similar English-language periodicals formed a vital link to the attitudes that would produce the Chicano Movement and its politically committed newspapers, magazines and scholarly journals in the 1960s and 1970s. In California, the founding of *El Malcriado* in 1965 as the organ of the United Farm Workers Organizing Committee was one signal of the beginning of the Chicano civil-rights movement. The founding of *Con Safos*, a literary magazine, in
Los Angeles in 1968 presaged a grass-roots Chicano literary movement, and the publication of the quarterly *El Grito*, also in 1968, by two University of California-Berkeley professors, initiated an academic and scholarly movement which continues to this date.

**Arizona**

Two Arizona newspapers were noteworthy for developing a sense of Americanism among the Mexican-origin population within the United States: Tucson’s *El Fronterizo* (1878–1914) and *El Tusconense* (1915–57). Founded by Carlos Velasco, an immigrant businessman from Sonora, Mexico, *El Fronterizo* covered news from both sides of the Arizona-Sonora border and developed a sense of regionalism, catering to the needs of the area’s mining communities as well as to the Tucson business community. It was progressive and promoted modernization of the region and pacification of the local Indian tribes—often in virulently genocidal terms. But more than anything, Velasco, who had been a senator and superior court judge in Sonora,126 advocated participation in the political system:

The *raza* because of its respectable numbers in Arizona, could well partake of the greatest amount of guarantees, if they had their just represen-
tation in the more important public posts. But in a very injurious manner it seems that, with a few honorable exceptions, the *raza* has resigned itself to licking the chain which binds it to the controlling powers of those who would take advantage of their ignorance and disunity, those who do not return the service rendered, nor judge them worthy of any kind of consideration. (Quoted and translated in Gómez-Quiñones, 268, from *El Fronterizo* 18 November 1880)

Like most Mexican-American newspapers in the Southwest, *El Fronterizo* was aligned with the Republican Party; however, it would customarily endorse Democratic candidates if they were Hispanic.

What made *El Fronterizo* a particularly notable milestone in the development of a Mexican-American ethnic minority conscience was that its editorials and stories mirrored the civil rights agenda of the Alianza Hispano Americana (The Hispanic American Alliance), the longest lived Mexican American civil rights organization, not coincidentally also founded by Carlos Velasco. According to Gómez-Quiñones, “*El Fronterizo* published perhaps the clearest and strongest advocacy for Mexican electoral and civil rights of any southwestern newspaper in the 1870s” (268). Velasco campaigned tirelessly against discrimination throughout the Southwest and suffered “enmity, poverty and insult in defending the people of his race.”

Although Velasco was a prime mover in the Alianza and the founder of *El Fronterizo*, it was Ramón Soto, a rancher whose lineage went back to the Spanish colonists of the area, who best articulated the ethnic minority ideology that would solidify the community. And he did it with three essays published in *El Fronterizo* in July 1892. Soto called for Mexicans in Arizona to unite and
set aside their differences to vote as a bloc for Mexican candidates. He
sounded the call that exercising their rights could combat the disenfran-
chisement that came from considering themselves foreigners:

All of us in general believe that this country is the exclusive property of
the Americans, any one of whom arriving from New York, San Francisco
or Chicago has the right to be sheriff, judge, councilman, legislator, con-
stable or whatever he wants . . . . Such an American can be Swiss, Italian,
Portuguese or whatever. Always, in the final analysis, he is an American.
And ourselves? Are we not American by adoption or birth? Of course we
are. And as sons of this country, being born here, do we not have an
equal or greater right to formulate and maintain the laws of this land
that witnessed our birth than naturalized citizens of European origin?
Yes. Nevertheless the contrary occurs. Why? Because of the indifference
with which we view the politics of this country. Erroneously possessing
a patriotic feeling for our racial origins, our interests are here yet our
souls remain in Mexico. This is a grave error, because we are American
citizens . . . 128

Sheridan believes that Soto’s essays and speeches were very influential in
getting the Mexican-American community to realize once and for all that
its destiny was truly within the United States and that it had to concentrate
on bettering the conditions of Mexicans here; he even purposefully
referred to the community not as the “Mexican colony” but as the
“Hispanic American colony” to bring the community into the mainstream
of life in the United States, much as European immigrant groups had been
doing in their newly adopted country (Sheridan, 110). Then, too, there was
the realization that Spaniards and Cubans in the Northeast, and Chileans
and other Hispanics in northern California, were faced with similar dilem-
as and would eventually make the same transition.

El Fronterizo was followed by El Tucsonense, published by conserva-
tive businessman Francisco Moreno. It set the tone in the area for most of
the twentieth century. Ostensibly dedicated to promoting the Mexican-
American middle class and furthering its business interests, El Tucsonense
navigated the turbulent waters of merging the interests of the native pop-
ulation with those of the ever-growing waves of economic and political
refugees coming to Tucson as a result of the Mexican Revolution. While
battling against segregation and the general treatment of Mexicans as sec-
ond-class citizens, the newspaper also railed against the liberal-radical fac-
tions of the revolution in Mexico and took up the cause of the Cristeros. *El Tucsonense* lasted until 1957, the very eve of the Chicano Movement.

**Texas**

After Texas achieved statehood, a few Texas Mexican newspapers from 1850s on assumed activist roles, such as San Antonio’s *El Bejaraño* (The Bejar County, 1855–?), whose masthead proclaimed “dedicado a los intereses de la población México-Tejana” (dedicated to the interests of the Texas Mexican population). While clearly helping to define the interests of the native Mexican population and taking the lead on such issues as teaching Spanish in the public schools (1 February 1855) and defending the rights of Mexican American teamsters to do business (13 February and 5 March 1855), *El Bejareño* never assumed the aggressiveness that Francisco P. Ramírez’s *El Clamor Público* had in California.

Towards the end of the century there were a number of newspapers in Texas that represented Tejano issues and culture. *El Regidor* (The Regent, 1888–1916), founded in San Antonio by Pablo Cruz, was such a journal. In 1901, Cruz took on the cause of an unjustly accused and condemned Tejano, Gregorio Cortez—not only in the pages of the paper, but out in the community, raising funds for this man who soon would be elevated to legendary hero status by the Tejano folk. Through Cruz’s efforts, Anglo lawyers were hired for Cortez’s defense, and through appeals and various changes of venue to avoid local prejudices, Cortez was eventually found innocent of murdering a sheriff. 129

An important figure in establishing a Texas Mexican identity and fighting for Tejano rights was the militant journalist Catarino E. Garza. Born on the border in 1859 and raised in and around Brownsville, Garza was educated in both the United States and Mexico and worked in newspapers in Laredo, Eagle Pass, Corpus Christi and San Antonio. In the Brownsville–Eagle Pass area, he became involved in local politics and published two newspapers, *El Comercio Mexicano* (Mexican Commerce, 1886–?) and *El Libre Pensador* (The Free Thinker, 1890–?), which “criticized the violence, usurpation, and manipulation suffered by Mexican Americans” (Gómez-Quiñones, 291). Beginning in 1888, when he confronted U. S. Customs agents for killing two Mexican prisoners, Garza became more militant and struck out at authorities on both sides of the border, including the representatives of dictator Porfirio Díaz, with a band of followers that included
farmers, laborers and former Texas separatists. A special force of Texas Rangers eventually broke up his force of raiders and Garza fled in 1892 to New Orleans, and from there to Cuba and Panama, where he was reportedly killed fighting on behalf of Panamanian independence from Colombia (Meier and Rivera, 144). Garza’s extra-legal exploits were followed in detail in the Spanish-language newspapers of the Southwest and helped to coalesce feelings about exploitation and dispossession among the Mexican-American population. This process was also abetted by the reprinting of Garza’s articles in newspapers throughout the Southwest.

One of the most influential newspapers along the border was Laredo’s *La Crónica* (The Chronicle, 1909–?), written and published by Nicasio Idar and his eight children. Nicasio Idar had been a railroad worker and one of the organizers of a union of Mexican railroad workers in Texas: La Alianza Suprema de Ferrocarrileros Mexicanos (Griswold, 44). As a publisher, his working-class and union background was not left behind. He and his family took the forefront in representing the rights of Texas Mexicans and through the pages of *La Crónica* and a magazine, *La Revista de Laredo*, they promoted the defense of the native Mexican population and their civic and political projects. Idar was in the vanguard of establishing Mexican schools in Texas as an alternative to segregated schools. His daughter Jovita Idar was at the forefront of women’s issues and collaborated in a number of women’s periodicals. One of his sons also went off to Colorado to help in organizing miners. *La Crónica* decried everything from racism to negative stereotypes in traveling tent theaters; it also criticized factionalism and bloodshed in revolutionary Mexico. Idar saw the Revolution as an opportunity for Texas Mexicans and Mexican immigrants to unite and reconquer their homelands and enjoy greater freedom (*La*
Crónica, 24 December 1910). According to Griswold (46), “His view was that the revolution should motivate Chicanos to organize and unite their communities so as to fight for civil and economic rights.”

In building the identity of Mexican Americans, La Crónica was opposed to the more internationalist and radical efforts of Regeneración and the Brothers Flores Magón. However, Idar headed up a political movement of his own: El Primer Congreso Mexicanista (The First Mexicanist Congress). Held from September 14 to 20 in Laredo, the congress’s main purpose was to bring about and promote unification among all Mexicans in Texas as a way to battle injustice. According to Gómez-Quiñones (315–16),

Such action was to be premised on a consensus that would arise from addressing the following questions: (1) Mexican civic consciousness, that is “nationalism” in the community; (2) trade union organizing; social and education discrimination; (4) the role of the Republic of Mexico’s consular offices and relations with consuls; (5) the necessity of community-supported schools to promote Spanish-language and Mexican cultural instruction by Mexican teachers; (6) strategies and tactics to protect Mexican lives and economic interests in Texas; and (7) the importance of women’s issues and organizations for improving the situation of “La Raza.” In part a civil rights agenda, the program was a combination of questions or themes as well as organizing and advocacy priorities that took into account cultural, economic and political aspects.

The congress, which had attracted some four hundred delegates from organizations throughout the state, concluded with the founding of La Gran Liga Mexicanista (The Great Mexicanist League), an association of organizations that promoted the nationalist ethos of “Por la raza y para la raza” (by the people and for the people); “education and ethnic pride were viewed as a means to strengthen the community” (Gómez-Quiñones, 317). The congress also founded the women’s association of the movement, the Liga Femenil Mexicana (League of Mexican Women), in which Jovita Idar took a leadership role.

Another newspaper that served the Tejano community was San Antonio’s El Imparcial de Texas (The Texas Imparcial, 1908–24), which while developing out of the native population found new readers with the large influx of refugees from the Mexican Revolution. Founded by a druggist from the border, Francisco A. Chapa, who had been educated at Tulane University in New Orleans and settled in San Antonio in 1890, El Imparcial
*de Texas* was strictly a business venture of a man who had gained the reputation in both Anglo and Mexican communities of being a progressive man of science, interested in education; he was so widely respected that he was elected treasurer of the board of education and a member of the Business Men’s Club. Chapa, nevertheless, had a political commitment to the Mexican-American population, and used his newspaper to promote electoral activism, as well as to celebrate Mexican-American contributions to the World War I effort at a time when Mexican-American loyalty was in question among some Anglos. Chapa was called upon by Anglo politicians to get the Mexican-American vote behind them, and became a man of considerable power and influence (Rosales, 91).

One of Chapa’s most historically valuable contributions may have been his hiring of Ignacio E. Lozano as business manager for the newspaper; that experience solidified Lozano’s interest in the native population and later led Lozano to include it within the scope of his *La Prensa*. In working for Chapa, Lozano went beyond the business side of the operation and began writing editorials and news items. By 1911, Lozano was the main force behind *El Imparcial de Texas* (Stefano, 104–5). Ultimately, Lozano broke with *El Imparcial*, possibly because it served primarily Mexican Americans, and Lozano saw the need for a grander, more professional newspaper that would encompass the large *immigrant* community, as well as the natives. The daily newspapers that were founded in the major urban centers of the Southwest by Mexican immigrant publishers soon displaced many native Mexican-American efforts, although the natives’ issues and perspectives were also assimilated and represented in many of these papers, much as they were in *La Prensa*. In smaller towns and cities, nativist efforts were able to survive into the post-World War II era and the open recognition of a Mexican American identity.
While immigrant newspapers dominated the large urban centers, nativist papers continued to develop in the small cities and towns. One such newspaper was Santiago G. Guzmán’s *El Defensor del Pueblo* (The People’s Defender, 1930), which promoted a Mexican-American identity and supported the nascent League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC). Located in Edinburg, in impoverished south Texas, *El Defensor del Pueblo* became a watchdog over local politics, with a particular eye to political corruption and the disenfranchisement of Mexican Americans. However, the greatest concern of Guzmán and his paper was the development of a Mexican-American conscience and the assumption of the responsibilities of citizenship and voting as a way to vouchsafe the liberties and rights authorized by the U. S. Constitution. He envisioned his paper as a guardian of those rights and as a beacon for guiding Mexican Americans in combating racism and shucking off their sense of inferiority:

And this solemn objective is primarily to make known to the white man that we bronze-skinned people are not inferior in any way and that it is a solemn lie what a certain history textbook of Texas used in public schools to oppress us, says, “that we don’t know how to govern ourselves, much less elect our own governors” . . . In the future, the Latin American instructed in civics and ambitious for progress and well being, then, I say, there will be a new era for our race, now capable of decisively influencing the destiny of the collective as a racial entity, and they will not deny us political rights and social equality without them threatening us nor our fearing individual punishment, not when faced with violence, not even with dictatorships. (8 April 1930)\(^{130}\)

Implicit in his call was the idea of a national voting bloc of Latinos, and on the local level a reversal of the political structure in south Texas, where a white minority held all of the positions of power. It was not beyond *El Defensor del Pueblo*, however, to forge alliances with Anglos in order to improve political prospects. *El Defensor del Pueblo*, in fact, became a staunch supporter of the Pro-Good Government League, which became a political party in south Texas, in an attempt to break the hold the Democratic party had on all elected positions in the region. The Anglo- and Mexican-American party promised to put an end to the abuse and intimidation of Mexican Americans during elections and to clean up polit-
ical corruption (24 October 1930). The Pro-Good Government Party went down in defeat, but Guzmán did not give up.

The greatest opportunity for political organizing, he now believed, was in the founding and developing of LULAC. Guzmán and his newspaper spared no space in expressing confidence in the nascent civil-rights organization, which has survived to this day. As an organizer of LULAC, Guzmán saw that what afflicted Mexican Americans could not be resolved by party politics; it called for a much broader and unifying force of Mexican Americans: “Es necesario que nos comprendamos y nos unamos, nuestros problemas no son de un partido, son problemas que afectan a nuestra raza y hay que luchar Unidos” [It is necessary that we understand each other and we unite, our problems are not about one political party, they are problems that affect our race and we must fight in unity (12 December 1930)]. And one of the first projects that LULAC and El Defensor del Pueblo took on was a campaign to see that all Mexican Americans in Hidalgo County paid their poll tax as a first step toward voting; payment was considered dues for belonging to LULAC (23 January 1931). To be a member of LULAC, the individual also had to be a Latin American born in the United States or a naturalized citizen.

Mexican Americans were successful in electing various representatives to the Edinburg school board on April 4, 1931, which caused a furious reaction among Anglo citizens. Guzmán proudly urged his readers to organize further in pursuit of even greater gains for the benefit of their children:

Fellow citizens of our race! Let us walk toward the dawning of greater understanding and better representation and our children will bless their memory of us for their inheritance of our own inbred civilization. So that the hate that we traditionally bear on our backs will be converted in the future into eternal peace, when the sun of justice finally shines with greater appreciation for our people and will warm up their homes. . . . Let us extend our powerful unity and inscribe it in the sacred book of the struggle for the liberty, equality and fraternity of Latin American people. (10 April 1931) 131

In Texas, the process of Mexican Americanization—that is, establishing a firm identity as a U. S. ethnic minority—gave rise to two important, national civil rights organizations, both of which still exist today: LULAC and the American GI Forum. Founded in 1929, LULAC at first was made up
mostly of middle-class Mexican Americans, and it early on targeted segregation and unfairness in the judicial system as primary concerns. It also fought the federal government's "non-white" classification of Mexicans as discriminatory. Its main periodical—various local chapters had their own newsletters—was LULAC News (1931–1979), published monthly in English and Spanish for national distribution. A running account of LULAC battles was covered in the News. For example, in 1946 the News covered LULAC's campaign against discrimination in restaurants and bars, the denial of low-cost federal housing to Mexican-American GIs who had just "finished helping this country defeat countries . . . who would impose upon the world a superior culture . . . [We demand] social, political and economic equality and the opportunity to practice that equality . . . not as a favor, but as a delegated right guaranteed by our Constitution and as a reward for our faithful service" (quoted in Rosales, 96). LULAC News sought to use the outstanding service rendered during World War II by Mexican Americans—they were the most highly decorated and casualty-ridden of any American group—as a wedge to pry open more opportunities and win greater protection from discrimination.

When a funeral director in Three Rivers, Texas, refused to bury in a city cemetery a Mexican American soldier, Félix Longoria, killed in the Pacific theater, the American GI Forum was born. A former medical officer, Dr. Héctor García Pérez, and a civil-rights lawyer, Gus García, took on the battle. They succeeded in having Longoria buried at Arlington National Cemetery, and in the process created the new organization, which at first fought for the civil rights of veterans alone, but later enlarged its focus. The Forum became actively involved in electoral politics and was responsible for creating a voting bloc within the Democratic Party, one which experts believe was partly responsible for winning the 1960 presidential election for John F. Kennedy. The American GI Forum published its The Forumeer to keep the membership abreast of politics and the regional and national civil-rights campaigns. In addition, various of its state chapters, such as the California one, published their own magazines and newsletters.

LULAC News and The Forumeer were predecessors of the hundreds of Chicano Movement publications issued in the 1960s and early 1970s. They kept the populace informed of the civil rights struggle and provided a ideological framework from which to consider social and political progress. All of these publications reenforced the position of Mexican
Americans as citizens of the United States to the rights and benefits of American society. At their root, they were patriotically American, exhibiting great faith in the U. S. Constitution, Congress and the judicial system to remedy discrimination and injustice. On the cultural front, such periodicals as *The Mexican Voice* and literary publication in the newspapers were mirrored by such Chicano Movement-era grass-roots magazines as San Antonio’s *El Magazín* and *Caracol*. Today they are succeeded by such general news and culture magazines as *Texas Hispanic*.

**New York**

Since the late nineteenth century, New York has served as the principal port of entry for immigrants from Europe and the Caribbean. It has harbored and nurtured a culture of immigration through a social service and educational infrastructure developed for accommodating immigrants and facilitating their integration into the U. S. economy and overall culture. Within this general framework, numerous immigrant newspapers flourished, in part to facilitate this transition. In some of those newspapers, the awareness of their communities’ evolution towards citizenship status or American naturalization is reflected, and the demand for the rights of citizenship become more pronounced. Even *Gráfico*, which in most respects was a typical immigrant newspaper, began to recognize the American citizenship of its readers (mostly Puerto Ricans and Cubans residing in East Harlem) in order to demand rights guaranteed under the Constitution. In the following English-language editorial, the editors balked at being considered foreigners in the United States and the subjects of discrimination:

The great majority of our detractors forget that the citizens residing in the Harlem vicinity enjoy the prerogatives and privileges that American citizenship brings. We are almost all originally from Puerto Rico and the rest of us are naturalized citizens. Whosoever has identified himself with the history of this country knows that when we speak of foreigners we are talking about ourselves, because that is what the inhabitants of this young nation are. The United States is a young nation and we believe that the melting pot of peoples that it constitutes clearly indicates that its components belong to all of the cultures and all of the nations of the world. Thus, we are making fools of ourselves when we try to categorize anyone here as a foreigner.

Many of the individuals who attempt to knock down our co-citizens
in this locale were no better than them before learning the customs and ways of this country. One has to be blind not to see in any of those individuals who call themselves complete citizens the fringes of their old country and their old customs . . . .

We, of course, do not participate in this intransigent hate and we are not going to wave the battle flag of animosities and prejudices that would further feed hate and serve no other purpose . . . .

The recent clashes between the inhabitants of the barrio and some authorities who have also lowered themselves to the common and ignorant judgment about our colony have obligated us to take up the forum ready to brave the consequences implied in our just and reasonable defense. (August 7, 1927)

And while the editors of Gráfico often made comparisons of their community with that of other immigrant groups, it is obvious from the above editorial (as well as other Gráfico articles) that some differences existed; because of the Jones Act of 1917, Puerto Ricans did not have to take steps to become citizens—it was automatic. And, with the advent of the Depression, New York did not experience the massive repatriation of Hispanics that occurred in the Southwest. Instead, hard economic times on the island brought even more Puerto Ricans to the city, a trend that would intensify during World War II as northeastern industries experienced labor shortages and recruited heavily in Puerto Rico. The massive influx of Puerto Ricans during and just after the war further intensified the community’s identity as a native American citizenry. And their local newspapers appealed to them as citizens to organize politically and vote. In 1941, a new newspaper, La Defensa (The Defense) appeared in East Harlem specifically to further the interests of the Hispanics of the area who were there to stay (“no somos aves de paso”—
we are not here as temporary birds):

Considering that this publication comes from the heart of our humble Harlem barrio; from the center of the most abject poverty; where vice and pain inexorably battle each other over the squalid victims of ignorance, racial prejudice and the present defective system of political economy; we cannot help but dedicate this weekly newspaper to the defense of our legitimate rights as citizens and justify the principle that the free press is the most effective defender of human rights and the strongest guaranty and the correct functioning of justice.

In spite of all the evils—real or imaginary—that have been attributed to our barrio, the majority of its residents are of complete moral solven -cy, lovers of order and possessors of all of the essential attributes for accomplishing the duties of good citizens; therefore, one of the main objectives of LA DEFENSA is to prove the fact that in the barrio there exist individuals capable of bringing to bear their intelligence and force of will on the historic scene of civic events relating to our Hispanic collective. It is not because of this that we shall limit ourselves from condemning with all of our energy the evils which in reality do exist and which are the cause of our collective denigration.

It is time that we realize that we are not temporary birds; that we are here to stay and that it is necessary to prepare the road for those who will follow us. (23 April 1941)\textsuperscript{132}

Even earlier, in 1927, a league had been formed in New York to increase the power of the city’s Hispanic community through unification of its diverse organizations. Among the very specific goals of the Liga Puertorriqueña e Hispánica (The Puerto Rican and Hispanic League) were representing the community to the “authorities,” working for the economic and social betterment of Puerto Ricans and propagating the vote among Puerto Ricans.\textsuperscript{133} That same year the Liga founded a periodical, Boletín Official de la Liga Puertorriqueña e Hispánica (The Official Bulletin of the…), to keep its member organizations and their constituents informed of community concerns. However, the Boletín evolved into much more than a newsletter, functioning more like a community newspaper, including essays and cultural items as well as news items in its pages.

Supported at first mainly by the Puerto Rican Brotherhood, a mutualist organization, the Boletín’s goals included providing information and education as well as promoting suffrage among Puerto Ricans; however, partisan politics was frowned upon (although it was open knowledge that
most Hispanics were Democrats).\textsuperscript{134} The *Boletín* began publishing bi-weekly with the idea of someday becoming a daily newspaper (See 15 January 1928), and included on its staff many names prominent in New York Hispanic journalism, such as Jesús Colón, Isabel O’Neill and J. Dávila Semprit. While cultural items were front and center in the early years, later in its run under the directorship of Jesús Colón, coverage of working-class issues and ideology became more emphasized.\textsuperscript{135} The *Boletín* never evolved into a daily; throughout its history it was plagued by internal discord over political stances and factionalism (see the editorial for the 1 May 1932 issue). However, the bi-weekly was influential in raising the level of awareness of Puerto Ricans as an electorate and emphasizing their need to associate and form political coalitions with other Hispanic groups for mutual political and economic betterment.

*Pueblos Hispanos: Seminario Progresista* (Hispanic Peoples: Progressive Weekly, 1943–1944) was through its director, Juan Antonio Corretjer, affiliated with both the Puerto Rican Nationalist Party and the Communist Party of America.\textsuperscript{136} *Pueblos Hispanos* was a nativist Puerto Rican newspaper that, even while encouraging political involvement in the Democratic Party and openly endorsing candidates for office—including the reelection of FDR through front-page editorials—promoted Puerto Rican independence from within the context of “liberation movements” in Europe and Latin America.\textsuperscript{137} As its name indicated, *Pueblos Hispanos* promoted pan-Hispanism and a future integration of Latin American countries. Edited by the important Puerto Rican poet and delegate to the Communist Party of America, Juan Antonio Corretjer, the newspaper promoted socialist causes around the globe, ran weekly columns on politics and culture in the Soviet Union as well as on socialist movements in Peru, Ecuador, Brazil,
Mexico, Central America and elsewhere, and covered Puerto Rican politics on the island and in New York in detail. *Pueblos Hispanos* was a devout enemy of fascism everywhere and supported the U.S. war effort and FDR, and especially applauded Roosevelt’s liberal policies regarding the United States colony of Puerto Rico.

In each issue, *Pueblos Hispanos* ran the same list of nine points enunciating its mission:

**THE REASONS FOR PUEBLOS HISPANOS**

Because the VICTORY needs:

1. . . . the unification of all of the Hispanic colonies in the United States to vanquish Nazi fascism, united with all of the democratic forces.

2. . . . so that the rights of all Hispanic minorities in the United States be defended—Puerto Ricans, Filipinos, Mexicans, etc.

3. . . . the immediate independence of the Puerto Rican nation.

4. . . . to combat the prejudice against Hispanics because of their race or creed, and the disintegration of prejudices against other minorities.

5. . . . the tenacious battle against Spanish Falangist enemy as an integral part of the Fifth Column of the Axis operating in the Americas, and to help and promote the unity of all Spaniards on behalf of democratic freedoms in Spain.

6. . . . the freeing of all political prisoners in the world.

7. . . . improved relations among the Americas through the spreading of the Hispanic cultures.

8. . . . making the independence of the Philippines a fact recognized by law.

9. . . . labor union unity throughout the Americas. (25 March 1944)\(^{138}\)

Within this broad context, *Pueblos Hispanos* issued a continuing stream of poetry, short stories, essays and commentary, in original and reprinted form, by some of the leading writers of the Hispanic world, past and present, including Federico García Lorca, Antonio Machado, Pablo Neruda, Nicolás Guillén, Gabriela Mistral, Alejandro Tapia y Rivera and Juan Ramón Jiménez, among many others. *Pueblos Hispanos* was a veritable anthology of the poetry and writings of Corretjer, poet Clemente Soto Vélez and Jesús Colón. In addition, the paper’s administrator, Consuelo Lee Tapia, created a space in the newspaper for the analysis and advancement
of Hispanic feminism, often commenting on news and culture from a feminist perspective and writing biographies of outstanding Puerto Rican women throughout history. The four principal members of the editorial team were all members of the Communist Party, with Consuelo Lee Tapia, the granddaughter of the important Puerto Rican literary figure Alejandro Tapia y Rivera, being the most militant. Puerto Rico’s leading woman poet, Julia de Burgos, was a frequent contributor to the newspaper, providing poetry and commentary.

It is a paradox that while *Pueblos Hispános* was most concerned with safeguarding the civil rights and promoting the political participation of Puerto Ricans in New York and national politics, it was at the same time advocating the island’s separation from the United States. But this confidence in America’s safeguards on freedom of the press, freedom of expression and freedom of assembly for even dissenting political parties only underlines the degree of confidence that the editors and community felt in their status as U.S. citizens. They were exercising their rights fully and openly, assuming stances otherwise unheard of in immigrant newspapers.

The career of *cronista* Jesús Colón is illustrative of the evolution of newspapers from immigrant to ethnic minority consciousness in this century. Colón was born in Cayey, Puerto Rico, in 1901, shortly after the island became a colony of the United States, and he stowed away on a ship to New York in 1917, the year U.S. citizenship was granted to Puerto Ricans. Originally from the tobacco growing and manufacturing region of Puerto Rico, where he had already labored among the cigar rollers, he was able to attend the Central Grammar School in San Juan, where he edited the school newspaper, *Adelante* (Forward). Upon his arrival in New York City, he became involved in numerous community and labor organizations, as

---

*Julia de Burgos*
well as the Puerto Rican Socialist Party, and dedicated himself assiduously to reading and learning as much as he could. After graduating from the Boys’ High Evening School in Brooklyn, Colón became the quintessential autodidact and strove to exercise his learning through journalism and commentary in Spanish-language newspapers. Through the course of his career, it is estimated that he produced more than four hundred published items in some thirty newspapers and periodicals. He also served as an officer for numerous community organizations and even ran for Controller of the City of New York on the Communist Party ticket.

Coming from a modest background and having been socialized among tobacco workers and union organizers, Colón became the voice of the working class, and his trajectory through labor and Hispanic community newspapers was consistent in its ideological focus, although he did at first assume the guise required by the conventions of Spanish-language cronista. As best can be gleaned from the incomplete historical record, Colón began his formal journalistic career in 1923 as a correspondent for Puerto Rico’s Justicia (Justice), the official newspaper of the Federación Libre de Trabajadores (Free Federation of Workers). His writings appeared consistently in Gráfico, beginning in 1927 under his own name and the pseudonyms Miquis Tiquis and Pericles Espada.

In his signed articles, Colón takes on the persona of a serious intellectual commentator on social and political matters. As Pericles Espada, he assumes the persona of the lover giving advice to his beloved, who personified the island of Puerto Rico; thus the words of love are analysis of
Puerto Rico’s relationship to the United States. As Míquis Tiquis, however, Colón adopts the convention of the cronista as satirist and critic of the customs of his immigrant community, displaying an aloof and often harshly negative view of the uneducated and unruly Hispanic working-class of New York, a stance comparable to the bourgeois Jorge Ulica’s in San Francisco: Not only does Colón criticize what is pernicious in the barrio, he also takes jabs at Hispanics wishing to assimilate. As we have noted earlier, he even censured Latina flappers, as did cronistas Ulica and Venegas. In all, Colón’s writings in Gráfico were the typical fare of immigrant journalism, chronicling in Spanish the adaptation of the Hispanic newcomers to the city, but still very much concerned about politics and society in the homeland.

It is difficult to discern if Colón wrote for other Spanish-language newspapers between 1928 and 1943 because at this stage in his career he wrote under pseudonyms. In 1933, Colón became a member of the Communist Party of America. He next surfaced as a weekly columnist in Pueblos Hispanos in 1943, at which point he had already made the transition to defender of the rights of Hispanics in the barrio and of the working class in general. In his column “Lo que el pueblo me dice” (What the people tell me), Colón directed his words mostly to the Puerto Rican community and was very concerned about the preservation of its identity and nationhood (3 July 1943). He suggested such projects symbolic of an ethnic minority conscience as the placing of a statue in the Puerto Rican barrio of East Harlem—simultaneously a symbol of Puerto Rican permanence in the city and a monument to the memory of the homeland (14 August 1943). And most importantly, he exhorted Puerto Ricans to register and vote, especially for politicians such as the favored Congressman Vito Marcantonio, who protected Social Security and other important programs for the working class and had even pushed for Puerto Rican independence (4 September 1943).

Beginning in 1955, Colón wrote a regular column in English, “As I See It from Here,” for The Daily Worker, through which he introduced the culture and concerns of Puerto Ricans and Hispanic workers to the Communist Party membership. Colón thus became the first Hispanic columnist to make the transition to the English-language press. From 1955 through May 18, 1974, (the date of his last article in The Daily World), Colón became the interpreter of the Hispanic world and Latin America for the readership of the Communist Party newspapers in the United States.
He also provided consistent ethnic minority and racial minority perspectives on news events and public issues of every sort. But it was only in his later publications in English that he at times wrote as a black man about racial prejudice; in his earlier Spanish-language crónicas in Gráfico, his racial identity was not discernible. In his mature persona of black working-class Puerto Rican, as well as in his indomitable spirit and combative-ness—he was denounced by McCarthy-era politicians and ran for political office in New York City on the Communist Party ticket—he foreshadowed the literary stance of the Nuyorican writers of the 1970s and was adopted as a model and muse by them. As a result of his evolution from immigrant writer to that of an Hispanic minority voice, the bulk of Colón’s work was published in English through newspapers that nurtured his political and social analysis. His was a humane and caring voice that spoke for the Hispanic urban working class in simple, direct, man-in-the-street language that emphasized his membership in their class. According to Acosta-Belén and Sánchez Korrol,

As a whole, Colón’s writings offer an incisive view of U. S. society. He admires the democratic foundations upon which this nation was built, but recognizes the betrayal of those principles by a capitalist system of accumulation that perpetuates profound inequalities, exploits the workers and works against their well-being while keeping power and privilege in the hands of a wealthy few. In his sketch “The Head of the Statue of Liberty,” he perceives and poignantly captures the “credibility gap” between what the statue symbolizes and the struggles for freedom and justice that Puerto Ricans and other minority groups must confront in U. S. society. (25)

Like many Hispanics before him, Colón developed from a rather naive and unschooled immigrant in the big city, into a defender of his community’s civil and human rights, and then into an ethnic minority leader clamoring for the realization of the dream envisioned by the Founding Fathers in the U. S. Constitution.

**Conclusion**

This has been a brief historical survey of Hispanic periodicals published in the United States before 1960. Of necessity, it has been selective in studying certain typical and trend-setting examples of newspapers and
magazines, without providing a complete history of any one periodical and by glossing over some entire groups of periodicals that bear further, careful and detailed study—such as religious publications and trade and scientific journals. The general purpose has been to further the understanding of the three main manifestations of periodical publication, which in turn reflect the nature of Hispanic culture in the United States: exile, immigration and nativism. These manifestations are to be understood as operating simultaneously in a dynamic environment of mutual influence and interrelationship, as exiles, immigrants and Hispanic citizens of the United States often live in the same area, work in the same factories or fields and send their children to the same schools. Furthermore, both Hispanic exile and immigrant communities, in time, evolve into native communities, that is, a national ethnic minority that we call Hispanic or Latino today. Hispanic publications reflect these processes and dynamic relationships.

The study of the history of Hispanic periodicals is an oblique manner of studying the socio-historical evolution of Hispanic peoples within the United States and their pursuit of self-expression and self-definition as a people within the cultural and geographic borders of a multicultural nation. A major purpose for the publication of periodicals among Hispanics has been the defense of civil and cultural rights. Even immigrants brought north of the border or to these shores from overseas as a result of U. S. economic or political dominance sought the opportunities for freedom and economic advancement without wholly abandoning their language and culture.

The legacy today of this diverse background of news publication, interpretation and the self-imaging by editors and writers is a rich print culture in both English and Spanish. This legacy continues to accommodate the expression of both economic and political refugees and seeks to continue to define the place of Hispanics in not only the national context but the hemispheric one as well. Thus, in Chicago, Los Angeles, Miami and New York, Spanish-language daily newspapers still thrive in helping immigrants make the transition to a new society. There still appear underground and not-so-clandestine revolutionary sheets fomenting rebellions in Latin America. But the last twenty years have also seen the appearance of highly commercial, glossy and slick, English-language magazines for nationwide distribution among Latinos, such as Hispanic, Latina and Hispanic Business, and many others for regional audiences, such as Texas Hispanic and Latin N.Y. This is in addition to all of the Spanish-language
versions of American news and illustrated magazines such as People, Cosmopolitan, Reader's Digest and numerous others, which originally may have been export editions but today have developed a large domestic circulation.

The Hispanic periodical is here to stay, even if in the wired twenty-first century it reaches its audience online. In the new global economy and the integrated hemisphere, we can also look forward to more Spanish-language journalism emanating from the United States to the rest of the Hispanic world, precisely because the existing historical basis has already supported such development in the media of today. The transmission and distribution of news and programming from the Spanish-speaking Americas to the United States has been a reality for thirty years and will continue to be part of the U.S. media mix. It may be the Spanish language, and the media infrastructure that transmits it, that makes the greatest strides towards integrating this hemisphere overall. Hispanic population growth in and outside of the United States as well as the economic and political development of the Spanish-speaking Americas will result in further growth of Spanish-language print and electronic media.
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Notes


2. The first boja volante was published by printer Juan Pablos in 1541: Relación del espantable terremoto que ahora nuevamente ha acontecido en las Indias en una ciudad llamada Guatemala... For a facsimile of this news sheet, reporting on an earthquake in Guatemala, see Rafael Carrasco Puente, La prensa en México: Datos históricos (Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 1962), p. 19.


4. For a facsimile of the front page of this newspaper, see Rafael Carrasco Puente, La prensa en México, p. 31. Before La gaceta de México appeared there were various other “gazettes” that appeared, in 1666, 1667, 1668, 1671, 1673 and 1682, but they all lacked periodicity. The first regular periodical documented is truly La gaceta de México (Carrasco Puente, p. 35).

5. Francine Medeiros, in “La Opinión, A Mexican Exile Newspaper: A Content Analysis of Its First Years, 1926-1929,” Aztlán 11.1 (Spring, 1980): 65-87, fails to understand this distinction and incorrectly classifies La Opinión as an “exile” newspaper on the basis that 75 percent of the newspaper’s editorials during these first of its long years of publication were devoted to issues internal to Mexico. La Opinión, just as its parent newspaper, San Antonio’s La Prensa, were sophisticated business enterprises administered by a consummate entrepreneur, Ignacio Lozano, who knew precisely how to cater to the Mexican immigrant population; at no time did either newspaper throw full support to any one faction of the Revolution nor did they as a matter of policy meddle in politics in Mexico or the United States. For a biography of the founder and his business acumen—as well as to correct some of the errors in the Medeiros article—see Onofre di Stefano, “‘Venimos a Luchar’: A Brief History of La Prensa’s Founding,” Aztlán 16.1-2 (1985): 95-118.


9. Such was the fate suffered by Eduardo Facciolo for clandestinely publishing the revolutionary newspaper La Voz del Pueblo Cubano in 1852. The interesting story of how Facciolo transported and hid his press from the authorities and was ultimately discovered is related by Fornet, pp. 32-4. Juan Clemente Zenea, another journalist and literary figure associated with La Voz del Pueblo Cubano who went into exile in New York to escape persecution, continued his revolutionary journalism for La Revolución newspaper there and, on returning to Cuba with a Spanish government pass to conduct interviews of political figures, was arrested and executed by a Spanish firing squad in 1871. See El laúd del desterrado. Ed. Matías Montes-Huidobro (Houston: Arte Público Press, 1995), p. 163.

10. See Matías Montes-Huidobro’s brief biography of Teurbe Tolón in El laúd del desterrado, pp. 134-46.


14. See Gerald E. Poyo, “With All, and for the good of All.” *The Emergence of Popular Nationalism in the Cuban Communities of the United States, 1848-1898* (Durham: Duke University Press, 1989), p. 17, for a detailed discussion of the *El Mulato* controversy. Poyo’s landmark study of the development of Cuban identity was accomplished through the first detailed analysis in history of the role of the Cuban expatriate newspapers in the formation of political ideology and nationalism by an Hispanic group. His book is a real-life demonstration of the value of preserving and studying the Hispanic press.

15. Cuando *El Filibustero* dió a entender que *La Verdad* no era ya la defensora de nuestros derechos, se apoyaba tal vez en que era redactada por un enemigo de nuestra causa, en fin, hemos visto con repugnancia que uno de los órganos de la revolución estuviese en manos de un realista, de un satélite del despotismo.

16. En el país de los *libres*, la libertad esclaviza, atormenta, opime, hierve, y quema a algunos de nuestros semejantes . . . ¡Humanidad! ¿a dónde hase ido? Será que enojada en la tierra libre de Washington, habeis resuelto buscar mejor morada en tras regiones, donde la libertad tenga su verdadero culto y los hombres firmes y lejítimas garantías? Mientras el desvalido sufre tremendos azotes, busca en vano, sin encontrar la mano benéfica que detenga tan bárbaros golpes, levanta entonces los brazos y eleva su vista al cielo esclamando: “¡ya no hay libertad, gran Dios, en la tierra; los hombres que la invocan, la ofenden con la mentira, la injurian con la crueldad”.

Instituciones que se reputan como el tipo de la democracia son contrariadas por sus propios promulgadores . . . vulneradas con estudio, también son manchadas por la ambición y el vil interés. —Los jenios metalizados carecen de todo instinto jeneroso.

17. Quoted and translated in Poyo, p. 16.

18. Nuestros hermanos que combaten en los campos de Cuba nos recuerdan, que es justísimo que los que estamos en tierra extranjera seamos infatigables en la creación de fondos para adquirir y remitirles elementos de guerra en cantidad suficiente . . . la emigración cubana es la emigración más rica que registra la historia; ya por el monto de capitales efectivos con que cuenta, ya por el inmenso caudal que representa su industria. No puede ponerse nada en duda la proverbial generosidad y largeza de los cubanos . . .

19. This emphasis on Hispanic children in the United States was not new or unusual, given that entire Hispanic communities were now developing on the East Coast. Over the course of the nineteenth century more and more textbooks for Spanish-speaking children were being published, and the all-important printer-book-seller-publisher Nestor Ponce de León even founded in 1873 a periodical to assist Hispanic families in the education for their children: *El Educador Popular.* Ponce de León was the most important publisher of books and pamphlets advancing the revolutionary cause. A man of letters and historian himself, Ponce de León had edited liberal magazines and newspapers in Cuba before becoming persecuted and going into political exile in New York. Almost immediately on arriving on these shores, he wrote and published a book in English, *The Book of Blood*, denouncing Spain’s bloody rule over Cuba. See Calzagno, pp. 519-20.

20. Poyo, p. 61, has summarized Martí’s stance toward the United States: “But Martí did not reject Cuba’s annexation to the United States only because of what he considered its unnatural implications for Cuban national identity or because of his contempt for North American racism. He also did not share the annexationists’ glowing assess-
ment of North American life. A man of high ideals, the Cuban publicist did not believe that the United States could offer the Cuban people a great deal. His own vision of Cuba’s future could never be achieved as part of what he considered to be an increasingly decadent North American society. During his many years in the United States, Martí had observed the nation in all its complexities. While he had originally admired many aspects of North American life, by the late 1880s he had concluded that many aspects of its negative internal political and socioeconomic characteristics and its increasingly aggressive international posture would probably dominate its future.”


23. Rodríguez de Tió arrived in New York in 1895 and left in 1899, the third of her exiles; the first and second were in Venezuela (1877-80) and Cuba (1889-95). After the Spanish American War, Rodríguez de Tió spent out the rest of her life in a quasi-independent Cuba, preferring not to live in Puerto Rico, which had become a colony of the United States. See Rubén del Rosario, Esther Melón de Díaz and Edgar Martínez Masdeu, *Breve enciclopedia de la cultura puertorriqueña* (San Juan: Editorial Cordillera, 1976): 393-95.

24. For the periodical writings of Sotero Figueroa, see Sotero Figueroa, *La verdad de la historia* (San Juan: Instituto de Cultura Puertorriqueña, 1977). Carlos Ripoll’s introduction, pp. 5-9, includes interesting data on Sotero’s activity in New York.


29. Mexican government agents, indeed, pursued the Brothers Flores Magón and others on American soil, at times even with the complicity of U. S. authorities. And in Mexico itself, reprisals were often brutal, if not fatal. Suppression of the press was most
intense during the regimes of dictators Antonio López de Santa Anna and Porfirio Díaz. For a detailed account of the form that this suppression took, see Miguel Velasco Valdés, *Historia del periodismo mexicano (apuntes)* (Mexico City: Librería de Manuel Porrúa, s.d.), pp. 113-18.


34. Section 19 of the Trading with the Enemy Act required newspapers publishing in foreign languages to file a translation of all items that mentioned the United States government or the conduct of the war. See Robert E. Park, *The Immigrant Press and Its Control* (New York: Harper & Brothers, 1922), p. 440.

35. See Park, pp. 412-47, for a lengthy discussion of press censorship and suppression under these circumstances; in 1922, Park did not really consider these acts to be suppressive: “The files of the [Post Office] Department at Washington contain the records of hundreds of hearings in which immigrant newspapers were given an opportunity to answer the complaints against them. These records show that the most searching examination was made of the character and contents of the papers complained of. They show that the number of foreign papers actually denied the services of the Post Office Department were very small, probably not more than ten. A number of newspaper offices were, to be sure, raided at different times by the Department of Justice, but no papers were suppressed by the Post Office Department” (p. 441). In addition to *Regeneración*, Park gives some indication that New York’s *Cultura Obrera* was another targeted Spanish-language newspaper (p. 246). Thomas C. Langham, *Border Trials: Ricardo Flores Magón and the Mexican Liberals* (El Paso: Texas Western Press, 1981), p. 55, notes that the Post Office also revoked the second-class mailing privileges of New York’s Spanish newspaper *Voluntad* (Will Power) in 1916 for political reasons.

36. Actually, the *Regeneración* offices had been raided earlier, on June 14, 1911, and Flores Magón and other PLM members were arrested by U.S. federal authorities, following a PLM led insurgency in Baja California. Raising the bail and freeing the PLM members became a *cause célèbre* for radicals in the United States. On June 22, 1912, Flores Magón and the other PLM members were convicted of conspiracy to organize armed expeditions from the United States territory against a friendly nation. The “Los Angeles 13” were sentenced to twenty-three months of imprisonment. See Gómez-Quíñones, *Sembradores*, pp. 46-50.


38. Actually, Flores Magón had been sentenced to various other terms in the United States before serving his last in Leavenworth: two months in St. Louis in 1905; thirty-six months, beginning in 1907; thirty-three months in Los Angeles in 1911; two months at Leavenworth in 1916. (González Ramírez, 8)


40. Velasco Valdés, p. 156, lists the following as examples of revolutionary journalists whom U.S. authorities suppressed in compliance with the wishes of the Diaz regime: Jesús, Enrique and Ricardo Flores Magón, Antonio Villarreal, Santiago R. de la Vega, Inocencio Arreola, los hermanos Sarabia, Librado Rivera and Aarón López Manzano.

41. Emma Pérez, “‘A la Mujer’: A Critique of the Partido Liberal Mexicano’s Gender Ideology,” *Between Borders: Essays on Mexicana/Chicana History*. Ed. Adelaida R. Del Castillo (Encino, Calif.: Floricanto, 1990): 459-82, states that *Regeneración* and the PLM did help to politicize Mexican women, but it was not truly to analyze and address their
issues as women at all, only to serve the nationalist cause of the revolution.


46. Lomas, 304, has found a letter that indicates that men may have been using women’s voices in La Voz de la Mujer or they may have been writing down what the women’s staff dictated or commented upon instead of women appropriating the right to write and publish, and she further states that, “This may explain why the narrative voices in La Voz de la Mujer are not at all different from those of the male precursors of the revolution, why the newspaper lacks an analysis of women’s condition and why attempts are made therein to develop women’s sense of state nationalism.”


49. See her “Introduction,” pp. xi-xii, in which she also states that for the most part she refers to the foreign-language press (p. xii).

50. Lubomyr R. and Anna T. Wynar, in Encyclopedic Directory of Ethnic Newspapers and Periodicals in the United States 2nd edition (Littleton, Co.: Libraries Unlimited Inc., 1976), pp. 14-15, include an extensive discussion of terminology, which there is no reason to reproduce here. Suffice it to say that, in general, I agree with their use of the term “ethnic” as all-inclusive, but insist that my study of the subcategories of “exile,” “immigrant” and “ethnic minority” is necessary and revelatory, especially of the experience of the Hispanic press. Furthermore, the Wynars treat the African American, Native American and Hispanic presses solely as ethnic press without their special functioning as the expression of oppressed racial minorities. In my study, I insist on the relevance of race, ethnicity and minority status in studying these press manifestations.


52. “Los mejicanos, bien lo sean o hayan renegado de este título, son siempre tratados con injusticia y prevención, por los jueces, los ciudadanos, los pudientes, y en general todos los hijos de esta nación. Por consiguiente, si no se ha de lograr ningún mejoramiento, y de ello estamos todos convencidos, ¿a qué renegar del título de hijos de la República de México . . . permaneceremos extranjeros en los Estados Unidos y como tal nos consideran siempre?” (24 March 1880)

In fact, El Horizonte repeatedly ran editorials protesting the unequal treatment of Mexicans in court, lynchings and the non-admission of Mexican children to the Corpus Christi schools, despite the school system counting the children as students in order to receive funding for them from the state. The struggle for civil rights, furthermore, led El Horizonte, as well as numerous other immigrant institutions to support the creation of mutual aid societies, and El Horizonte wholeheartedly exhorted its readership to associate with the Sociedad Mutualista Benito Juárez founded in Brownsville in
1879: “Los mejicanos en Corpus hemos sido siempre considerados como seres indig-nos aun del título de extranjeros: se han pisoteado nuestros derechos; nos han negado hasta las ínfimas garantías a que es creador un ciudadano, y hemos sido en fin miser-ables parias, sin porvenir . . . De hoy . . . respetarán nuestros derechos y no seremos el objeto de su ludibrio y escarnio” (6 December 1879). (We Mexicans in Corpus have always been considered unworthy of even the title of foreigner: they have stepped all over our rights; they have denied us even the few guaranties owed to a citizen and we have been, in short, miserable pariahs, without a future . . . From today . . . they will respect our rights and we shall not be the object of their jokes and insult.)

53. I obviously disagree with Victoria Goff, in “Spanish-Language Newspapers in California,” Outsiders in 19th-Century Press History: Multicultural Perspectives, ed. Frankie Hutton and Barbara Straus Reed (Bowling Green, OH: Bowling Green State University Popular Press, 1995): 55-70, when she states that “California’s Hispanic press has never truly been an immigrant press, making it unique among the immigrant/eth-nic press in the United States” (55). As I stated above in my text, it does not matter that some of the readers were native Californians; the majority of the readers were immi-grants, and before their arrival in California, in fact, there was no native Spanish-lang- uage press. It is true that there developed, beginning with the Spanish-language sec-tions of the Californian (1846) and The California Star (1847) an Hispanic ethnic minority press, but this press existed side-by-side with the immigrant press. In my text, I shall later trace the development of the Hispanic ethnic-minority press in California.

54. According to Goff, p. 56, the editors of La Crónica, of El Eco de la Raza Latina and of El Joven were Spanish; the editor of La Voz del Nuevo Mundo was Chilen and of El Tecolote was Colombian.


56. See the recent edition, introduced by Nicolás Kanellos (Houston: Arte Público Press, 1999).


59. No pudiendo encontrar orientaciones en un medio desorientado, Ignacio E. Lozano tuvo el acierto indiscutible de fincar su obra en los mexicanos que llevaban muchos años de residir fuera del territorio nacional. Eran gentes humildes y de escasa cultura, pero que no obstante de haber pasado su existencia lejos del suelo de México, conservaban intactas sus costumbres y las tradiciones de nuestros antepasados. Sin hacer trabajosos análisis sentían, que hay algo que no se hunde en los naufragios, que no vacila ni cae en los terremotos, que no se carboniza en los incendios, y ese algo inmutable y eterno, es el alma de la Patria, siempre lista a levantar a los caídos, a perdonar a los pecadores, a consolar a los hijos que por encontrarse ausentes, no se pueden refugiar en su regazo matern.

Así pues, mientras yo me dirigía a los desterrados, Lozano se vinculó con ese con-glomerado sencillo que le gustaba llamar “México de afuera” y que nada tenía que ver con nuestras convulsiones políticas y sociales . . . Lozano, al vincularse con un público permanente, le había dado a “La Prensa” unos cimientos que en aquel tiempo, eran inconmovibles. (318-319)

60. Bruce-Novoa, “La Prensa and the Chicano Community,” The Americas Review 17/3-4 (Winter 1989), p. 151. Bruce-Novoa’s article appears in a special issue dedi-cated almost entirely to La Prensa and represents thus the major source for the study of this important newspaper.

62. Ignacio E. Lozano, Jr., publisher of La Opinión, still sees the purpose of his newspaper in the terms that could apply to his father’s La Prensa and La Opinión: “The paper is put out by Hispanics for Hispanics. The Spanish-speaking community is a long way from entering the American mainstream. We cover things not covered by the mainstream press, such as columns devoted to legal advice for Hispanics, where to go for public services and generally how the system works.” Quoted in Nora Ríos-McMillan, “A Biography of a Man and His Newspaper,” The Americas Review, op. cit., p. 141.

63. Typical of these polemical works that often attacked the Revolution and particular political leaders, thus making up a good core of exile literature, were Miguel Bolaños Cacho’s Sembradores de viento (1828, Sowers of Wind), Brigido Caro’s Plutarco Elías Calles: Dictador Bolchevique de México (1924, Plutarca Elías Calles: Bolshevik Dictator of Mexico) and Lázaro Gutiérrez de Lara’s Los bribones rebeldes (1932, The Rebel Rogues). Many were the authors of this very popular genre—Miguel Arce, Conrado Espinosa, La Opinión’s editorialist Alfredo González, Esteban Maqueos Castellanos, Manuel Mateos, Ramón Puente and La Prensa’s editorialist Teodoro Torres—but the most famous of all has become Mariano Azuela, author of the masterpiece that has become one of the foundations of modern Mexican literature, Los de abajo (The Underdogs), which was first published in 1915 in El Paso’s newspaper El Paso del Norte (The Northern Pass) and was later issued as a book by the same newspaper.

64. For an evolution of costumbrista writing, see Susana Zanetti, Costumbristas de América Latina: Antología (Buenos Aires, 1973), pp. 8-10.

65. For a history and anthology of the crónica in Mexico, see Carlos Monsiváis, A ustedes les consta: Antología de la crónica en México (Mexico City: Ediciones Era, 1980).

66. De principios del siglo XIX hasta casi nuestros días, a la crónica mexicana se le encomienda verificar o consagrar cambios y maneras sociales y describir lo cotidiano elevándolo al rango de lo idiosincrático (aquello sin lo cual los mexicanos serían, por ejemplo, paraguayos). En el tránsito de la mentalidad colonial a la independiente… una colectividad pequeña, insegura de sus logros, incierta en su nacionalismo, ve en la crónica el espejo refulgente (ideal) de sus transformaciones y fijaciones.

Escribir es poblar. Durante un periodo prolongado el detallismo exhaustivo de los cronistas sirve a un propósito central: contribuir a la forja de la nación, describiéndola y, si se puede, moralizándola. Los escritores del siglo XIX van a la crónica a documentar y, lo que les importa más, promover un estilo de vida, aquel que va a la reiteración de las costumbres el verdadero ritual cívico. Los cronistas son nacionalistas acérrimos porque desean la independencia y la grandeza de una colectividad … o porque anhelan el sello de identidad que los ampare, los singularice, los despoje de sujeciones y elimine sus ansiedades y su terror más profundo: ser testigos privilegiados de lo que no tiene ninguna importancia, narrar el proceso formativo de esta sociedad que nadie contempla. Se necesita fortalecer a la Nación infundiéndole y aclarándole sus orgullos locales y regionales, recreando literariamente las formas de vida más ostensiblemente “mexicanas” y subrayando el desdén por la imitación de lo francés y la nostalgia servil de lo hispánico. (Carlos Monsiváis, pp. 26-7.)

67. Originally a journalist from Guadalajara, after his return to Mexico Padilla published a collection of his crónicas which included many of those published and syndicated in the United States: Un puñado de artículos: filosofía barata. 2nda edición. (Barcelona: Casa Editorial Maucci, s.d.)

68. A few studies of these cronistas have appeared sporadically, but as yet there have been no definitive studies nor have their texts been collected. See Clara Lomas, “Resistencia cultural o apropiación ideológica: Visión de los años 20 en los cuadros

69. See José Limón, “Agringado Joking in Texas Mexican Society,” *Perspectives in Mexican American Studies* 1 (1988):109-28, for a definition and study of usage of *agringado*. The conflict of cultures and perceived abandonment of Mexican nationality was already a common topic in the nineteenth century; it especially took on racial overtones when a Mexican or Mexican American whose skin color was dark seemed to be passing for an Anglo-American in the eyes of Mexican commentators. For instance, the Editor of El Paso’s *El Monitor* (The Monitor), on August 13, 1897, chastised *agringados* for not donating money to the celebration of Mexican Independence Day in El Paso: “A esos *agringados* que niegan ser mexicanos, por el solo hecho de haber nacido en los Estados Unidos, les preguntamos, ¿qué sangre corre por sus venas? Acaso perteneceis a la raza sajona y sois trigueños por el hecho de haber nacido en la Frontera! ¡Qué barbaridad!” (We want to ask those *agringados* who deny they are Mexicans based on the sole reason that they were born in the United States: What blood flows in your veins? Is it possible that you belong to the Saxon race and that you are dark just because you were born on the Border?)


71. See Jorge Ulica, “Treinta años de galeras . . . periodísticas, 1881-1911,” manuscript in the Chicano Studies Collection, University of California-Berkeley, cited in Rodríguez, p. 12.

72. See the files of Mexican Consul in San Francisco De Negri, Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores, 23-21-156, which detail the correspondence between Arce, the consul De Negri and head of the Secretariat of Foreign Relations Cándido Aguilar. It seems that this underwriting of Mexican immigrant newspapers in the United States by the government and various political factions in Mexico was not rare. On Carranza’s policy of underwriting newspapers abroad, see Douglas W. Richmond, *Venustiano Carranza’s Nationalist Struggle, 1893-1920* (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1983), p. 190. In addition to *Mefístófeles*, Carranza may have invested in *Gale’s Magazine*, Kansas City’s *El Cosmopolita* (1914-1919), New York’s *El Gráfico* (1916-1918) and its parent Columbus Publishing Company, headed by Modesto C. Rolland, who also formed the Latin American News Association with Carranza.

73. Proclaimed in an unsigned article, “Hoy cumple *Hispano América* once años de vida activa,” on the anniversary of *Hispano América*, 18 April 1925.

74. Eleuteria Hernández, in her article “La representación de la mujer mexicana en los EE.UU. en las *Crónicas Diabólicas* de Jorge Ulica,” *Mester* 12/2 (Fall, 1993): 31-38, has rightly attributed Ulica’s attack on Mexican women to an attempt to preserve the family in an alien environment (34), but she attributes this attack primarily to Ulica’s desire to stem the feminist advances made by women in both the United States and Mexico. While I agree that Ulica is certainly anti-feminist, I would add that his fears of cultural annihilation led him to place that burden on women as the center of the family, as I explain below in my essay. And making poor, uneducated women the target for his barbs in no way served as a direct and clear reaction to the feminist movements alluded to in Hernández’s otherwise incisive article.

75. Si los hombres quieren ser menos felices … no deben venir, con sus consortes, a los Estados Unidos. Porque aqui andan las cosas muy mal y el genero masculino va
perdiendo, a pasos agigantados . . . “sus sagradas prerrogativas y sus inalienables dere-
chos”. . . . me duele en el alma ver a los pobres “maridos” sujetos a una perra vida, a un
porvenir parecido y a un fin trágico. (p. 89)

76. . . . en este país las mujeres hacen lo que les da la real gana. La mía, que era
tan obediente, tan fiel y tan mosquita muerta en Ojinaga, aquí se ha vuelto “de
cohetería”, no me hace caso, se encierra con sus amigos a jugar “bridge” y no sé qué
cosas más, y, cuando reclamo, me echa de la mamá. En mi tierra, podía haberle tumba-
do los dientes a manazos; pero aquí, si hace eso, lo cuelgan en San Cuintín. (p. 145)

77. Al arreglarse la coca dos pelonas
fueron al “Barber Shop” de Don Simon,
Pues iban esa noche las gallonas
a darle vuelo y duro al vacilón.

—Acabe pronto en mí,— decía Julieta,
Mientras le razuraban el pescuezo,
Para que suba luego Enriqueta;
Y acabando a las dos le doy un beso.

Trabajó el peluquero
Que acabo en un momento.
Mas no le dieron de lo prometido,
Ni despues que acabo con Enriqueta.

78. El constante aumento de la colonia española e iberoamericana nos ha impeli-
do a editar este semanario que viene a cooperar a la defensa de todos los que forman
la gran familia hispana. Haremos una labor tendente a buscar la mayor compenetración
y bienestar de los que ausentes de la patria amada debemos en suelo extraño agrupar
nos bajo una sola bandera: la de la fraternidad. (27 February 1927)

79. Two collections of his English-language columns have been published: Jesús
Colón, A Puerto Rican in New York and Other Sketches, 2nd edition (New York:
International Publishers, 1982) and Jesús Colón, The Way It Was and Other Writings,
See the Acosta Belén and Sánchez Korrol “Introduction” for a biography of Colón, pp.
13-30.

80. With this pseudonym, a Caribbean-Spanish phrase originating with the Latin
mibi and tibi, Colón was indicating that these were intimate conversations “between
you and me,” from one Latino to another.

81. Si quieres ver lector la caricatura de una flapper no tienes nada más que mirar
da una latina que aspira a serlo. La flapper yanqui siempre busca que su conjunto de
exageraciones tenga una apariencia chic, como se dice en alemán. Además poseen esa
divina joya de la frialdad bien imitada. Ese arquear desdeñoso de ojos que al cruzar las
piernas casi desde . . . desde . . . parecen no importarle que las miren. Seem ing frigid-
ity, that’s the phrase. La would be flapper latina le gusta que la miren y para con-
seguirlo se pinta como una mascarita. Dos chapotas mal puestas en cada buche y cuat-
ro bien pronunciadas montañas de rouge en los labios. Critican primero los nuevos
fads; después los adaptan, llevándolo hasta la exageración. (Gráfico 25 September 1927)

82. La Flapper

Como una niña Chole que fuera neoyorquina,
rasca el aire la “flapper” contoneándose toda.
Su traje, un futurísimo de la última moda,
hace mil sugerencias con seda divina.

Que la miren los hombres mientras ella camina
es su supremo anhelo. Si hay quien le hable de boda, contesta con alguna carcajada que poda la ilusión más sublime. ¡Carcajada asesina!

Reina experta del último salto mortal bailable, niña pintarrajada, superficial, variable, como el liberto esclavo al probar nueva vida.

Por contraste me hacen recordar a mi abuela, que hilando me contaba del gigante que vuelva, con su voz temblorosa cual plegaria perdida.

(Gráfico 25 September 1927)

83. Juanita Luna Lawhn, “Victorian Attitudes Affecting the Mexican Woman Writing in La Prensa during the 1900’s and the Chicana of the 1980’s,” Missions in Conflict: Essays on United States-Mexican Relations and Chicano Culture, ed. Juan Bruce Novoa (Tübingen, Germany: Narr, 1986): 65-71, has provided examples of how San Antonio’s La Prensa reinforced traditional gender roles and “never encouraged the Mexican woman to seek professional careers or to attempt self-realization anywhere other than inside the home or in occupations that were stereotypically acceptable for women”(65).


86. See Henderson and Morrow, Spanish Pathways in Florida, p. 40-45, 262; Florida Department of State, Florida Cuban Heritage Trail, p. 34.

87. El proletariado halló con la Lectura —con “la afición a oír leer”, como la llamaba un editorialista de El Siglo— la forma más democrática y eficaz de difusión cultural que hubo en su época. La transmisión oral, realizada en el mismo taller durante las horas laborables, era el mecanismo idóneo para satisfacer las necesidades intelectuales de una clase que había surgido pidiendo libros, pero que carecía de recursos, de tiempo y en muchos casos de escolaridad para leerlos. La Lectura fue el primer intento de hacer “llegar” el libro a las masas con un propósito exclusivamente educativo y recreativo. Entre las clases privilegiadas el libro había sido siempre un objeto suntuario y en última instancia un instrumento de dominio o de lucro; el proletariado lo convirtió en un instrumento autodidáctico, empleándolo con el único fin de superarse ideológicamente y culturalmente. (Fornet, 185-6)


89. . . . en favor de la unificación de América, cuyos hijos, sin distinción de origen ni sectas, no deben conocer ni sustentar otro dogma que el dogma de los hombres libres, el de la unidad sólida, indivisible, como un monolito egipcio, atalaya gigantesco extendiendo sus brazos protectores por todos los ámbitos de América, desde las regiones boreales del Cabo Barrow, hasta las latitudes del Cabo de Hornos.

90. Nosotros sustentamos el principio dogmático de Monroe, que América es de sus hijos, y de aquellos que con sincera honradez del ciudadano que aspira a la dignificación de su existencia moral en las comunidades libres del mundo americano, viene de otros confines del planeta y pone sus hombros al carro del progreso que unifi-
ca y engrandece a los pueblos; que viene a ser causa común con todas las ambiciones, con todos los esfuerzos nobles de las masas, para el desarrollo de todas las actividades de la vida en las esferas amplias de las ciencias, de las artes, de las industrias y del comercio, cuyos motores, al calor de las ideas multiplicadas por el estímulo de la gloria, desenvuelven las empresas titánicas que asombran el viejo mundo.

91. América, triunfante, da el ejemplo al viejo mundo, abre sus puertas a los que viven sumidos en la degradación del vasallaje, y les brinda generoso abrigo bajo el amparo de instituciones que hacen iguales a los hombres de buena voluntad.

92. Ahí con cuanta fruición acariciamos la halagüeña esperanza de la fusión de las naciones de este continente, porque ellas, conservando su autonomía, tendrán la unidad en la industria, en el comercio, en la riqueza, en la producción, en el consumo y en la gloria de haber llegado a la meta de su prosperidad por ministerio de su exclusivo aliento y de su propia convicción.

93. . . . acometemos con ánimo resuelto la empresa, después de haber puesto los medios necesarios para no vernos a poco obligados a defendernos en pos del ideal que acariciamos, que es de mantener aquí un periódico culto, ilustrado, patriota, que sea la expresión genuina de las ideas, sentimientos, aspiraciones, adelantos y aptitudes de nuestra amada raza americana.


95. Consagremos particular atención a los asuntos literarios, que tanto seducen a nuestros pueblos de imaginación lozana y de temperamento apasionado, y así daremos novelas de pequeñas dimensiones, que puedan caber en un sólo número; cuentos ingeniosos y amenos, ya orginales y traducidos, pero que sean de los más distinguidos escritores; críticas literarias, que contribuyan á formar el buen gusto; producciones poéticas de los más renombrados líricos de España y de América, y en general todo cuanto tienda á hacer de esta sección una especialidad que acojan siempre con marcada predilección todos nuestros abonados.


98. “Más de una vez hemos leído con indignación en periódicos extranjeros, que la mujer de nuestra América vive apartada del todo de la vida intelectual, que en la ignorancia vegeta y en el estéril misticismo se consume. A tales aseveraciones vamos nosotros á oponer la verdad práctica, y ya se verá cómo saben presentarse ante el mundo las americanas, para figurar digna y altivamente en la escogida legión de los que viven del pensamiento y del espíritu y van alumbrando la humanidad con sus luces.” In Román Mayorga Rivas, “La mujer hispano-americana y *La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York*” (February, 1980).
99. See Chamberlin and Shulman, p. 35, for her brief biography; Puga later married the editor Elias Losada and bore him children during their brief life together before his death. She returned to Lima and literary celebrity, publishing a number of novels, books of poetry and short fiction.

100. For a complete analysis of the Artes y Letras, see Maria Aponte Alsina, "Culture and Identity: Periodical Literature in Puerto Rican Archives," a report to the Recovering the U. S. Hispanic Literary Heritage Project, University of Houston, 1994, pp. 17-20.

101. Y la Patria vive. Los Estados Unidos debieran tener presente que hace más de dos tercios de siglo se firmaron los tratados de Guadalupe, y sin embargo, aún no se han americanizado los mexicanos que viven en Texas y Nuevo México, Arizona y California. Nuestra raza es persistente y en medio de sus odios y divisiones, conserva inalterables su homogeneidad y carácter. Y un país así, que no se confunde fácilmente con los demás pueblos, que no se fusiona sino excepcionalmente con las otras razas, que conserva sus tradiciones y perpetúa sus leyendas, que, en una palabra, mantiene siempre creciente la fuerza maravillosa de su genio, no se domina con la ocupación de tres o cuatro plazas militares, ni aun con la absorción total de su territorio.

México, por consiguiente, no perderá su nacionalidad, aun cuando llegare a ser vencido. Nuestra vitalidad, como la de Polonia e Irlanda, como la de Armenia y Bélgica está por encima del desastre mismo. (García Naranjo, 195-6)

102. See Raymond MacCurdy, pp. 12-22, for further information.

103. It is also interesting to note that at least one cultural periodical grew out of a trade journal. When Panamanian Elias de Lozada assumed the directorship of the Spanish section of the firm of Thurber-Whyland in New York, he also became the editor of its trade journal, Thurber-Whyland and Company's Spanish Review. Losada eventually obtained exclusive rights to the review, in 1885 re-baptized it La Revista Mercantil y de Precios Corrientes del Mercado de Nueva York and in 1886 reorganized it into the cultural review studied in the text: La Revista Ilustrada de Nueva York. (Chamberlin and Shulman, 10)

104. See Tomás Almaguer, Racial Fault Lines, p. 3, for the definition and use of this term and the remainder of his book for details on how Mexicans became racialized.


106. Henry R. Wagner, "New Mexico Spanish Press," New Mexico Historical Review 12/1 (January, 1937), pp.2-3, presents an in-depth discussion about the founding of both El Crepúsculo de la Libertad in Santa Fe and the El Crepúsculo, another newspaper published in Taos by the important historical figure Father Antonio José Martínez. Also see Gutiérrez, p. 38.

107. A prospectus dated April 9, 1823, announced that an American printer named Ashbridge would be issuing the Texas Courier or Correo de Texas every Wednesday morning beginning April 16 in English and Spanish editions. Stephen F. Austin expressed joy in a letter dated May 20, 1823, at hearing of the newspaper, but documents attest that, if any issues were ever published, the newspaper would have ceased by July, because that is when Ashbridge's press was shipped to Monterrey, Mexico, following its sale on June 13, 1823. Another newspaper for which no copies have been found is the Mexican Advocate, published in Nacogdoches in 1829; it may have been a bilingual English-Spanish newspaper. See John Melton Wallace, Gaceta to Gazette: A Check List of Texas Newspapers, 1812-1846 (Austin: University of Texas Department of Journalism Development Program, 1966), pp. 42, 64.

108. See William B. Rice, The Los Angeles Star, 1851-1864 (Berkeley: University of


110. Gutiérrez, p. 39, points out how the Tubac *Arizonian* (3 November 1859) praised the “educated Mexicans” who were “American in sentiment and feeling and they, with the leading Americans, control the masses,” and how Tucson’s *El Fronterizo* (The Frontier Journal, 11 May 1879) reprinted an article from the *Arizona Citizen* complimenting the Spanish-language newspaper for being “the organ of the good Mexicans.”

111. See Gabriel Meléndez, *So All Is Not Lost: The Poetics of Print in Nuevomexicano Communities, 1834-1958* (Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1997), pp. 24–5. Meléndez’s history is the most thorough and deeply interpretive study ever performed on any segment of Hispanic print culture in the United States. In addition to providing a model of the type of study that must be conducted for California, Texas and elsewhere, *So All Is Not Lost* provides in-depth documentation and analysis of the development of Hispanic newspapers in New Mexico.


114. Nuestro periódico . . . velará continuamente por los intereses, honor y adelanto de todas las secciones de nuestro gran Territorio. El bienestar del pueblo Neomexicano y principalmente del pueblo nativo, será en toda ocasión el poderoso móvil que impulsará nuestros esfuerzos a mayor energía en la publicación de nuestro semanario. Somos soldados del pueblo que velamos por sus derechos . . . *(La Voz del Pueblo, 7 June 1890)*

115. … defienden sus derechos, que procuran educarlos y que siempre por siempre con el peligro personal están abogando por la justicia y el progreso de las masas populares. . . . Tomen, pues, todos los periódicos españoles en los que sus niños podrán aprender más que en los grandes libros de texto. . . . Convénzase el pueblo que el periódico es el mejor de los medios para la educación popular; aprenda en ellos a defender sus derechos para no abatirse ante ningún elemento extranjero.


117. Al desagrado de todo el pueblo frecuentemente vemos artículos calumniosos en contra de los Neo-Mexicanos en los periódicos del Oriente, denunciándonos como una raza sin honor, sin virtud y sin delicadeza . . . Acaso el pueblo quiere saber quienes son los autores de esas infames calumnias y libelos. Nosotros lo diremos. Son aquella clase de personas que no tienen honor, escrúpulo ni conciencia y algunos de ellos viven entre nosotros y por lo general nos muestran los dientes y una sonrisa superficial en sus labios . . . *(El Solo de Mayo, 1 May 1891)*

118. Nuestra tarea principal se concentrará en cuanto puedan servir nuestros débiles esfuerzos en conseguir para Nuevo México su admisión como estado soberano de la Unión Americana. Nuestra pluma siempre, y en toda ocasión, sin temor ninguno, estará lista para rechazar cualquiera calumnia arrojada en contra del buen nombre y el
honor del pueblo de Nuevo México. A causa de pedir justicia en exigir nuestra admisión a la confederación de estados ante el soberano Congreso de los Estados Unidos, hemos sido denigrados por la mayor parte de la prensa del oriente: sin razón, sin causa y sin necesidad.


122. Este proceder por parte del pueblo Americano ha llenado de indignación a todos los descendientes de la raza Española. Las autoridades de un país deben mirar por la seguridad de sus ciudadanos, y a ellas les incumbe juzgar y castigar al criminal; pero el populacho enfurecido no tiene derecho de quitar la vida a un hombre sin estar certerizados que ha cometido el crimen que se le imputa. . . . Desde el año de 1849 ha existido cierta animosidad entre los Mexicanos y Americanos, tan agena de un pueblo magnánimo y libre; de manera que estos han deseados con todo corazón que los Mexicanos todos no tuvieran mas que un solo pesquezo para cortárselo. Han sufrido muchas injusticias, y principalmente en las minas han sido abusados y maltratados impunemente. Si un Mexicano tiene por desgracia un pleito en las cortes de este Estado está seguro de perderlo. Es imposible negar esta aserción porque conocemos a muchos infelices que así les ha sucedido apesar de los esfuerzos que han hecho para obtener sus derechos y justicia imparcial. [When Ramírez refers to “raza Española” in the first line, he is not using the term *raza* in its traditional Spanish-language denotation of “people,” but in the Anglo-American sense of *race*: he has internalized and now applied the English-language concept of race as a biological, not cultural, classification of people.]

123. En ninguna parte es tan evidente la necesidad de un periódico en español como en California, . . . como americanos y como individuos de la noble raza española a que pertenecemos creímos de nuestro deber . . . alzar nuestra poderosa voz con las armas de la razón, para denunciar ante el supremo tribunal de la opinión pública las injusticias, los atropellamientos y los ultrajes de que han sido y continuan con demasía frecuencia siendo victimas los individuos de nuestra raza; creímos de nuestro deber constituirnos en un atalaya constante que sirviera a nuestros países españoles de alerta contra todos esos avances ilegales con que se ha pretendido y se pretende absorverlos, llevando a ellos del modo mas inaudito y escandaloso al estermión y la muerte, y aniquilando las nacionalidades de los pueblos invadidos. . . . Todos los individuos de las diversas nacionalidades españolas que hay en California, por honor a nuestra raza, deben protegerla [la prensa en español] . . .

124. [Los Californios] Son los conquistados postrados ante el conquistador pidiéndole su protección en el goce de lo poco que su mala suerte les ha dejado. Son los que han sido vendidos como carneros—son los que fueron abandonados y vendidos por México. No entienden el idioma prevalente de su tierra natal. Son extranjeros en su propio país. No tienen ninguna voz en este Senado, exceptuando la que ahora tan débilmente está hablando a su favor. He visto llorar como niños a ancianos de sesenta y setenta años de edad, porque habían sido arrojados del hogar de sus padres. Han sido humillados e insultados. Se les ha rehusado el privilegio de sacar agua de sus propios
pozos. Se les ha rehusado el privilegio de cortar su propia leña. Y todavía los individuos que han cometido estos ultrajes han venido aquí a buscar protección, y para mi mayor admiración el Senado simpatiza con ellos. Vosotros, Senadores, no oís las quejas de la clase Española. Vosotros no considerais suficientemente la equidad de sus títulos y los justos derechos de sus posesiones.

125. F. Arturo Rosales, *Chicano*!, p. 18. Rosales explores the complete history of Mexican-American civil rights with particular attention to expression in the Spanish-language newspapers.


128. Translated by Sheridan, pp. 109–10, from *El Tucsonense* 9 July 1892.


130. …y esta solemne finalidad es primeramente, dar a conocer al blanco, que nosotros los bronceados, nada tenemos de inferioridad y que es una solemne mentira lo que dice cierta historia de Texas y que sirve de texto en las escuelas públicas, para aprobiar (sic.) nuestro “aquello de que no sabemos gobernarnos, mucho menos nombrar nuestros gobernantes.”

Entonces el Latino Americano, instruido en civismo, por su ambición al progreso y al bienestar, entonces, digo, habrá una nueva era para nuestra raza, capaz de influir decisivamente, en los destinos de la colectividad como entidad racial y ni se nos negarán los derechos políticos y la igualdad social, sin que nos arredren ni ofusquemos ante las penas individuales, ante los atropellos, ni ante las dictaduras.

131. Conciudadanos de nuestra raza! Unámonos, caminemos hacia el oriente de un mejor entendimiento y una mayor representación y leguemos a nuestros hijos que bendicieren nuestra memoria, nuestra ingénita y propia civilización. Que los odios que ahora cargamos en nuestras espaldas por herencia tradicional, se convertirán mañana, en eterna quietud, cuando el sol de la justicia de los tiempos tenga mayor aprecio hacia los nuestros y caliente apaciblemente sus hogares. . . . Extendamos nuestra fuerza unida para dejarla escrita en el libro sagrado de la lucha por la libertad, igualdad y fraternidad de la raza latinoamericana.

132. Considerando que esta publicación sale a la luz en el corazón de nuestra humilde barriada de Harlem; en medio de la más abyecta miseria; donde inexorables, el vicio y el dolor se disputan las escuálidas víctimas de la ignorancia, los prejuicios de raza y de los defectos del presente sistema de economía política, no podemos menos que consagrar este semanario a la defensa de nuestros legítimos derechos de ciudadanos y, justificar el principio de una prensa libre es el más eficaz defensor de los derechos humanos y las más firme garantía del recto funcionamiento de la justicia.

A pesar de los muchos males—reales o imaginarios—que se le atribuyen a nuestra barriada, una gran parte de sus residentes son de completa solvencia moral, amantes del orden y en posesión de todos los atributos esenciales al cumplimiento de los deberes de los buenos ciudadanos; así pues, uno de los fines fundamentales de LA DEFENSA, es comprobar el hecho, que existen en la barriada individuos capaces para actuar e influir con su inteligencia y fuerza de voluntad en el escenario histórico de los acontecimientos cívicos de toda nuestra colectividad hispana. No por esto, dejaremos de condenar con todas las fuerzas de nuestras energías los males que en realidad existen y que son la causa de la desigualdad colectiva.

Ya es tiempo de que nos demos cuenta que no somos aves de paso; que estamos aquí para quedarnos y que es necesario preparar el camino para los que han de

136. See Sánchez Korrol, p. 153, for a complete list of the goals of the Liga.


138. This stance of combining Puerto Rican nationalist discourse and goals while affirming civil rights and participation in U. S. electoral politics was not unique to *Pueblos Hispanos*. It was shared by a number of predecessors, including *Alma Boricua* (Puerto Rican Soul), which was founded in 1934 and had a readership in Manhattan and Brooklyn.
